



Spring/Summer 2008

ACGME Resident Survey

Every two years, all programs with four or more residents complete the ACGME Resident Survey. Results of this survey are made available to the program and the DIO for programs with a 70% or greater response rate. Programs with less than 70% response rates are resurveyed the following year.

The Resident Survey is used by the site visitor to spotlight key areas of concern as well as program strengths that the residents identified; the site visitor also uses the Resident Survey to help determine serious non-compliance with duty hour standards. Increasingly, compliance with duty hours, adequate supervision, and limiting excessive service are noted as key factors that contribute to a high-quality learning environment for residents.

The RRC has requested that site visitors provide more detailed information regarding the verification of negative comments made in the numerical or comment sections of the Resident Survey, specifically, when the site visitor records that a concern is “not an issue” or “could not be verified.”

Results of resident surveys can be used as heuristic tools by program directors to improve the quality of education for residents. National averages of resident surveys can be viewed on the ACGME website, www.acgme.org within the ADS

section, and should be reviewed by individual programs during annual and mid-cycle internal reviews so that resident issues are identified and addressed in a timely manner.

RRC Actions: March 2008 Meeting

Initial Accreditation	01
Continued Accreditation	01
Propose Withhold	01
Probation	01
Voluntary Withdrawal	01
Deferral	01
Other requests (progress reports, temporary increases, changes to participating sites, etc)	03

Common Program Citations

The Program Director’s Association compiled the following list of common program citations at their recent meeting. Program directors are encouraged to review this list at the time of internal review and in preparation for an ACGME accreditation site visit.

The following is a list in descending order of the most common citations for the 2006-2007 calendar year:

- Procedural experience

- Evaluation of residents
- Augment and evaluation of goals and objectives
- Scholarly activity
- Evaluation of faculty
- Evaluation of the program
- Institutional support by participating sites

Program Review Timeline

The RRC meets twice a year, usually in the spring and in the fall to review programs. These meetings are about six months apart. Before each meeting, two RRC members are assigned to review each program. The paperwork is distributed over a two to three month period prior to the RRC meeting and RRC members are expected to complete their reviews within 30 days of receiving a program's file. All reviews must be received in the ACGME office eight weeks prior to the meeting to allow incorporation into the agenda book. The agenda book is sent to the RRC members a couple of weeks before the meeting to allow time to read all the reviews, and especially to allow comparison of the two reviews for each program.

After the RRC meeting, the ACGME staff prepare responses for the program directors regarding the accreditation decisions reached by the RRC. Before these are sent out via email, however, the chair of the RRC reviews each communication, making corrections as necessary, and then certifying the entire process by his/her signature. The purpose of this review is to make absolutely certain the citations and final accreditation decisions reflect the decisions of the RRC.

Some results of site visits performed in the month or so just before or after an RRC meeting will likely not be reviewed at that meeting, but will be delayed until the next

RRC meeting six months later.

Program Director Guide to the Common Program Requirements”

To help clarify the meaning and expectations of the common program requirements, there is a “Program Director Guide to the Common Program Requirements” available on www.acgme.org. The guide has been very helpful to both new program directors and those who are more experienced. Please email comments and suggestions to: Guide@acgme.org.

Accreditation Data System

The ACGME's online ADS alerts the RRC to changes in programs. Program directors must use ADS for the following:

- Notify the RRC of any changes in their program (i.e., new program director or adding or deleting a site)
- Request a change which needs RRC approval (i.e., an increase in resident complement). The request for a permanent increase in the resident complement must include a copy of the institutional data for all participating sites. Only one academic or one calendar year of data is necessary.
- Submit the academic year “Annual Update” (ADS staff will e-mail the deadline for updating faculty and resident rosters)
- Prepare for an upcoming site visit (the ADS will populate many sections of the PIF with the data entered)

Address your questions or concerns about ADS to the ADS representative for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Emilio Villatoro at 312/755-7117, evillatoro@acgme.org.

ACGME Learning Portfolio

ACGME staff have developed a number of resources for programs that want to become more familiar with the ACGME Learning

Portfolio (ALP).

http://www.acgme.org/acWebsite/portfolio/cbpac_faq.pdf: The Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) (updated April 2008) include a description of the portfolio and its benefits to both residents and program directors, in addition to common concerns about using an online portfolio system. An updated timeline for development provides additional information on the alpha and beta testing phases.

http://www.acgme.org/acWebsite/portfolio/cbpac_revisedtimeline.pdf. A narrated demonstration of the portfolio can be found at <http://www.acgme.org/acWebsite/portfolio/AlphaDemonstration.wmv>.

More information is available on the ACGME Learning Portfolio website: http://www.acgme.org/acwebsite/portfolio/learn_cbpac.asp

CI Pilot Projects

The Committee on Innovation (CI) announced a set of duty hour and competency pilots in Fall 2007. Dr. Ingrid Philibert, Senior Vice President, Department of Field Activities, quoted from the first formal report of the committee, which was approved at the September 2007, meeting of the ACGME Board of Directors: "The ultimate aim of these pilots is to test proposed revisions to the common duty hour standards and refinements to the approaches for teaching and assessing the general competencies to ensure they are based on valid and 'actionable' evidence of their effectiveness."

More information regarding the pilot projects will be available from the ACGME website under Innovation/CI. For questions, contact Mary Joyce Johnston in the Department of Field Activities at 312/755-5013.

Voluntary Withdrawal Requests

Programs must now enter requests to voluntarily withdraw accreditation (VW) using ADS only.

Programs initiate the request by answering a series of questions, including the proposed effective date, the reason for program closure, and presenting a plan to place any active residents in other programs. The request is emailed to the DIO for approval. After the DIO/GMEC approves the request, the RRC staff designee is emailed. After the program receives official notification from the RRC and the accreditation status is changed to VW, the request will automatically be removed from the report.

Internal Reviews

The sponsoring institution is required to conduct an internal review of each residency program under its purview at approximately the midpoint of the accreditation cycle (the time between the date of the most recent accreditation action and the next scheduled site visit). The institution assembles an internal review committee, which must include at least one faculty member and at least one resident, who cannot be from the program that is being reviewed. The process involves interviews with the program director, key faculty members, peer-selected residents from each level of training, and other individuals as appropriate. Frequently it includes review of data, such as how the program has addressed the citations from the last accreditation survey.

The goal of the internal review is a thorough and candid review that identifies the program's strengths and opportunities for improvement, and allows resolution of any concerns or problems before the program's next accreditation site visit. The responsibility for timing and conduct of the internal review lies with the sponsoring institution. At the same time, program directors and residents should be familiar with the process as they may be asked to participate in internal reviews.

Neither the site visitor nor the RRC reviewer sees the data from the internal review which is not included with the program information form (PIF). Verification of the internal review during the site visit covers the date, the participants, and then the review presented to the institution's graduate medical education committee (GMEC). This information is obtained verbally or in writing. The site visitor does not look at the results of the internal review, to ensure a review that honestly assesses the program's strengths and opportunities for improvement.

ACGME Educational Conference 2008 Recap

Each year, the ACGME Annual Educational Conference provides a venue for graduate medical educators to learn more about the accreditation process and ways to enhance residency program quality related to ACGME initiatives, such as general competencies, educational outcome assessment, and duty hours. This year's conference theme "Building Community, Improving Quality" emphasized how better education and better patient care can occur when individuals in diverse roles work together toward shared goals.

Post-conference information is available at: http://www.acgme.org/acWebsite/meetings/me_EducConf_08.asp

Save the date for the 2009 ACGME Annual Educational Conference, March 5-8, in Grapevine, TX.

Preparing for a Site Visit

To help ensure a successful site visit, program directors are advised to prepare thoroughly. The ACGME Field Staff recommend that program directors should be aware of changes in requirements and the site visit process; the ACGME web site, DIO News, ACGME Bulletin, and the RRC/IRC Executive Director are good

resources for the most current information. Program directors should also ensure that an internal review occurs at the mid-point between the last review and the next visit date. This candid feedback can help improve and strengthen the program.

Further pre-planning for a site visit should ensure that the program director, Chair, Chief, DIO, key faculty and peer-selected residents (as a group) are available for interview. Program directors should plan appropriately for the site visitor to review documents, tour the facility, and allow time for clarification and concluding the session. Site visitors expect that the education and training competencies are aligned, and that goals and objectives for the program and for each rotation are sequenced in competency format.

Ultimately, program directors are encouraged to invest time and effort to produce a consistent, fully completed, and accurate PIF.

Description of a DIO

DIO refers to the Designated Institutional Official. This individual has the authority and responsibility for all ACGME-accredited GME programs in an institution. The DIO signs PIFs and also receives copies of accreditation results. The DIO is required to co-sign most correspondence between the institution and the ACGME.

ACGME Welcomes New CEO

Thomas J. Nasca, MD, MACP, was named chief executive officer of the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education in September 2007.



Dr. Nasca comes to the ACGME from Thomas Jefferson University in Philadelphia where he was dean of the Jefferson Medical College, senior vice president for academic affairs, and president of Jefferson

University Physicians. Dr. Nasca is a board-certified internist and nephrologist, and brings 26 years of graduate medical education experience to the ACGME.

"I am honored to have the opportunity to join the ACGME and to succeed its outstanding and visionary leader for the past 10 years, David C. Leach, MD," said Dr. Nasca in an ACGME news release announcing his appointment. "I hope to enhance the ACGME's legacy of excellence and sustain our commitment to improve the health of the public through outstanding graduate medical education for the future physicians of the United States."

He succeeds Dr. Leach, who retired in Fall 2007.

RRC Welcomes New Chair and Vice Chair

At its March 2008 meeting, the RRC elected W. Douglas Wong, MD, Chief, Colorectal Surgery at the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center in New York, NY as its new chair; Eric G. Weiss, MD, DIO and Chair of GME at Cleveland Clinic Florida in Weston, FL was elected the new vice chair.

RRC Welcomes New Members

Jaime Bohl, MD is the new resident member of the Committee. Dr. Bohl is a fellow in the Department of Colorectal Surgery at Lahey Clinic, replacing member Susan Garand, MD. Michael J. Stamos, MD and Bruce Orkin, MD are also new members of the Committee. Dr. Stamos is Chief of the Division of Colon and Rectal Surgery at the University of California, Irvine School of Medicine. Dr. Orkin is Director of the Division of Colorectal Surgery at George Washington University. These new Committee members will begin their terms effective July 1, 2008.

RRC Welcomes New Executive Director

Louise King came to the ACGME in 1997 from the American Medical Association where she worked 11 years directly with the Association's Board of Trustees. During her tenure with the ACGME Ms. King has staffed several surgical specialties and continues as the Associate Executive Director for the Surgery, Plastic Surgery and Thoracic Surgery Review Committees. She is a graduate of National Louis University College of Management and Business with a Masters of Science degree in Managerial Leadership.

RRC Meeting and Agenda Closing Date

Meeting: September 19, 2008
Agenda Closing: July 11, 2008

Meeting: March 20, 2009
Agenda Closing: January 11, 2009

Mailing Address:
RRC for Colon and Rectal Surgery
ACGME
515 North State St., Suite 2000
Chicago, IL 60654

We invite your comments: lking@acgme.org

Review Committee Members

Patrice Blair , MPH, *Ex-Officio*
Eric J. Dozois , MD
Susan Garand, MD, *Resident*
Robert D. Madoff, MD
Jan Rakinic, MD, *Chair*
David Schoetz , MD, *Ex-Officio*
Clifford L. Simmang, MD
Eric G. Weiss, MD
W. Douglas Wong, MD, *Vice-Chair*

RRC Staff

Larry Sulton, PhD
Senior Executive Director
312.755.5027
lds@acgme.org

Louise King, MS
Executive Director
312.755.5498
lking@acgme.org

Sandra Benitez
Accreditation Administrator
312.755.7472
sab@acgme.org

Allean Morrow-Young
Accreditation Assistant
312.755.5038
amh@acgme.org