ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Clinical Informatics

Applications will be accepted from programs whose Sponsoring Institution also sponsors an ACGME-accredited program in at least one of the following specialties: anesthesiology, diagnostic radiology, emergency medicine, family medicine, internal medicine, medical genetics and genomics, pathology, pediatrics, or preventive medicine.

Applications for accreditation of clinical informatics fellowship programs will be accepted by the Review Committees for Anesthesiology, Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Pathology, or Pediatrics. Applications for accreditation are available on the Program Requirements and FAQs and Applications page of each specialty's section of the website.

If the program is not affiliated with an ACGME-accredited program in anesthesiology, family medicine, internal medicine, pathology, or pediatrics, the program may apply as a residency-independent fellowship (see the <u>ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures</u> Subject 16.b.(2).(b). In this circumstance, please e-mail <u>ads@acgme.org</u> for instructions prior to initiating the application.

New program applications must use the online application process within the Accreditation Data System (ADS). For further information, review the "Application Instructions."

Contents

Int	roducti	on	3
	Int.A.	Preamble	3
	Int.B.	Definition of Subspecialty	3
	Int.C.	Length of Educational Program	4
I.		ght	
	I.A.	Sponsoring Institution	4
	I.B.	Participating Sites	5
	I.C.	Recruitment	
	I.D.	Resources	7
	I.E.	Other Learners and Other Care Providers	8
II.	Persoi	nnel	8
	II.A.	Program Director	8
	II.B.	Faculty	12
	II.C.	Program Coordinator	14
	II.D.	Other Program Personnel	15
III.	Fellow	Appointments	15
	III.A.	Eligibility Criteria	15
	III.B.	Number of Fellows	17
	III.C.	Fellow Transfers	17
IV.	Educa	tional Program	17
	IV.A.	Curriculum Components	18
	IV.B.	ACGME Competencies	18
	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences	22
	IV.D.	Scholarship	24
	IV.E.	Independent Practice	26
٧.	Evalua	ıtion	26
	V.A.	Fellow Evaluation	26
	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation	29
	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement	30
VI.	The Le	earning and Working Environment	34
	VI.A.	Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	35
	VI.B.	Professionalism	
	VI.C.	Well-Being	42
	VI.D.	Fatigue Mitigation	45
	VI.E.	Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care	46
	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education	47

ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Clinical Informatics

Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are in BOLD

Where applicable, text in italics describes the underlying philosophy of the requirements in that section. These philosophic statements are not program requirements and are therefore not citable.

Background and Intent: These fellowship requirements reflect the fact that these learners have already completed the first phase of graduate medical education. Thus, the Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are intended to explain the differences.

Introduction

Int.A.

Fellowship is advanced graduate medical education beyond a core residency program for physicians who desire to enter more specialized practice. Fellowship-trained physicians serve the public by providing subspecialty care, which may also include core medical care, acting as a community resource for expertise in their field, creating and integrating new knowledge into practice, and educating future generations of physicians. Graduate medical education values the strength that a diverse group of physicians brings to medical care.

Fellows who have completed residency are able to practice independently in their core specialty. The prior medical experience and expertise of fellows distinguish them from physicians entering into residency training. The fellow's care of patients within the subspecialty is undertaken with appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence. Faculty members serve as role models of excellence, compassion, professionalism, and scholarship. The fellow develops deep medical knowledge, patient care skills, and expertise applicable to their focused area of practice. Fellowship is an intensive program of subspecialty clinical and didactic education that focuses on the multidisciplinary care of patients. Fellowship education is often physically, emotionally, and intellectually demanding, and occurs in a variety of clinical learning environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members of the health care team.

In addition to clinical education, many fellowship programs advance fellows' skills as physician-scientists. While the ability to create new knowledge within medicine is not exclusive to fellowship-educated physicians, the fellowship experience expands a physician's abilities to pursue hypothesis-driven scientific inquiry that results in contributions to the medical literature and patient care. Beyond the clinical subspecialty expertise achieved, fellows develop mentored relationships built on an infrastructure that promotes collaborative research.

Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty

48 49 Clinical informatics is the subspecialty of all medical specialties that transforms 50 health care by analyzing, designing, implementing, and evaluating information 51 and communication systems to improve patient care, enhance access to care, 52 advance individual and population health outcomes, and strengthen the clinician-53 patient relationship. 54 55 Physicians who practice clinical informatics draw from the broader field of 56 biomedical and health information technology (IT) as they apply informatics methods, concepts, and tools to the practice of medicine. Thus, they must 57 understand the culture, boundaries, and complexities of the field. Further, the 58 59 stakeholders, structures, and processes that constitute the health system affect 60 the information and knowledge needs of health care professionals and influence 61 the selection and implementation of clinical information processes and systems. 62 63 Physicians who practice clinical informatics collaborate with other health care 64 and IT professionals and provide consultative services that use their knowledge 65 of patient care combined with their understanding of informatics concepts, 66 methods, and health IT tools to improve clinical practice by: 67 68 Int.B.1. leading initiatives designed to enhance health care quality and access by 69 supporting and facilitating care coordination and transitions of care 70 through the procurement, customization, development, implementation, 71 management, evaluation, and continuous improvement of clinical 72 information systems; 73 74 Int.B.2. securing the legal and ethical use of clinical information; 75 76 Int.B.3. assessing information and knowledge needs of health care professionals 77 and patients; 78 79 Int.B.4. characterizing, evaluating, and refining clinical processes; 80 81 Int.B.5. analyzing, developing, implementing, and refining clinical decision 82 support systems; and, 83 84 Int.B.6. participating in projects designed to use technology to promote patient 85 care that is safe, efficient, effective, timely, patient-centered, and 86 equitable. 87 88 Int.C. **Length of Educational Program** 89 90 The educational program in clinical informatics (CI) must be 24 months in length. 91 92 93 Int.C.1. Fellows must complete the program within 48 months of matriculation. (Core) 94 95 96 Ι. Oversight 97 98 I.A. **Sponsoring Institution**

The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.

When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the primary clinical site.

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. (Core)

I.B. Participating Sites

A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for fellows.

I.B.1. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. (Core)

120 I.B.1.a)

A clinical informatics fellowship must function as an integral part of
an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education
(ACGME)-accredited residency program in anesthesiology,
diagnostic radiology, emergency medicine, family medicine,
internal medicine, medical genetics and genomics, pathology,
pediatrics, or preventive medicine. (Core)

127 I.B.1.b) There must be an institutional policy governing the educational resources committed to the fellowship that ensures collaboration among the multiple disciplines and professions involved in educating fellows. (Core)

I.B.1.c) There may be only one ACGME-accredited clinical informatics program within a sponsoring institution. (Detail)†

135 I.B.1.d) The program structure should include participation of an academic informatics department. (Detail)

I.B.2. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship

140 141		between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)
142		
143	I.B.2.a)	The PLA must:
144		
145	I.B.2.a).(1)	be renewed at least every 10 years; and, ^(Core)
146		
147	I.B.2.a).(2)	be approved by the designated institutional official
148		(DIO). (Core)
149		
150	I.B.3.	The program must monitor the clinical learning and working
151		environment at all participating sites. (Core)
152		
153	I.B.3.a)	At each participating site there must be one faculty member,
154		designated by the program director, who is accountable for
155		fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the
156		program director. ^(Core)
157		

158 159

160

161

162 163

164

165 166

167

168 169 Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME's Accreditation Data System (ADS). (Core)

I.C. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other relevant members of its academic community. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution's mission and aims. The program's annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program's efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

470

I.D.	Resources
154	The annual to make and the older to Ocean advantage to the discount
I.D.1.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
	ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education.
ID 1 a)	There must be space and equipment for the educational program,
1.D. 1.a)	including meeting rooms, classrooms, computers, Internet access,
	visual and other educational aids, and work/study space. (Core)
	vioual and other oddodternal alde, and workedday opace.
I.D.1.b)	The primary clinical site must operate a clinical information system
,	that is able to: (Core)
I.D.1.b).(1)	collect, store, retrieve, and manage health and wellness
	data and information; (Core)
I.D.1.b).(2)	provide clinical decision support; and, (Core)
15 (1) (2)	
I.D.1.b).(3)	support ambulatory, inpatient, and remote care settings, as
	needed. (Core)
I D 2	The program in partnership with its Spansoring Institution must
1.0.2.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that
	promote fellow well-being and provide for: (Core)
	promote renow wen-being and provide for.
I.D.2.a)	access to food while on duty; (Core)
,	
I.D.2.b)	safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available
,	and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe
	patient care; (Core)
	I.D.1.a) I.D.1.b) I.D.1.b).(1) I.D.1.b).(2) I.D.1.b).(3) I.D.2.

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

202
 203 I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration
 204 capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care;
 205 (Core)

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

207208209

210211

I.D.2.d)

security and safety measures appropriate to the participating site; and, (Core)

accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's policy. (Core)

212213214

215

216

I.D.3. Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This must include access to electronic medical literature databases with full text capabilities. (Core)

217218219

I.D.4. The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. (Core)

220221222

223

I.E. A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured to optimize education for all learners present.

224225226

I.E.1.

Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core programs, if present. (Core)

227 228

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

229230

II. Personnel

II.A.1.

231232233

II.A. Program Director

234235236

There must be one faculty member appointed as program director with authority and accountability for the overall program, including compliance with all applicable program requirements. (Core)

237238239

II.A.1.a) The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program director. (Core)

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and made responsible for the program. This individual will have dedicated time for the leadership of the fellowship, and it is this individual's responsibility to communicate with the fellows, faculty members, DIO, GMEC, and the ACGME. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of program directors resides with the Review Committee.

245 246

247

II.A.2. The program director must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration.

248249250

II.A.2.a) At a minimum, the program director must be provided with support equal to a dedicated minimum of 0.1 FTE for administration of the program. (Core)

251252253

Background and Intent: Ten percent FTE is defined as one half-day per week.

"Administrative time" is defined as non-clinical time spent meeting the responsibilities of the program director as detailed in requirements II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16).

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

254255

II.A.3. Qualifications of the program director:

256 257

II.A.3.a) must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee; and, (Core)

258259260

261

262

263

II.A.3.b)

II.A.3.c)

must include current certification in the subspecialty for which they are the program director by a member board of the American Board of Medical Specialties or by a certifying board of the American Osteopathic Association, or subspecialty qualifications that are acceptable to the Review Committee; (Core)

264 265

266 267 must include at least three years of experience in clinical

268269270

II.A.3.d) must include experience in clinical informatics education. (Core)

informatics; and, (Core)

271272

II.A.4. Program Director Responsibilities

273274275

276277

278

The program director must have responsibility, authority, and accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows; and fellow education in the context of patient care. (Core)

309 310 311 312	II.A.4.a).(7)	have the authority to remove fellows from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not meet the standards of the program; (Core)	
012	who educate fellows effective fellow is a privilege that is ear	rogram director has the responsibility to ensure that all ly role model the Core Competencies. Working with a ned through effective teaching and professional role be removed by the program director when the standards nment are not met.	
0.40		artment who are not part of the educational program, and who is teaching the residents.	
313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347	II.A.4.a).(8)	submit accurate and complete information required and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; (Core)	
	II.A.4.a).(9)	provide applicants who are offered an interview with information related to the applicant's eligibility for the relevant subspecialty board examination(s); (Core)	
	II.A.4.a).(10)	provide a learning and working environment in which fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation; (Core)	
	II.A.4.a).(11)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures related to grievances and due process; (Core)	
	II.A.4.a).(12)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures for due process when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow; (Core)	
	Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program's leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.		
	II.A.4.a).(13)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures on employment and non-discrimination; (Core)	
	II.A.4.a).(13).(a)	Fellows must not be required to sign a non-competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.	
	II.A.4.a).(14)	document verification of program completion for all graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)	

II.A.4.a).(15)

provide verification of an individual fellow's completion upon the fellow's request, within 30 days; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

II.A.4.a).(16)

obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring Institution's DIO before submitting information or requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. (Core)

II.B. Faculty

Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education – faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care, professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members, through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the individual and the population.

 Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty members create an effective learning environment by acting in a professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and themselves.

Background and Intent: "Faculty" refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term "faculty," including "core faculty," does not imply or require an academic appointment or salary support.

II.B.1. For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all fellows at that location. (Core)

II.B.1.a)

In addition to the program director, there must be at least two

390		faculty members. (Core)
391		
392	II.B.1.a).(1)	The faculty members and program director should equal at
393	, (,	least two FTE. (Detail)
394		
395	II.B.2.	Faculty members must:
396		•
397	II.B.2.a)	be role models of professionalism; (Core)
398	,	• ,
399	II.B.2.b)	demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality,
400	,	cost-effective, patient-centered care; (Core)
401		,,

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

403	II.B.2.c)	demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; (Core)
404		
405	II.B.2.d)	devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill
406		their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; (Core)
407		
408	II.B.2.e)	administer and maintain an educational environment
409		conducive to educating fellows; (Core)
410		
411	II.B.2.f)	regularly participate in organized clinical discussions,
412		rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, ^(Core)
413		
414	II.B.2.g)	pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills
415		at least annually. ^(Core)

402

416

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

II.B.3.	Faculty Qualifications
	·
II.B.3.a)	Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in
•	their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.
	(Core)
II.B.3.b)	Subspecialty physician faculty members must:
,	
II.B.3.b).(1)	have current certification in the subspecialty by a
, , ,	member board of the American Board of Medical
	Specialties or by a certifying board of the American
	II.B.3.a)

429 430		Osteopathic Association, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee; and, (Core)
431		,
432	II.B.3.b).(2)	have at least two years of experience in clinical
433		informatics. (Detail)
434		
435	II.B.3.c)	Any non-physician faculty members who participate in
436		fellowship program education must be approved by the
437		program director. ^(Core)
438		

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

 II.B.3.d)

Any other specialty physician faculty members must have current certification in their specialty by the appropriate American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

II.B.4. Core Faculty

 Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide formative feedback to fellows. (Core)

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum and in assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in the subspecialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program, including completion of the annual ACGME Faculty Survey.

 II.B.4.a)

Core faculty members must be designated by the program director. (Core)

Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME Faculty Survey. (Core)

II.B.4.c)

In addition to the program director, there must be at least two core faculty members. (Core)

II.C. Program Coordinator

II.C.1. There must be a program coordinator. (Core)

II.C.2. The program coordinator must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and

configuration. (Core)

Background and Intent: The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison with learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management. Program coordinators are expected to develop unique knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, policies, and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in accreditation efforts, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

II.D. Other Program Personnel

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. (Core)

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

III. Fellow Appointments

III.A. Eligibility Criteria

III.A.1. Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs

All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of

492 493 494 495	Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada.	
		ligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be ining. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of II.A.4.a).(9).
496 497 498 499 500 501	III.A.1.a)	Fellowship programs must receive verification of each entering fellow's level of competence in the required field, upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. (Core)
502 503 504 505	III.A.1.b)	Prior to appointment in the program, each fellow must have completed a residency program that satisfies the requirements in III.A.1. (Core)
506	III.A.1.c)	Fellow Eligibility Exception
507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518		The Review Committees for Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine, Family Medicine, Internal Medicine, Medical Genetics and Genomics, Pathology, Pediatrics, Preventive Medicine, and Radiology will allow the following exception to the fellowship eligibility requirements:
	III.A.1.c).(1)	An ACGME-accredited fellowship program may accept an exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant who does not satisfy the eligibility requirements listed in III.A.1., but who does meet all of the following additional qualifications and conditions: (Core)
520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527	III.A.1.c).(1).(a)	evaluation by the program director and fellowship selection committee of the applicant's suitability to enter the program, based on prior training and review of the summative evaluations of training in the core specialty; and, (Core)
528 529 530 531	III.A.1.c).(1).(b)	review and approval of the applicant's exceptional qualifications by the GMEC; and, (Core)
532 533 534 535	III.A.1.c).(1).(c)	verification of Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG) certification. (Core)
536 537 538 539	III.A.1.c).(2)	Applicants accepted through this exception must have an evaluation of their performance by the Clinical Competency Committee within 12 weeks of matriculation. (Core)

Background and Intent: An exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant has (1) completed a residency program in the core specialty outside the continental United States that was not accredited by the ACGME, AOA, ACGME-I, RCPSC or CFPC, and (2) demonstrated clinical excellence, in comparison to peers, throughout training. Additional evidence of exceptional qualifications is required, which may include one of the following: (a) participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; (b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; and/or (c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency. Applicants being considered for these positions must be informed of the fact that their training may not lead to certification by ABMS member boards or AOA certifying boards.

In recognition of the diversity of medical education and training around the world, this early evaluation of clinical competence required for these applicants ensures they can provide quality and safe patient care. Any gaps in competence should be addressed as per policies for fellows already established by the program in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution.

III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the Review Committee. (Core)

III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review Committee. (Core)

III.C. Fellow Transfers

The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon matriculation. (Core)

IV. Educational Program

 The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational affiliation, size, or location of the program.

The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful physicians who provide compassionate care.

In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.

IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components: (Core) 575 576 577 IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's 578 mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired distinctive capabilities of its graduates; (Core) 579 580 581 IV.A.1.a) The program's aims must be made available to program applicants, fellows, and faculty members. (Core) 582 583 584 IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to 585 586 autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be 587 distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members; 588 589 590 IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive 591 responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in their subspecialty; (Core) 592 593

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

- IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles foundational to medical professionalism. (Core)
- IV.B. ACGME Competencies

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

594 595

596 597

598 599

600

601 602

605 606	IV.B.1.	The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: (Core)
607		
608	IV.B.1.a)	Professionalism
609		
610		Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism
611		and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core)
612		
613	IV.B.1.b)	Patient Care and Procedural Skills
614		

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. *The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs.* 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

616 617 618 619 620	IV.B.1.b).(1)	Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the treatment of health problems and the promotion of health. (Core)
621 622 623 624	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the leverage of information and communication technology to: (Core)
625 626 627 628 629 630	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(i)	incorporate informatics principles across the dimensions of health care including, health promotion, disease prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of individuals and their families across the lifespan; (Core)
631 632 633 634 635	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(ii)	use informatics tools to improve assessment, interdisciplinary care planning, management, coordination, and follow-up of patients; (Core)
636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(iii)	use informatics tools, such as electronic health records or personal health records, to facilitate the coordination and documentation of key events in patient care, such as family communication, consultation around goals of care, immunizations, advance directive completion, and involvement of multiple team members as appropriate; and, (Core)

645 646 647 648	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(iv)	use informatics tools to promote confidentiality and security of patient data.
649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b)	Fellows must demonstrate skill in fundamental programming, database design, and user interface design. (Core)
	IV.B.1.b).(1).(c)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in project management and software engineering related to the development and management of IT projects that are pertinent to patient care. (Core)
659 660 661 662 663	IV.B.1.b).(1).(d)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the identification of changes needed in organizational processes and clinician practices to optimize health system operational effectiveness. (Core)
663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673	IV.B.1.b).(1).(e)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the analysis of patient care workflow and processes to identify information system features that will support improved quality, efficiency, effectiveness, and safety of clinical services. (Core)
	IV.B.1.b).(1).(f)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the assessment of user needs for a clinical information or telecommunication system or application. (Core)
674 675 676 677 678	IV.B.1.b).(1).(g)	Fellows must combine an understanding of informatics concepts, methods, and health IT to develop, implement, and refine clinical decision support systems. (Core)
679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686	IV.B.1.b).(1).(h)	Fellows must evaluate the impact of information system implementation and use on patient care and users. (Core)
	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical, diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered essential for the area of practice. (Core)
687 688	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
689 690 691 692 693		Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care. (Core)
694 695	IV.B.1.c).(1)	Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of:

696 697	IV.B.1.c).(1).(a)	fundamental informatics vocabulary, concepts, models, and theories; (Core)
698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705	IV.B.1.c).(1).(b)	the health care environment, to include how business processes and financial considerations, including resourcing information technology, influence health care delivery and the flow of data among the major domains of the health system; (Core)
706 707 708 709	IV.B.1.c).(1).(c)	how information systems and processes enhance or compromise the decision making and actions of health care team members; (Core)
710 711 712	IV.B.1.c).(1).(d)	process improvement or change management for health care processes; (Core)
712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719	IV.B.1.c).(1).(e)	information system management skills, including project management, the life cycle of information systems, the constantly evolving capabilities of IT and health care, and the technical and non-technical issues surrounding system implementation; (Core)
720 721 722	IV.B.1.c).(1).(f)	the impact of clinical information systems on users and patients; $^{\left(\text{Core}\right) }$
723 724 725	IV.B.1.c).(1).(g)	strategies to support clinician users and promote clinician adoption of systems; (Core)
726 727 728	IV.B.1.c).(1).(h)	clinical decision design, support, use, and implementation; (Core)
729 730 731	IV.B.1.c).(1).(i)	evaluation of information systems to provide feedback for system improvement; (Core)
732 733 734 735 736	IV.B.1.c).(1).(j)	leadership in organizational change, fostering collaboration, communicating effectively, and managing large-scale projects related to clinical information systems; and, (Core)
737 738 739	IV.B.1.c).(1).(k)	risk management and mitigation related to patient safety and privacy. (Core)
740	IV.B.1.d)	Practice-based Learning and Improvement
741 742 743 744 745 746		Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. (Core)

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

747		
748	IV.B.1.e)	Interpersonal and Communication Skills
749	,	·
750		Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication
751		skills that result in the effective exchange of information and
752		collaboration with patients, their families, and health
753		professionals. (Core)
754		F
755	IV.B.1.f)	Systems-based Practice
756	,	
757		Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and
758		responsiveness to the larger context and system of health
759		care, including the social determinants of health, as well as
760		the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide
761		optimal health care. (Core)
762		
763	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences
764	11.0.	ournoulding organization and renow Experiences
765	IV.C.1.	The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational
766		experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory
767		continuity. (Core)
768		on and the second secon
769	IV.C.1.a)	Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the
770	,	frequency of rotational transitions, and rotations must be of
771		sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience,
772		defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision,
773		longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and meaningful
774		assessment and feedback. (Core)
775		accessification resources.
776	IV.C.1.b)	Clinical experiences should be structured to facilitate learning in a
777	,	manner that allows fellows to function as part of an effective
778		interprofessional team that works together towards the shared
779		goals of patient safety and quality improvement. (Core)
780		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
781	IV.C.2.	The program must provide instruction and experience in pain
782		management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition
783		of the signs of addiction. (Core)
784		.
785	IV.C.3.	Didactic sessions may be delivered at the primary clinical site or through
786		distance education with partnered and approved educational institutions.
787		(Detail)
788		
789	IV.C.4.	Fellows must participate in planning and in conducting conferences. (Core)
-		1 1 3

790		
791	IV.C.5.	Fellows must have clearly defined, written descriptions of responsibilities
792		and a reporting structure for all educational assignments. (Core)
793		
794	IV.C.6.	Educational assignments must be designed to provide fellows with
795		exposure to different types of clinical and health information systems. (Core)
796	n o =	
797	IV.C.7.	Educational assignments should have a particular focus (or foci), such as:
798 799		(Dotter)
800	IV.C.7.a)	algorithm development; (Detail)
801	1v.O.7.a)	algorithm development,
802	IV.C.7.b)	bioinformatics/computational biology; (Detail)
803	,	
804	IV.C.7.c)	clinical translational research; (Detail)
805	,	
806	IV.C.7.d)	data organization/user interface; (Detail)
807	n. (0 =)	II (Detail)
808	IV.C.7.e)	diagnostics; (Detail)
809 810	IV.C.7.f)	health information technology user interface design; (Detail)
811	14.0.7.1)	nealth information technology user interface design,
812	IV.C.7.g)	imaging informatics and radiology information systems; (Detail)
813	11.5.7.9/	imaging information and radiology imprimation by storie,
814	IV.C.7.h)	information technology business strategy and management; (Detail)
815	,	
816	IV.C.7.i)	laboratory information systems/pathology informatics; (Detail)
817		
818	IV.C.7.j)	public health informatics; (Detail)
819 820	IV.C.7.k)	regulatory informatics; (Detail)
821	IV.O.7.K)	regulatory informatics, (=)
822	IV.C.7.I)	remote systems/telemedicine; and, (Detail)
823	,	romoto cyclomotomoulomo, and,
824	IV.C.7.m)	specialty-specific focus. (Detail)
825	,	
826	IV.C.8.	Educational assignments should be conducted within at least three
827		different settings. (Detail)
828	11.4.0.0	
829	IV.C.9.	Each fellow must have an individualized learning plan that allows him or
830 831		her to demonstrate proficiency in all required competencies within the specified length of the educational program, and that: (Core)
832		specified length of the educational program, and that:
833	IV.C.9.a)	is specific to his or her primary specialty, or (Detail)
834	,	
835	IV.C.9.b)	incorporates the area of focus in his or her educational
836		assignment(s). ^(Detail)
837		
838	IV.C.10.	Fellows must have long-term assignments to integrate their knowledge
839		and prior experience in a clinical setting that poses real-world clinical
840		informatics challenges. (Core)

841 842 843 844 845	IV.C.10.a)	Each fellow must actively participate as a member of at least one interdisciplinary team that is addressing clinical informatics needs for the health system. (Core)	
846 847	IV.C.10.a).(1)	This experience must include analyzing issues, planning, and implementing recommendations from the team. (Detail)	
848 849 850 851	IV.C.10.a).(2)	The interdisciplinary team should include physicians, nurses, other health care professionals, administrators, and information technology/system personnel. (Detail)	
852 853 854	IV.C.11.	During the educational program, fellows should maintain their primary specialty certification. (Detail)	
855 856	IV.D.	Scholarship	
857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875		Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically, evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery, integration, application, and teaching. The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians, scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will	
		reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves. For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical research as the focus for scholarship.	
876 877	IV.D.1.	Program Responsibilities	
877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884	IV.D.1.a)	The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. (Core)	
	IV.D.1.b)	The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and faculty involvement in scholarly activities. (Core)	
885 886	IV.D.2.	Faculty Scholarly Activity	
886 887 888 889 890	IV.D.2.a)	Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate accomplishments in at least three of the following domains: (Core)	

891		 Research in basic science, education, translational
892		science, patient care, or population health
893		 Peer-reviewed grants
894		 Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives
895		 Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles,
896		chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports
897		 Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic
898		educational activities, or electronic educational
899		materials
900		 Contribution to professional committees, educational
901		organizations, or editorial boards
902		 Innovations in education
903		
904	IV.D.2.b)	The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly
905		activity within and external to the program by the following
906		methods:
907		

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program's effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows' scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

908		
909	IV.D.2.b).(1)	faculty participation in grand rounds, posters,
910		workshops, quality improvement presentations,
911		podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-
912		reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or
913		publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars,
914		service on professional committees, or serving as a
915		journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or
916		editor; (Outcome)‡
917		
918	IV.D.2.b).(2)	peer-reviewed publication. (Outcome)
919	, , ,	
920	IV.D.3.	Fellow Scholarly Activity
921		•
922	IV.D.3.a)	Scholarly activity should include at least one of the following:
923	,	, ,
924	IV.D.3.a).(1)	peer-reviewed funding and research; (Detail)
925	, ()	
926	IV.D.3.a).(2)	publication of original research or review articles; or, (Detail)
927	, ()	, ,
928	IV.D.3.a).(3)	presentations at local, regional, or national professional
929	, . (-)	and scientific society meetings. (Detail)
930		

- 931 IV.E. Fellowship programs may assign fellows to engage in the independent practice of their core specialty during their fellowship program.
 933
- 934 IV.E.1. If programs permit their fellows to utilize the independent practice 935 option, it must not exceed 20 percent of their time per week or 10 936 weeks of an academic year. (Core)

Background and Intent: Fellows who have previously completed residency programs have demonstrated sufficient competence to enter autonomous practice within their core specialty. This option is designed to enhance fellows' maturation and competence in their core specialty. This enables fellows to occupy a dual role in the health system: as learners in their subspecialty, and as credentialed practitioners in their core specialty. Hours worked in independent practice during fellowship still fall under the clinical and educational work hour limits. See Program Director Guide for more details.

V. Evaluation

938 939

940

942

944

- 941 V.A. Fellow Evaluation
- 943 V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is evaluating a fellow's learning by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

946 V.A.1.a) Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and 947 frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during 948 each rotation or similar educational assignment. (Core)

950

976 977

978 979 Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

330		
951	V.A.1.b)	Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the
952		assignment. ^(Core)
953		
954	V.A.1.b).(1)	For block rotations of greater than three months in
955		duration, evaluation must be documented at least
956		every three months. (Core)
957		
958	V.A.1.b).(2)	Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in
959	, , ,	the context of other clinical responsibilities must be
960		evaluated at least every three months and at
961		completion. (Core)
962		
963	V.A.1.c)	The program must provide an objective performance
964	,	evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-
965		specific Milestones, and must: (Core)
966		opositio initiotorios, and masti
967	V.A.1.c).(1)	use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers,
968	V.A.1.0).(1)	patients, self, and other professional staff members);
969		and, (Core)
970		anu, ·
971	V A 1 a) (2)	provide that information to the Clinical Competency
	V.A.1.c).(2)	provide that information to the Clinical Competency
972		Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow
973		performance and improvement toward unsupervised
974		practice. (Core)
975		

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

V.A.1.d) The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must:

980 981	V.A.1.d).(1)	meet with and review with each fellow their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance,
982		including progress along the subspecialty-specific
983		Milestones. (Core)
984		
985	V.A.1.d).(2)	assist fellows in developing individualized learning
986		plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas
987		for growth; and, ^(Core)
988		
989	V.A.1.d).(3)	develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following
990		institutional policies and procedures. (Core)
991		

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

992		
93	V.A.1.e)	At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of
94		each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the
95		next year of the program, if applicable. ^(Core)
96	V 4 4 6	
97	V.A.1.f)	The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible
98		for review by the fellow. (Core)
99		_, ,_ , ,
000	V.A.2.	Final Evaluation
001		
002	V.A.2.a)	The program director must provide a final evaluation for each
003		fellow upon completion of the program. (Core)
004		
005	V.A.2.a).(1)	The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when
006		applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must
007		be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage
800		in autonomous practice upon completion of the
009		program. (Core)
010		
011	V.A.2.a).(2)	The final evaluation must:
012		

1013 V.A.2.a).(2 1014 1015 1016 1017).(a)	become part of the fellow's permanent record maintained by the institution, and must be accessible for review by the fellow in accordance with institutional policy; (Core)
1018 V.A.2.a).(2 1019 1020 1021).(b)	verify that the fellow has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice; (Core)
1022 V.A.2.a).(2 1023 1024).(c)	consider recommendations from the Clinical Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
1025 V.A.2.a).(2 1026 1027).(d)	be shared with the fellow upon completion of the program. $^{\text{(Core)}}$
1028 V.A.3 . 1029 1030	A Clinical Compe program director	etency Committee must be appointed by the
1031 V.A.3.a) 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036	include th member. I program c who have	num the Clinical Competency Committee must ree members, at least one of whom is a core faculty Members must be faculty members from the same or other programs, or other health professionals extensive contact and experience with the sefellows. (Core)
1037 1038 V.A.3.b) 1039	The Clinic	al Competency Committee must:
1040 V.A.3.b).(1 1041 1042) rev (Core	iew all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually;
1043 V.A.3.b).(2 1044 1045		ermine each fellow's progress on achievement of subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, (Core)
1046 V.A.3.b).(3 1047 1048 1049	adv	et prior to the fellows' semi-annual evaluations and vise the program director regarding each fellow's ogress. (Core)
1050 V.B. 1051	Faculty Evaluation	
1052 V.B.1. 1053 1054 1055		st have a process to evaluate each faculty mance as it relates to the educational program at ore)

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback

on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

1000		
1057	V.B.1.a)	This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's
1058		clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational
1059		program, participation in faculty development related to their
1060		skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism,
1061		and scholarly activities. (Core)
1062		•
1063	V.B.1.b)	This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations
1064	-	by the fellows. ^(Core)
1065		•
1066	V.B.2.	Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least
1067		annually. ^(Core)
1068		·
1069	V.B.3.	Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be
1070		incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)
1071		

1056

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

1072		
1073	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement
1074		
1075	V.C.1.	The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
1076		Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
1077		Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
1078		process. (Core)
1079		
1080	V.C.1.a)	The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at
1081		least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a
1082		core faculty member, and at least one fellow. (Core)
1083		
1084	V.C.1.b)	Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:
1085		
1086	V.C.1.b).(1)	acting as an advisor to the program director, through
1087		program oversight; ^(Core)
1088		

1089	V.C.1.b).(2)	review of the program's self-determined goals and
1090		progress toward meeting them; (Core)
1091		
1092	V.C.1.b).(3)	guiding ongoing program improvement, including
1093		development of new goals, based upon outcomes;
1094		and, ^(Core)
1095		
1096	V.C.1.b).(4)	review of the current operating environment to identify
1097		strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as
1098		related to the program's mission and aims. (Core)
1099		

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

	to access the program a progress toward demotiment of its godie and anne.		
1100			
1101	V.C.1.c)	The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the	
1102		following elements in its assessment of the program:	
1103		. •	
1104	V.C.1.c).(1)	curriculum; (Core)	
1105	, \ ,	•	
1106	V.C.1.c).(2)	outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);	
1107	- / X /	(Core)	
1108			
1109	V.C.1.c).(3)	ACGME letters of notification, including citations,	
1110	/-(-/	Areas for Improvement, and comments; (Core)	
1111		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1112	V.C.1.c).(4)	quality and safety of patient care; (Core)	
1113	/-(-/	,,,	
1114	V.C.1.c).(5)	aggregate fellow and faculty:	
1115		ggga	
1116	V.C.1.c).(5).(a)	well-being; (Core)	
1117	/-(-/-(/	······································	
1118	V.C.1.c).(5).(b)	recruitment and retention; (Core)	
1119	/-(-/-(-/		
1120	V.C.1.c).(5).(c)	workforce diversity; (Core)	
1121	-/ \-/ \-/		
1122	V.C.1.c).(5).(d)	engagement in quality improvement and patient	
1123		safety; (Core)	
1124			
1125	V.C.1.c).(5).(e)	scholarly activity; (Core)	
1126	/-(-/-(-/	,,	
1127	V.C.1.c).(5).(f)	ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys	
1128	-/ */-\-/-/	(where applicable); and, (Core)	
1129		(·······)	
1130	V.C.1.c).(5).(g)	written evaluations of the program. (Core)	
1131	/-(-/-(3/	2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2	
1132	V.C.1.c).(6)	aggregate fellow:	
1133	/-(-/		

1134	V.C.1.c).(6).(a)	achievement of the Milestones; (Core)
1135 1136 1137	V.C.1.c).(6).(b)	in-training examinations (where applicable);
1138 1139 1140	V.C.1.c).(6).(c)	board pass and certification rates; and, (Core)
1141 1142	V.C.1.c).(6).(d)	graduate performance. (Core)
1143 1144	V.C.1.c).(7)	aggregate faculty:
1145 1146	V.C.1.c).(7).(a)	evaluation; and, (Core)
1147	V.C.1.c).(7).(b)	professional development (Core)
1148 1149 1150 1151	V.C.1.d)	The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for improvement, and threats. (Core)
1152 1153 1154	V.C.1.e)	The annual review, including the action plan, must:
1154 1155 1156 1157	V.C.1.e).(1)	be distributed to and discussed with the members of the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, $^{(Core)}$
1157 1158 1159	V.C.1.e).(2)	be submitted to the DIO. (Core)
1160 1161	V.C.2.	The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit. (Core)
1162 1163 1164	V.C.2.a)	A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO. (Core)

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures. Additionally, a description of the Self-Study process, as well as information on how to prepare for the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit, is available on the ACGME website.

V.C.3.	One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians
	who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the
	effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.
	The program director should encourage all eligible program
	graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the

1173 1174		applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181	V.C.3.a)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188	V.C.3.b)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196	V.C.3.c)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203	V.C.3.d)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209	V.C.3.e)	For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. (Outcome)

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

1210
 1211 V.C.3.f)
 1212 Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

1215 1216

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

1217 1218

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

1219 1220 1221

 Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today

1222 1223 1224

• Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice

1225 1226 1227

Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:

1228 1229

 the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians

1231 1232 1233

1230

o the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery

1234 1235 1236 • Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1237 1238

VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability

1239 1240

VI.A.1.

Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

1241 1242 1243

1244

1245

1246 1247 All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.

1248 1249 1250

1251 1252

1253

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.

1254 1255 1256

> It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.

1257 1258 1259

1260 1261

VI.A.1.a) **Patient Safety**

1262 1263

1264

1265

VI.A.1.a).(1) **Culture of Safety**

1266 1267 1268

1269

A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas for improvement.

1270 1271 1272

VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)

1274 1275

1273

1276 1277 The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety systems and contribute to a culture of safety. (Core)

278 279 280 281	VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)	The program must have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. (Core)
282 283	VI.A.1.a).(2)	Education on Patient Safety
284 285 286 287		Programs must provide formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)
	Background and Intent: Optima interprofessional learning and	al patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated working environment.
8 9 0	VI.A.1.a).(3)	Patient Safety Events
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.
	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)	Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must:
	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)	know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events at the clinical site; (Core)
	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)	know how to report patient safety events, including near misses, at the clinical site; and, (Core)
	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)	be provided with summary information of their institution's patient safety reports. (Core)
	VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)	Fellows must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical patient safety activities, such as root cause analyses or other activities that include analysis, as well as formulation and implementation of actions. (Core)
	VI.A.1.a).(4)	Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of Adverse Events

1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331		Patient-centered care requires patients, and when appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical situations that affect them, including adverse events. This is an important skill for faculty physicians to model, and for fellows to develop and apply.
1332 1333 1334 1335	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to disclose adverse events to patients and families. (Core)
1336 1337 1338 1339	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to participate in the disclosure of patient safety events, real or simulated. (Detail)
1340 1341	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1342 1343	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1344 1345 1346 1347 1348		A cohesive model of health care includes quality-related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary in order for health care professionals to achieve quality improvement goals.
1349 1350 1351 1352	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in quality improvement processes, including an understanding of health care disparities. (Core)
1353 1354	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1355 1356 1357 1358		Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for care improvement and evaluating success of improvement efforts.
1359 1360 1361 1362	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. (Core)
1362 1363 1364	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1365 1366 1367 1368		Experiential learning is essential to developing the ability to identify and institute sustainable systemsbased changes to improve patient care.
1369 1370 1371	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. (Core)
1372 1373 1374 1375	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. (Detail)
1376	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability

1377		
1378	VI.A.2.a)	Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for
1379		the care of the patient, every physician shares in the
1380 1381		responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the
1382		provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate,
1383		
1384		and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient
1385		care.
1386		care.
1387		Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education
1388		provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each
1389		fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes
1390		required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and
1391		establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.
1392		у от ти
1393	VI.A.2.a).(1)	Each patient must have an identifiable and
1394	, , ,	appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending
1395		physician (or licensed independent practitioner as
1396		specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is
1397		responsible and accountable for the patient's care.
1398		(Core)
1399		
1400	VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)	This information must be available to fellows,
1401		faculty members, other members of the health
1402		care team, and patients. ^(Core)
1403	\/ A O a\ /4\ /b\	Fallows and faculty mambars must inform and
1404 1405	VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)	Fellows and faculty members must inform each
1405		patient of their respective roles in that patient's care when providing direct patient care. (Core)
1407		care when providing direct patient care.
1408	VI.A.2.b)	Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods.
1409		For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician
1410		may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care
1411		provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the
1412		appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or
1413		fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication
1414		technology. Some activities require the physical presence of
1415		the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances,
1416		supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered
1417		care with feedback.
1418		

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

1419		
1420	VI.A.2.b).(1)	The program must demonstrate that the appropriate
1421		level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on
1422		each fellow's level of training and ability, as well as
1423		patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be
1424		exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate
1425		to the situation. ^(Core)
1426		
1427	VI.A.2.b).(2)	The program must define when physical presence of a
1428		supervising physician is required. (Core)
1429		
1430	VI.A.2.c)	Levels of Supervision
1431		
1432		To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing
1433		for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use
1434		the following classification of supervision: (Core)
1435		
1436	VI.A.2.c).(1)	Direct Supervision:
1437		
1438	VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)	the supervising physician is physically present
1439		with the fellow during the key portions of the
1440		patient interaction. (Core)
1441		
1442	VI.A.2.c).(2)	Indirect Supervision: the supervising physician is not
1443		providing physical or concurrent visual or audio
1444		supervision but is immediately available to the fellow
1445		for guidance and is available to provide appropriate
1446		direct supervision. (Core)
1447		
1448	VI.A.2.c).(3)	Oversight – the supervising physician is available to
1449		provide review of procedures/encounters with
1450		feedback provided after care is delivered. (Core)
1451		
1452	VI.A.2.d)	The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility,
1453		conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient
1454		care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the
1455		program director and faculty members. ^(Core)
1456		
1457	VI.A.2.d).(1)	The program director must evaluate each fellow's
1458		abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the
1459		Milestones. (Core)
1460		
1461	VI.A.2.d).(2)	Faculty members functioning as supervising
1462		physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows
1463		based on the needs of the patient and the skills of
1464		each fellow. (Core)
1465		
1466	VI.A.2.d).(3)	Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior
1467		fellows and residents in recognition of their progress
1468		toward independence, based on the needs of each

	patient and the skills of the individual resident or fellow. (Detail)
VI.A.2.e)	Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events in which fellows must communicate with the supervising faculty member(s). (Core)
VI.A.2.e).(1)	Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of authority, and the circumstances under which the fellow is permitted to act with conditional independence. (Outcome)
	d and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional nce as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined
VI.A.2.f)	Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient care authority and responsibility. (Core)
/I.B.	Professionalism
VI.B.1.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their patients. (Core)
VI.B.2.	The learning objectives of the program must:
VI.B.2.a)	be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic educational events; (Core)
VI.B.2.b)	be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1505 **VI.B.2.c)**

1504

ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. (Core)

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define "manageable patient care responsibilities" as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

VI.B.3.	The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)
VI.B.4.	Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the:
VI.B.4.a)	provision of patient- and family-centered care; (Outcome)
VI.B.4.b)	safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse events; (Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

VI.B.4.c)

assurance of their fitness for work, including: (Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

1525	VI.B.4.c).(1)	management of their time before, during, and after
1526		clinical assignments; and, (Outcome)
1527		
1528	VI.B.4.c).(2)	recognition of impairment, including from illness,
1529		fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers,
1530		and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)
1531		
1532	VI.B.4.d)	commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
1533		
1534	VI.B.4.e)	monitoring of their patient care performance improvement
1535		indicators; and, (Outcome)
1536		•
	VI D 4.6\	accounts we next to a state of all selections and a selection of the country of t
1537	VI.B.4.f)	accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours,
1538		patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)

1540 VI.B.5. All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the 1541 1542 recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of 1543 the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome) 1544 1545 1546 VI.B.6. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must 1547 provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of 1548 harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows, 1549 faculty, and staff. (Core) 1550 1551 1552 VI.B.7. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding 1553 1554 unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting. investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core) 1555 1556 1557 VI.C. Well-Being 1558 1559

1560 1561

1562

1563

1564

1565 1566

1567 1568

1569 1570

1571 1572

1573

1574 1575

1576 1577

1578

Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their own real-life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other members of the health care team are important components of professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.

Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their careers.

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website.

As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. In addition, there are many activities that programs can utilize now to assess and support physician well-being. These

vi.C.1.a)

The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:

Vi.C.1.a)

efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the experience of being a physician, including protecting time with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations, providing administrative support, promoting progressive autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional relationships; (Core)

Vi.C.1.b)

attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work

compression that impacts fellow well-being; (Core)

 VI.C.1.c) evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of fellows and faculty members; (Core)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

VI.C.1.d).(1)

Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours.

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1606
1607 VI.C.1.e) attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression,
1608 and substance use disorder. The program, in partnership with
1609 its Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and
1610 fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout,
1611 depression, and substance use disorder, including means to
1612 assist those who experience these conditions. Fellows and

faculty members must also be educated to recognize those symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care.

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must: (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder. Materials and more information are available on the Physician Well-being section of the ACGME website (http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being).

VI.C.1.e).(1)

encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the program director or other designated personnel or programs when they are concerned that another fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying signs of burnout, depression, a substance use disorder, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence;

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance use disorder, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening; and, (Core)

VI.C.1.e).(3) provide access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment, including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. (Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

1637	VI.C.2.	There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend
1638		work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family
1639		emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an
1640		appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their
1641		patient care responsibilities. (Core)
1642		·
1643	VI.C.2.a)	The program must have policies and procedures in place to
1644	•	ensure coverage of patient care. (Core)
1645		·
1646	VI.C.2.b)	These policies must be implemented without fear of negative
1647	,	consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide
1648		
1649		
1646 1647 1648	VI.C.2.b)	These policies must be implemented without fear of negative

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

1651	VI.D.	Fatigue Mitigation
1652		
1653	VI.D.1.	Programs must:
1654		•
1655	VI.D.1.a)	educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the
1656	-	signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; (Core)
1657		
1658	VI.D.1.b)	educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness
1659	-	management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, (Core)
1660		
1661	VI.D.1.c)	encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to
1662	-	manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient
1663		care and learning. (Detail)
1664		-

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

1665

1636

1650

1666 1667 1668 1669 1670	VI.D.2.	Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program's policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2–VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue. (Core)
1671 1672 1673 1674	VI.D.3.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. (Core)
1675 1676	VI.E.	Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care
1677 1678 1679 1680 1681 1682	VI.E.1.	Clinical Responsibilities The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient illness/condition, and available support services. (Core)
1682	<u> </u>	

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

VI.E.2.	Teamwork
	Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes
	communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a
	member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to
	the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system.
	(Core)
VI.E.3.	Transitions of Care
VI.E.3.a)	Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize
	transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency,
	and structure. (Core)
VI.E.3.b)	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions,
	must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over
	processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient
	safety. (Core)
VI.E.3.c)	Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in
	communicating with team members in the hand-over process.
	(~)

Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate 1707 VI.E.3.d) schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently 1708 responsible for care. (Core) 1709 1710 1711 VI.E.3.e) Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, 1712 consistent with the program's policies and procedures 1713 referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may 1714 be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. (Core) 1715 1716 1717 VI.F. **Clinical Experience and Education** 1718 1719 Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design 1720

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal activities.

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms "clinical experience and education," "clinical and educational work," and "clinical and educational work hours" replace the terms "duty hours," "duty periods," and "duty." These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term "duty" in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows' duty to "clock out" on time superseded their duty to their patients.

VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week

Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from home, and all moonlighting. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

1721

1722 1723

1724 1725

1726 1727

1728

1729

1730 1731

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

VI.F.2. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education

VI.F.2.a) The program must design an effective program structure that

is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. (Core)

1732 1733

1734 1735

1736

1737

1738

1740 VI.F.2.b) Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. (Detail) 1741 1742 1743 VI.F.2.b).(1) There may be circumstances when fellows choose to 1744 stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience 1745 1746 and education. This must occur within the context of 1747 the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Detail) 1748 1749

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1750 1751

VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)

1752 1753

> Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

1755

VI.F.2.d)

Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. (Core)

1754

1756

1757 1758 1759

> Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

1760 1761

1762

VI.F.3. **Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length**

VI.F.3.a)	exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical
	assignments. ^(Core)
VI.F.3.a).(1)	Up to four hours of additional time may be used for
	activities related to patient safety, such as providing
	effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.
	(Core)
VI.F.3.a).(1).(a)	Additional patient care responsibilities must not
	be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)
	VI.F.3.a).(1)

\// E A \

4700

1775

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

VI.F.4.	Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions
VI.F.4.a)	In rare circumstances, after handing off all other
	responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to
	remain or return to the clinical site in the following
	circumstances:
VI.F.4.a).(1)	to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or
, , ,	unstable patient; (Detail)
VI.F.4.a).(2)	humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or
, , ,	family; or, (Detail)
VI.F.4.a).(3)	to attend unique educational events. (Detail)
, , ,	•
VI.F.4.b)	These additional hours of care or education will be counted
•	toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)
	·
	VI.F.4.a).(1) VI.F.4.a).(2) VI.F.4.a).(3)

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1794
1795 VI.F.4.c) A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions
1796 for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and

	educational work hours to individual programs based on a sound educational rationale.
VI.F.5.	Moonlighting
VI.F.5.a)	Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program, and must not interfere with the fellow's fitness for work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)
VI.F.5.b)	Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting (as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)
moonlighting, p	Id Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to clease refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at me.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements).
VI.F.6.	In-House Night Float
	Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)
	Id Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.
VI.F.7.	Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency
	Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)
VI.F.8.	At-Home Call
VI.F.8.a)	Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the everythird-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one day in seven free of clinical work and education, when averaged over four weeks. (Core)
VI.F.8.a).(1)	At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each fellow. (Core)
VI.F.8.b)	Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on athome call to provide direct care for new or established patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Detail)

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

*Core Requirements: Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

 [†]**Detail Requirements:** Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

[‡]Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

Osteopathic Recognition

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).