# ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Epilepsy

ACGME-approved Focused Revision: February 7, 2022; effective July 1, 2022

## **Contents**

Int	roducti	on	3
	Int.A.	Preamble	3
	Int.B.	Definition of Subspecialty	3
	Int.C.	Length of Educational Program	4
I.		ght	
	I.A.	Sponsoring Institution	4
	I.B.	Participating Sites	4
	I.C.	Recruitment	5
	I.D.	Resources	6
	I.E.	Other Learners and Other Care Providers	7
II.	Persor	nnel	7
	II.A.	Program Director	7
	II.B.	Faculty	11
	II.C.	Program Coordinator	15
	II.D.	Other Program Personnel	15
III.	Fellow	Appointments	16
	III.A.	Eligibility Criteria	16
	III.B.	Number of Fellows	16
	III.C.	Fellow Transfers	16
IV.	Educa	tional Program	17
	IV.A.	Curriculum Components	17
	IV.B.	ACGME Competencies	18
	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences	20
	IV.D.	Scholarship	22
٧.	Evalua	tion	24
	V.A.	Fellow Evaluation	24
	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation	27
	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement	28
VI.	The Le	arning and Working Environment	
	VI.A.	Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	
	VI.B.	Professionalism	
	VI.C.	Well-Being	40
	VI.D.	Fatigue Mitigation	43
	VI.E.	Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care	44
	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education	45

# ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Epilepsy

## Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are in BOLD

Where applicable, text in italics describes the underlying philosophy of the requirements in that section. These philosophic statements are not program requirements and are therefore not citable.

Background and Intent: These fellowship requirements reflect the fact that these learners have already completed the first phase of graduate medical education. Thus, the Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are intended to explain the differences.

### Introduction

**Int.A.** 

Fellowship is advanced graduate medical education beyond a core residency program for physicians who desire to enter more specialized practice. Fellowship-trained physicians serve the public by providing subspecialty care, which may also include core medical care, acting as a community resource for expertise in their field, creating and integrating new knowledge into practice, and educating future generations of physicians. Graduate medical education values the strength that a diverse group of physicians brings to medical care.

Fellows who have completed residency are able to practice independently in their core specialty. The prior medical experience and expertise of fellows distinguish them from physicians entering into residency training. The fellow's care of patients within the subspecialty is undertaken with appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence. Faculty members serve as role models of excellence, compassion, professionalism, and scholarship. The fellow develops deep medical knowledge, patient care skills, and expertise applicable to their focused area of practice. Fellowship is an intensive program of subspecialty clinical and didactic education that focuses on the multidisciplinary care of patients. Fellowship education is often physically, emotionally, and intellectually demanding, and occurs in a variety of clinical learning environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members of the health care team.

In addition to clinical education, many fellowship programs advance fellows' skills as physician-scientists. While the ability to create new knowledge within medicine is not exclusive to fellowship-educated physicians, the fellowship experience expands a physician's abilities to pursue hypothesis-driven scientific inquiry that results in contributions to the medical literature and patient care. Beyond the clinical subspecialty expertise achieved, fellows develop mentored relationships built on an infrastructure that promotes collaborative research.

Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty

56

59 60 61

54 55

57 58

62 63

65 66 67

71 72

73

78

79

84 85

86 87 88 Epilepsy is a discipline within neurology that includes evaluation and treatment of adults and children with seizures and seizure disorders. Specialists in epilepsy possess specialized knowledge in the science, pathology, clinical evaluation, diagnosis, and management of these disorders at a level beyond that expected of a general or child neurologist.

#### Int.C. **Length of Educational Program**

The educational program in epilepsy must be 12 months in length. (Core)\*

#### I. **Oversight**

#### I.A. **Sponsoring Institution**

The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.

When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the primary clinical site.

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

- I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. (Core)
- I.B. **Participating Sites**

A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for fellows.

- I.B.1. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. (Core)
- I.B.1.a) The Sponsoring Institution must also sponsor an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)-accredited residency program in child neurology or neurology. (Core)
- I.B.2. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship

89		between the program and the participating site providing a required
90		assignment. (Core)
91		
92	I.B.2.a)	The PLA must:
93		
94	I.B.2.a).(1)	be renewed at least every 10 years; and, (Core)
95		
96	I.B.2.a).(2)	be approved by the designated institutional official
97		(DIO). (Core)
98		
99	I.B.3.	The program must monitor the clinical learning and working
100		environment at all participating sites. (Core)
101		
102	I.B.3.a)	At each participating site there must be one faculty member,
103		designated by the program director, who is accountable for
104		fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the
105		program director. <sup>(Core)</sup>
106		

~~

107

118

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

108 I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of 109 participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, 110 required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME's Accreditation Data System (ADS). (Core) 111 112 113 I.C. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment 114 and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), 115 fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other 116 relevant members of its academic community. (Core) 117

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution's mission and aims. The program's annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program's efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

	ao motoa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
119		
120	I.D.	Resources
121		
122	I.D.1.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
123		ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education.
124		(Core)
125		
126	I.D.1.a)	There must be adequate inpatient and outpatient facilities,
127		examining areas, conference rooms, and office space for faculty
128		members and fellows. (Core)
129		
130	I.D.1.b)	There must be adequate diagnostic resources, including structural
131		and functional neuroimaging, an electroencephalography (EEG)
132		laboratory, an Epilepsy Monitoring Unit (EMU), and an epilepsy
133		surgery program. (Core)
134		
135	I.D.2.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
136		ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that
137		promote fellow well-being and provide for: (Core)
138	\	(Coro)
139	I.D.2.a)	access to food while on duty; (Core)
140		
141	I.D.2.b)	safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available
142		and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe
143		patient care; <sup>(Core)</sup>
144		

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care;

(Core)

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients,

145 146

147

such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

150 151 I.D.2.d) security and safety measures appropriate to the participating site; and. (Core) 152 153 154 accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with I.D.2.e) 155 the Sponsoring Institution's policy. (Core) 156 157 I.D.3. Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This 158 159 must include access to electronic medical literature databases with 160 full text capabilities. (Core) 161 162 I.D.4. The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate 163 to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. (Core) 164 165 I.D.4.a) The patient population must reflect the full spectrum of seizures and epilepsy across the lifespan, including patients seen in both 166 the outpatient and inpatient settings. (Core) 167 168 169 I.E. A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and 170 other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured to optimize education for all learners present. 171 172 Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core I.E.1. 173 programs, if present. (Core) 174 175

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

### II. Personnel

176177

190

178		
179	II.A.	Program Director
180		
181	II.A.1.	There must be one faculty member appointed as program director
182		with authority and accountability for the overall program, including
183		compliance with all applicable program requirements. (Core)
184		
185	II.A.1.a)	The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education
186		Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program
187		director. (Core)
188		
189	II.A.1.b)	Final approval of the program director resides with the

Review Committee. (Core)

191

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and have overall responsibility for the program. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of the program director resides with the applicable ACGME Review Committee.

192 193

II.A.2. The program director and, as applicable, the program's leadership team, must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)

194 195 196

197

198

II.A.2.a) Program leadership, in aggregate, must be provided with support equal to a dedicated minimum time specified below for

199 200 administration of the program. This may be time spent by the program director only or divided between the program director and one or more associate (or assistant) program directors. (Core)

201 202

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum FTE
<u>1-3</u>	<u>0.1</u>
<u>4-6</u>	<u>0.15</u>
<u>7-9</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>10-12</u>	<u>0.25</u>
13_15	0.3

203

204 205

208

206 207 At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the salary support required to devote 10 percent FTE of non-clinical time to the administration of the program. Additional support must be provided based on program size as follows: (Core)

Number of approved fellow positions	Minimum FTE
<del>1-3</del>	<del>0.1</del>
4 or more	<del>0.15</del>

209

Background and Intent: To achieve successful graduate medical education, individuals serving as education and administrative leaders of fellowship programs, as well as those significantly engaged in the education, supervision, evaluation, and mentoring of fellows, must have sufficient dedicated professional time to perform the vital activities required to sustain an accredited program.

The ultimate outcome of graduate medical education is excellence in fellow education and patient care.

The program director and, as applicable, the program leadership team, devote a portion of their professional effort to the oversight and management of the fellowship program, as defined in II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16). Both provision of support for the time required for the leadership effort and flexibility regarding how this support is provided are important. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, may provide support for this time in a variety of ways. Examples of support may include, but are not limited to, salary

support, supplemental compensation, educational value units, or relief of time from other professional duties.

Program directors and, as applicable, members of the program leadership team, who are new to the role may need to devote additional time to program oversight and management initially as they learn and become proficient in administering the program. It is suggested that during this initial period the support described above be increased as needed.

210

243

210		
211	II.A.3.	Qualifications of the program director:
212		
213	II.A.3.a)	must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications
214		acceptable to the Review Committee; and, (Core)
215		
216	II.A.3.b)	must include current certification in the subspecialty for
217		which they are the program director by the American Board
218		of Psychiatry and Neurology (ABPN) or by the American
219		Osteopathic Board of Neurology and Psychiatry, or
220		subspecialty qualifications that are acceptable to the Review
221		Committee. (Core)
222		
223	II.A.3.b).(1)	The Review Committee only accepts current ABPN or
224		AOBNP certification in epilepsy. (Core)
225		
226	II.A.4.	Program Director Responsibilities
227		
228		The program director must have responsibility, authority, and
229		accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and
230		scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and
231		promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows;
232		and fellow education in the context of patient care. (Core)
233		
234	II.A.4.a)	The program director must:
235		(Cara)
236	II.A.4.a).(1)	be a role model of professionalism; (Core)
237		

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

238
239 II.A.4.a).(2)
240
241
242

design and conduct the program in a fashion consistent with the needs of the community, the mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the mission(s) of the program; (Core)

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

II.A.4.a).(3) administer and maintain a learning environment conducive to educating the fellows in each of the ACGME Competency domains; (Core)

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

II.A.4.a).(4)	develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates prior to approval as program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education and at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.; (Core)
II.A.4.a).(5)	have the authority to approve program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)

II.A.4.a).(6)

II.A.4.a).(7)

have the authority to remove program faculty members from participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)

 have the authority to remove fellows from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not meet the standards of the program; (Core)

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

268	II.A.4.a).(8)	submit accurate and complete information required
269		and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; (Core)
270		
271	II.A.4.a).(9)	provide applicants who are offered an interview with
272		information related to the applicant's eligibility for the
273		relevant subspecialty board examination(s); (Core)
274		

275 276 277 278 279	II.A.4.a).(10)		provide a learning and working environment in which fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation; (Core)		
280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289	II.A.4.a).(11)		ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures related to grievances and due process; (Core)		
	II.A.4.a).(12)		ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures for due process when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow; (Core)		
290	Institution. Institution's	It is expected that the policies and proceed	ram does not operate independently of its Sponsoring the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring dures, and will ensure they are followed by the tembers, support personnel, and fellows.		
291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298	II.A.4.a).(13)		ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures on employment and non-discrimination; (Core)		
	II.A.4.a).(13).	(a)	Fellows must not be required to sign a non-competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.		
299 300 301	II.A.4.a).(14)		document verification of program completion for all graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)		
302 303 304 305	II.A.4.a).(15)		provide verification of an individual fellow's completion upon the fellow's request, within 30 days; and, (Core)		
306	Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.				
307 308 309 310 311 312 313	II.A.4.a).(16)		obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring Institution's DIO before submitting information or requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. (Core)		
314 315	II.B.	Faculty			

316 317 Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education - faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members 318 319 provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are 320 321 role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating 322 compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care, 323 professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members 324 experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to 325 326 teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members, 327 through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the 328 individual and the population. 329 330 Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected 331 from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty members create an effective learning environment by acting in a professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and themselves.

Background and Intent: "Faculty" refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term "faculty," including "core faculty," does not imply or require an academic appointment.

339 340 341 342	II.B.1.	For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all fellows at that location. (Core)
343 344 345	II.B.1.a)	Faculty members must demonstrate competence in both clinical care and teaching. (Core)
346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354	II.B.1.b)	Faculty members or consultants with special expertise in all the disciplines related to neurology, including behavioral neurology, child neurology, clinical neurophysiology, epilepsy, headache, movement disorders, neurocritical care, neurogenetics, neuroimaging, neurology of aging, neuromuscular medicine, neuro-oncology, neuro-ophthalmology, neuropathology, pain management, psychiatry, sleep disorders, and vascular neurology, should be available to epilepsy fellows. (Detail)
355 356 357 358 359	II.B.1.c)	Faculty members with expertise in the performance and interpretation of routine EEG, video EEG monitoring, and intracranial EEG recording must be available to instruct fellows.
360 361	II.B.2.	Faculty members must:
362 363	II.B.2.a)	be role models of professionalism; (Core)

II.B.2.b)	demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality,
	cost-effective, patient-centered care; (Core)

364 365 366

367

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

501		
368	II.B.2.c)	demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; (Core)
369		
370	II.B.2.d)	devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill
371	•	their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; (Core)
372		
373	II.B.2.e)	administer and maintain an educational environment
374	•	conducive to educating fellows; (Core)
375		· ·
376	II.B.2.f)	regularly participate in organized clinical discussions,
377	,	rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, (Core)
378		•
379	II.B.2.g)	pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills
380	3,	at least annually. (Core)
381		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

	reported for th	c renewant program racarty in the aggregate.
382 383	II.B.3.	Faculty Qualifications
384	п.Б.3.	ractity Qualifications
385 386	II.B.3.a)	Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.
387 388		(Core)
389 390	II.B.3.b)	Subspecialty physician faculty members must:
391 392	II.B.3.b).(1)	have current certification in the subspecialty by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology or the
393 394		American Osteopathic Board of Neurology and Psychiatry, or possess qualifications judged acceptable
395 396		to the Review Committee. (Core)
397 398	II.B.3.c)	Any non-physician faculty members who participate in fellowship program education must be approved by the
399 400		program director. (Core)

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

II.B.3.d)

Any other specialty physician faculty members must have current certification in their specialty by the appropriate American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

II.B.4. Core Faculty

Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide formative feedback to fellows. (Core)

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum, mentoring fellows, and assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in and the independent practice of the specialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program. Core faculty members may also be selected for their specific expertise and unique contribution to the program. Core faculty members are engaged in a broad range of activities, which may vary across programs and specialties. Core faculty members provide clinical teaching and supervision of fellows, and also participate in non-clinical activities related to fellow education and program administration. Examples of these non-clinical activities include, but are not limited to, interviewing and selecting fellow applicants, providing didactic instruction, mentoring fellows, simulation exercises, completing the annual ACGME Faculty Survey, and participating on the program's Clinical Competency Committee, Program Evaluation Committee, and other GME committees.

418 419 420	II.B.4.a)	Core faculty members must be designated by the program director. (Core)
421 422 423	II.B.4.b)	Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME Faculty Survey. (Core)
424 425 426 427	II.B.4.c)	A core faculty-to-fellow ratio of at least one to one must be maintained in programs with two or more fellows. The program director may be counted as one of the faculty members in determining the ratio. (Core)

429 430 431 432	II.B.4.d)	The program must have including the program or and are board certified	lirector, who have com	pleted education in
433 434	II.C.	Program Coordinator		
435 436	II.C.1.	There must be a program co	ordinator. <sup>(Core)</sup>	
437 438 439 440	II.C.2.	The program coordinator musupport adequate for adminisize and configuration. (Core)	-	
441	II.C.2.a)	At a minimum, the prog	ram coordinator must	be provided with the
442		dedicated time and sup	port specified below for	or administration of
443		the program:(Core)		
444		Number of Approved	Minimum FTE	
445		Fellow Positions	·	
446		<u>1-3</u>	<u>0.2</u>	
447		<u>4-6</u>	<u>0.2</u>	
448		<u>7-9</u>	<u>0.2</u>	
449		<u>10-12</u>	<u>0.25</u>	
450		<u>13-15</u>	<u>0.3</u>	
451				

Background and Intent: The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as otherwise titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison and facilitator between the learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a key member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management appropriate to the complexity of the program. Program coordinators are expected to develop in-depth knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, including policies and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in meeting accreditation requirements, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

II.D. Other Program Personnel

452 453

455 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective 456 administration of the program. (Core) 457 458 Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline. 459 460 III. **Fellow Appointments** 461 462 III.A. **Eligibility Criteria** 463 III.A.1. 464 **Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs** 465 466 All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited 467 fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited 468 residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty 469 Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of 470 471 Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada. 472 473 474 Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9). 475 476 III.A.1.a) Fellowship programs must receive verification of each 477 entering fellow's level of competence in the required field, 478 upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. (Core) 479 480 481 III.A.1.b) Prior to appointment in the program, fellows must have successfully completed a program in neurology, child neurology, 482 483 or neurodevelopment disabilities that satisfies the requirements in III.A.1. (Core) 484 485 486 III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the Review Committee. (Core) 487 488 489 III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review Committee. (Core) 490 491 III.C. **Fellow Transfers** 492 493 494 The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to 495

acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon

matriculation. (Core)

496

## IV. Educational Program

 The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational affiliation, size, or location of the program.

The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful physicians who provide compassionate care.

In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.

- IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components: (Core)
- IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired distinctive capabilities of its graduates; (Core)
- IV.A.1.a) The program's aims must be made available to program applicants, fellows, and faculty members. (Core)
- IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members;
- IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in their subspecialty: (Core)

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

542 543

IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles foundational to medical professionalism. (Core)

544 545

#### IV.B. **ACGME Competencies**

546 547

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

548 549

IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: (Core)

550 551 552

**Professionalism** IV.B.1.a)

553 554 555

Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core)

556 557 558

**Patient Care and Procedural Skills** IV.B.1.b)

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patientcentered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs. 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

559

560 IV.B.1.b).(1) Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the 561 562 treatment of health problems and the promotion of health. (Core) 563 565

564

IV.B.1.b).(1).(a) Fellows must demonstrate competence in:

566 567

the diagnostic evaluation, medical IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(i)

568 569 570		management, and surgical evaluation of patients with epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
571 572 573	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(ii)	interviewing and examining patients with epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
574 575 576 577	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(iii)	determining the differential diagnosis of the various clinical presentations of epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
578 579 580 581 582	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(iv)	determining the appropriate investigations for the diagnosis of epilepsy and seizures, including laboratory, pathologic, radiologic, and neurophysiologic testing; (Core)
583 584 585 586	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(iv).(a)	This experience must include both medical and surgical investigations.
587 588 589 590	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(v)	inpatient and outpatient management of patients with epilepsy and seizures; and, (Core)
591 592 593 594	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(v).(a)	This experience must provide management to patients being treated medically and surgically. (Core)
595 596 597	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a).(vi)	working in multidisciplinary teams and coordinating patient care. (Core)
598 599 600 601	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical, diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered essential for the area of practice. (Core)
602 603	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
604 605 606 607 608		Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care. (Core)
609 610 611	IV.B.1.c).(1)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in their knowledge of:
612 613	IV.B.1.c).(1).(a)	the basic science of epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
614 615	IV.B.1.c).(1).(b)	the genetics of epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
616 617	IV.B.1.c).(1).(c)	the epidemiology of epilepsy and seizures; (Core)

618 619 620	IV.B.1.c).(1).(d)	neuroimaging and other diagnostic modalities in epilepsy; (Core)
621 622	IV.B.1.c).(1).(e)	neuropsychology; (Core)
623 624	IV.B.1.c).(1).(f)	pharmacologic treatment of epilepsy; (Core)
625 626	IV.B.1.c).(1).(g)	non-pharmacologic treatments of epilepsy; (Core)
627 628	IV.B.1.c).(1).(h)	co-morbidity in epilepsy and seizures; (Core)
629 630 631	IV.B.1.c).(1).(i)	ictal and interictal EEG patterns across the lifespan; and, $^{(\text{Core})}$
632 633	IV.B.1.c).(1).(j)	prognosis in epilepsy and seizures. (Core)
634 635	IV.B.1.d)	Practice-based Learning and Improvement
636		Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and
637		evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate
638		scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care
639		based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. (Core)
640		

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

641		
642	IV.B.1.e)	Interpersonal and Communication Skills
643	•	
644		Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication
645		skills that result in the effective exchange of information and
646		collaboration with patients, their families, and health
647		professionals. (Core)
648		
649	IV.B.1.f)	Systems-based Practice
650	•	•
651		Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and
652		responsiveness to the larger context and system of health
653		care, including the social determinants of health, as well as
654		the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide
655		optimal health care. (Core)
656		
657 658	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences

659 660 661 662	IV.C.1.	The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory continuity. (Core)
663 664 665 666 667 668 669	IV.C.1.a)	Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the frequency of rotational transitions and rotations must be of sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience, defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision, longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and high-quality assessment and feedback. (Core)
670 671 672 673 674	IV.C.1.b)	Clinical experiences must be structured to facilitate learning in a manner that allows the fellows to function as part of an effective health care team that works together longitudinally with shared goals of patient safety and quality improvement. (Core)
675 676 677 678	IV.C.2.	The program must provide instruction and experience in pain management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition of the signs of addiction. (Core)
679 680 681 682	IV.C.3.	The program director must, with assistance from faculty members, develop and implement the academic and clinical educational program by: (Core)
683 684 685 686 687	IV.C.3.a)	preparing and implementing a comprehensive, well organized, and effective curriculum, both academic and clinical, which includes the presentation of core specialty knowledge supplemented by the addition of current information; and, (Core)
688 689 690	IV.C.3.b)	providing fellows with direct experience in progressive responsibility for patient management. (Core)
691 692 693	IV.C.4.	The curriculum must include the equivalent of at least six months of full-time patient care in inpatient and outpatient epilepsy. (Core)
694 695 696 697	IV.C.4.a)	Time spent in the EMU may be counted toward the six-month minimum if a fellow's clinical experience involves patient management. (Detail)
698 699 700	IV.C.4.b)	This must include a minimum of three months of EEG and video-EEG monitoring, and must include: (Core)
701 702 703	IV.C.4.b).(1)	routine EEGs: 50 as the primary reviewer, including responsibility for the main writing of the report; (Core)
704 705 706 707	IV.C.4.b).(2)	Phase 1 scalp monitoring (prolonged, overnight studies): 20 as the primary reviewer, including responsibility for the report; and, (Core)
708 709	IV.C.4.b).(3)	Phase 2 intracranial monitoring, including subdural grid, depth, and intra-operative electrocorticograph recordings:

710 711 712		five as the primary reviewer, including responsibility for the report. (Core)
713 714 715	IV.C.4.b).(4)	A minimum of one month of elective time must be provided. (Core)
716 717 718 719 720	IV.C.4.b).(5)	The remaining time should include additional experience in the care of patients with epilepsy and seizures, or epilepsy research, or neuropsychology, or psychiatry, or neuroimaging. (Detail)
721 722 723	IV.C.5.	Programs may be primarily child or adult epilepsy programs, but fellows must have experience in the care of both adults and children. (Core)
724 725 726 727	IV.C.5.a)	A program that is primarily adult-based must include a minimum of one month of clinical exposure to the care of children with epilepsy and seizures. (Core)
728 729 730 731	IV.C.5.b)	A program that is primarily child-based must include a minimum of one month of clinical exposure to the care of adults with epilepsy and seizures. (Core)
732 733 734	IV.C.6.	Fellows must attend required seminars, conferences, and journal clubs. (Core)
735 736 737	IV.C.7.	Seminars and conferences must include the full spectrum of epilepsy and seizures across the lifespan. (Core)
738	IV.D.	Scholarship
739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748		Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically, evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery, integration, application, and teaching.
749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757		The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians, scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves. For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical research as the focus for scholarship.
758	IV.D.1.	Program Responsibilities

760 761 762	IV.D.1.a)	The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. (Core)
763	IV.D.1.b)	The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
764		must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and
765 766		faculty involvement in scholarly activities. (Core)
766 767	IV.D.2.	Faculty Scholarly Activity
768	14.0.2.	Faculty Scholarly Activity
769	IV.D.2.a)	Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate
770	,	accomplishments in at least three of the following domains:
771		(Core)
772		
773		<ul> <li>Research in basic science, education, translational</li> </ul>
774		science, patient care, or population health
775		<ul> <li>Peer-reviewed grants</li> </ul>
776		<ul> <li>Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives</li> </ul>
777		<ul> <li>Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles,</li> </ul>
778		chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports
779		<ul> <li>Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic</li> </ul>
780		educational activities, or electronic educational
781		materials
782		Contribution to professional committees, educational
783		organizations, or editorial boards
784 785		<ul> <li>Innovations in education</li> </ul>
786	IV.D.2.b)	The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly
787	14.0.2.0)	activity within and external to the program by the following
788		methods:
789		

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program's effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows' scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

790		
791	IV.D.2.b).(1)	faculty participation in grand rounds, posters,
792		workshops, quality improvement presentations,
793		podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-
794		reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or
795		publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars,
796		service on professional committees, or serving as a
797		journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or
798		editor; (Outcome)‡
799		·
800	IV.D.2.b).(2)	peer-reviewed publication. (Outcome)

801		
802 803	IV.D.3.	Fellow Scholarly Activity
804 805 806 807 808	IV.D.3.a)	The curriculum must advance fellows' knowledge of the basic principles of evidence-based medicine and research, including how research is conducted, evaluated, explained to patients, and applied to patient care. (Core)
809 810 811	IV.D.3.b)	Fellows should participate in scholarly activity under the mentorship of program faculty members. (Detail)
812 813 814 815	IV.D.3.c)	The Sponsoring Institution and program should allocate adequate educational resources to facilitate fellow involvement in scholarly activities. (Detail)
816 817 818	IV.D.3.d)	Fellows should receive support to attend one regional, national, or international professional conference during the program. (Detail)

#### V. **Evaluation**

## 819 820

V.A.

821

822 823

824

#### V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation

**Fellow Evaluation** 

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and selfreflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is monitoring fellow learning and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is evaluating a fellow's learning by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

825		
826	V.A.1.a)	Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and
827		frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during
828		each rotation or similar educational assignment. (Core)
829		•

ጸ3በ

856 857

858

859

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

030		
831	V.A.1.b)	Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the
832		assignment. <sup>(Core)</sup>
833		
834	V.A.1.b).(1)	For block rotations of greater than three months in
835		duration, evaluation must be documented at least
836		every three months. (Core)
837		
838	V.A.1.b).(2)	Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in
839		the context of other clinical responsibilities must be
840		evaluated at least every three months and at
841		completion. (Core)
842		completion:
843	V.A.1.c)	The program must provide an objective performance
844	V.A.1.0)	evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-
845		specific Milestones, and must: (Core)
846		specific milestones, and must.
	V A 4 => (4)	una multiple evaluatava (a.v. facultu manchava nagra
847	V.A.1.c).(1)	use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers,
848		patients, self, and other professional staff members);
849		and, <sup>(Core)</sup>
850		
851	V.A.1.c).(2)	provide that information to the Clinical Competency
852		Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow
853		performance and improvement toward unsupervised
854		practice. <sup>(Core)</sup>
855		

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

V.A.1.d) The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must:

860 861	V.A.1.d).(1)	meet with and review with each fellow their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance,
862		including progress along the subspecialty-specific
863		Milestones. (Core)
864		
865	V.A.1.d).(2)	assist fellows in developing individualized learning
866		plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas
867		for growth; and, <sup>(Core)</sup>
868		
869	V.A.1.d).(3)	develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following
870		institutional policies and procedures. (Core)
871		

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

012		
873 874	V.A.1.e)	At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the
875		next year of the program, if applicable. <sup>(Core)</sup>
876		
877	V.A.1.f)	The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible
878		for review by the fellow. <sup>(Core)</sup>
879		
880	V.A.2.	Final Evaluation
881		
882	V.A.2.a)	The program director must provide a final evaluation for each
883	,	fellow upon completion of the program. (Core)
884		
885	V.A.2.a).(1)	The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when
886	- / ( /	applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must
887		be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage
888		in autonomous practice upon completion of the
889		program. (Core)
890		program.
891	V.A.2.a).(2)	The final evaluation must:
	v.m.z.a).(2)	i ile iiilai evaluatioli iilust.
892		

893 894 895 896 897	V.A.2.a).(2).(a	become part of the fellow's permanent record maintained by the institution, and must be accessible for review by the fellow in accordance with institutional policy; (Core)
898 899 900 901	V.A.2.a).(2).(k	verify that the fellow has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice; (Core)
902 903 904	V.A.2.a).(2).(0	consider recommendations from the Clinical Competency Committee; and, (Core)
905 906 907	V.A.2.a).(2).(0	be shared with the fellow upon completion of the program. (Core)
908 909 910	V.A.3.	A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the program director. (Core)
911 912 913 914 915 916 917	V.A.3.a)	At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. Members must be faculty members from the same program or other programs, or other health professionals who have extensive contact and experience with the program's fellows. (Core)
918 919	V.A.3.b)	The Clinical Competency Committee must:
920 921 922	V.A.3.b).(1)	review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually; (Core)
923 924 925	V.A.3.b).(2)	determine each fellow's progress on achievement of the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, (Core)
926 927 928 929	V.A.3.b).(3)	meet prior to the fellows' semi-annual evaluations and advise the program director regarding each fellow's progress. (Core)
930 931	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation
932 933 934 935	V.B.1.	The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty member's performance as it relates to the educational program at least annually. (Core)

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback

on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

937	V.B.1.a)	This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's
938	•	clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational
939		program, participation in faculty development related to their
940		skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism,
941		and scholarly activities. (Core)
942		•
943	V.B.1.b)	This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations
944	•	by the fellows. (Core)
945		•
946	V.B.2.	Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least
947		annually. <sup>(Core)</sup>
948		•
949	V.B.3.	Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be
950		incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)
951		

936

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

952		
953	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement
954		
955	V.C.1.	The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
956		Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
957		Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
958		process. (Core)
959		
960	V.C.1.a)	The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at
961		least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a
962		core faculty member, and at least one fellow. (Core)
963		
964	V.C.1.b)	Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:
965		
966	V.C.1.b).(1)	acting as an advisor to the program director, through
967		program oversight; <sup>(Core)</sup>
968		

969 970	V.C.1.b).(2)	review of the program's self-determined goals and progress toward meeting them; (Core)
971		
972	V.C.1.b).(3)	guiding ongoing program improvement, including
973		development of new goals, based upon outcomes;
974		and, <sup>(Core)</sup>
975		
976	V.C.1.b).(4)	review of the current operating environment to identify
977	,	strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as
978		related to the program's mission and aims. (Core)
979		

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

	to access the progr	and a progress toward demovement of its godie and anne.
980		
981	V.C.1.c)	The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the
982		following elements in its assessment of the program:
983		
984	V.C.1.c).(1)	curriculum; (Core)
985	, , ,	,
986	V.C.1.c).(2)	outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);
987		(Core)
988		
989	V.C.1.c).(3)	ACGME letters of notification, including citations,
990	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Areas for Improvement, and comments; (Core)
991		
992	V.C.1.c).(4)	quality and safety of patient care; (Core)
993		quanty and calcty of patient care,
994	V.C.1.c).(5)	aggregate fellow and faculty:
995	1.0.1.0).(0)	aggrogate follow alla facalty.
996	V.C.1.c).(5).(a)	well-being; (Core)
997	1.0.1.0j.(0j.(uj	won-bonig,
998	V.C.1.c).(5).(b)	recruitment and retention; (Core)
999	v.o.1.0j.(0j.(0)	recruitinent and retention,
1000	V.C.1.c).(5).(c)	workforce diversity; (Core)
1000	• . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	workiorde diversity,
1001	V.C.1.c).(5).(d)	engagement in quality improvement and patient
1002	v.o.1.6j.(oj.(u)	safety; (Core)
1003		Saicty, · ·
1004	V C 1 a) (E) (a)	scholarly activity; (Core)
	V.C.1.c).(5).(e)	Scholarly activity; (1989)
1006	V C 1 a) (E) (f)	ACCME Pooldant/Fallow and Faculty Company
1007 1008	V.C.1.c).(5).(f)	ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys
		(where applicable); and, <sup>(Core)</sup>
1009	V C 4 a) (E) (a)	(Core)
1010	V.C.1.c).(5).(g)	written evaluations of the program. (Core)
1011	V O 4 =) (O)	a nama mata fallanni
1012	V.C.1.c).(6)	aggregate fellow:
1013		

1014	V.C.1.c).(6).(a)	achievement of the Milestones; (Core)
1015		
1016	V.C.1.c).(6).(b)	in-training examinations (where applicable);
1017		(Core)
1018		
1019	V.C.1.c).(6).(c)	board pass and certification rates; and, (Core)
1020	, , , , ,	
1021	V.C.1.c).(6).(d)	graduate performance. (Core)
1022	, , , , ,	
1023	V.C.1.c).(7)	aggregate faculty:
1024	, , ,	
1025	V.C.1.c).(7).(a)	evaluation; and, (Core)
1026	, ( , ( ,	, ,
1027	V.C.1.c).(7).(b)	professional development (Core)
1028	, ( , ( ,	·
1029	V.C.1.d)	The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the
1030	,	program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for
1031		improvement, and threats. (Core)
1032		•
1033	V.C.1.e)	The annual review, including the action plan, must:
1034	,	, , ,
1035	V.C.1.e).(1)	be distributed to and discussed with the members of
1036	, ,	the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, (Core)
1037		<b>3</b> **** <b>3</b> *** <b>3</b> **** <b>3</b> *** <b>3</b> **** <b>3</b> *
1038	V.C.1.e).(2)	be submitted to the DIO. (Core)
1039	-/ ( /	
1040	V.C.2.	The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year
1041		Accreditation Site Visit. (Core)
1042		
1043	V.C.2.a)	A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO.
1044	- /	(Core)

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures. Additionally, a description of the Self-Study process, as well as information on how to prepare for the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit, is available on the ACGME website.

1046		
1047	V.C.3.	One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians
1048		who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the
1049		effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.
1050		
1051		The program director should encourage all eligible program
1052		graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the

1053 1054 1055		applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.
1056 1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062	V.C.3.a)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)‡
1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069	V.C.3.b)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076	V.C.3.c)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083	V.C.3.d)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089	V.C.3.e)	For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. (Outcome)

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

1090
 1091 V.C.3.f)
 1092 Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

- Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today
- Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice
- Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:
  - the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians
  - o the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery
- Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

1097 1098 1099

1100 1101 1102

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1117 1118 VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability 1119 VI.A.1. 1120 **Patient Safety and Quality Improvement** 1121 1122 All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and 1123 enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with 1124 continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of 1125 their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows 1126 1127 who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and 1128 experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal 1129 patient care. 1130 1131 1132 Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an 1133 active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows 1134 will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice 1135 and effect quality improvement measures. 1136 1137 1138 It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to 1139 achieve organizational patient safety goals. 1140 1141 1142 VI.A.1.a) **Patient Safety** 1143 VI.A.1.a).(1) 1144 **Culture of Safety** 1145 1146 A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently 1147 deal with them. An effective organization has formal 1148 mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and 1149 1150 attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to 1151 identify areas for improvement. 1152 1153 VI.A.1.a).(1).(a) The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows 1154 must actively participate in patient safety 1155 systems and contribute to a culture of safety. (Core) 1156 1157

8 <b>VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)</b> 9	The program must have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. (Core)
1 2 <b>VI.A.1.a).(2)</b> 3	Education on Patient Safety
6 6 7	Programs must provide formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)
Background and Intent:	Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated ng and working environment.
VI.A.1.a).(3)	Patient Safety Events
	Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.
VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)	Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must:
VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)	know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events at the clinical site; (Core)
VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)	know how to report patient safety events, including near misses, at the clinical site; and, (Core)
VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)	be provided with summary information of their institution's patient safety reports. (Core)
VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)	Fellows must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical patient safety activities, such as root cause analyses or other activities that include analysis, as well as formulation and implementation of actions. (Core)
VI.A.1.a).(4)	Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of Adverse Events

1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211		Patient-centered care requires patients, and when appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical situations that affect them, including adverse events. This is an important skill for faculty physicians to model, and for fellows to develop and apply.
1212 1213 1214 1215	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to disclose adverse events to patients and families. (Core)
1216 1217 1218 1219	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to participate in the disclosure of patient safety events, real or simulated. (Detail)
1220 1221	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1222 1223	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1224 1225 1226 1227 1228		A cohesive model of health care includes quality-related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary in order for health care professionals to achieve quality improvement goals.
1229 1230 1231 1232	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in quality improvement processes, including an understanding of health care disparities. (Core)
1233 1234	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1234 1235 1236 1237 1238		Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for care improvement and evaluating success of improvement efforts.
1239 1240 1241	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. (Core)
1242 1243	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1244 1245 1246 1247 1248		Experiential learning is essential to developing the ability to identify and institute sustainable systemsbased changes to improve patient care.
1249 1250 1251 1252	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. (Core)
1252 1253 1254 1255	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. (Detail)
1256	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability

1257 1258	VI.A.2.a)	Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for
1259	VI.A.Z.a)	the care of the patient, every physician shares in the
1260		responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the
1261		provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with
1262		their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate,
1263		and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and
1264		accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient
1265		care.
1266		
1267		Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education
1268		provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each
1269		fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes
1270		required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and
1271		establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.
1272		,
1273	VI.A.2.a).(1)	Each patient must have an identifiable and
1274		appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending
1275		physician (or licensed independent practitioner as
1276		specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is
1277		responsible and accountable for the patient's care.
1278		(Core)
1279		
1280	VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)	This information must be available to fellows,
1281		faculty members, other members of the health
1282		care team, and patients. <sup>(Core)</sup>
1283		
1284	VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)	Fellows and faculty members must inform each
1285		patient of their respective roles in that patient's
1286		care when providing direct patient care. (Core)
1287		
1288	VI.A.2.b)	Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods.
1289		For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician
1290		may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care
1291		provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the
1292		appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or
1293		fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication
1294		technology. Some activities require the physical presence of
1295		the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances,
1296		supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered
1297		care with feedback.
1298		

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

1299		
1300	VI.A.2.b).(1)	The program must demonstrate that the appropriate
1301		level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on
1302		each fellow's level of training and ability, as well as
1303		patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be
1304		exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate
1305		to the situation. (Core)
1306		
1307	VI.A.2.b).(2)	The program must define when physical presence of a
1308		supervising physician is required. (Core)
1309		caper rising projection to require
1310	VI.A.2.c)	Levels of Supervision
1311	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1312		To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing
1313		for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use
1314		the following classification of supervision: (Core)
1315		the following classification of supervision.
1316	VI.A.2.c).(1)	Direct Supervision:
1317	VI.A.2.0).(1)	Direct Supervision.
1317	VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)	the supervising physician is physically present
1319	VI.A.2.0).(1).(a)	with the fellow during the key portions of the
1319		patient interaction; or, (Core)
1320		patient interaction, or,
1321	VI A 2 c) (1) (b)	the supervising physician and/or patient is not
1323	VI.A.2.c).(1).(b)	the supervising physician and/or patient is not
		physically present with the fellow and the
1324		supervising physician is concurrently
1325		monitoring the patient care through appropriate
1326		telecommunication technology. (Core)
1327	\( \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	In the st Own and show the same and show the state is a st
1328	VI.A.2.c).(2)	Indirect Supervision: the supervising physician is not
1329		providing physical or concurrent visual or audio
1330		supervision but is immediately available to the fellow
1331		for guidance and is available to provide appropriate
1332		direct supervision. (Core)
1333		•
1334	VI.A.2.c).(3)	Oversight – the supervising physician is available to
1335		provide review of procedures/encounters with
1336		feedback provided after care is delivered. (Core)
1337		
1338	VI.A.2.d)	The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility,
1339		conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient
1340		care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the
1341		program director and faculty members. (Core)
1342		
1343	VI.A.2.d).(1)	The program director must evaluate each fellow's
1344		abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the
1345		Milestones. (Core)
1346		
1347	VI.A.2.d).(2)	Faculty members functioning as supervising
1348		physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows

	based on the needs of the patient and the skills of each fellow. (Core)
VI.A.2.d).(3)	Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior fellows and residents in recognition of their progress toward independence, based on the needs of each patient and the skills of the individual resident or fellow. (Detail)
VI.A.2.e)	Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events in which fellows must communicate with the supervising faculty member(s). (Core)
VI.A.2.e).(1)	Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of authority, and the circumstances under which the fellow is permitted to act with conditional independence. (Outcome)
	d and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional nce as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined
VI.A.2.f)	Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient care authority and responsibility. (Core)
VI.B.	Professionalism
VI.B.1.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their patients. (Core)
VI.B.2.	The learning objectives of the program must:
VI.B.2.a)	be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic educational events; (Core)
VI.B.2.b)	be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to
	fulfill non-physician obligations; and, <sup>(Core)</sup>

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests;

routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1390 1391

ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. (Core) VI.B.2.c)

1392

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define "manageable patient care responsibilities" as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

1393 1394

1395

1396

VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core) VI.B.4.

1397 1398 1399

Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the:

1400 1401

provision of patient- and family-centered care; (Outcome) VI.B.4.a)

1402 1403

VI.B.4.b)

safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse events: (Outcome)

1404 1405

1406

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

1407 1408

assurance of their fitness for work, including: (Outcome) VI.B.4.c)

1409

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

1411	VI.B.4.c).(1)	management of their time before, during, and after
1412		clinical assignments; and, (Outcome)
1413		
1414	VI.B.4.c).(2)	recognition of impairment, including from illness,
1415		fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers,
1416		and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)
1417		
1418	VI.B.4.d)	commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
1419	-	•

1420 1421	VI.B.4.e)	monitoring of their patient care performance improvement indicators; and, <sup>(Outcome)</sup>
1422	\( \tag{\chi} \)	
1423	VI.B.4.f)	accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours,
1424		patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
1425		
1426	VI.B.5.	All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness
1427		to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the
1428		recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of
1429		the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to
1430		another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome)
1431		
1432	VI.B.6.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must
1433		provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment
1434		that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of
1435		harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows,
1436		faculty, and staff. (Core)
1437		
1438	VI.B.7.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should
1439		have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding
1440		unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting,
1441		investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)
1442		
1443	VI.C.	Well-Being
1444		<b>G</b>
1445		Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the
1446		development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require
1447		proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being
1448		requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their
1449		own real life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other
1450		members of the health care team are important components of
1451		professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and
1452		nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.
1453		

Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their careers.

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and

collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website: www.acgme.org/physicianwellbeing.

The ACGME also created a repository for well-being materials, assessments, presentations, and more on the <u>Well-Being Tools and Resources page</u> in Learn at ACGME for programs seeking to develop or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. There are many activities that programs can implement now to assess and support physician well-being. These include the distribution and analysis of culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and paying attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

1465		
1466	VI.C.1.	The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the
1467		Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:
1468		
1469	VI.C.1.a)	efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the
1470		experience of being a physician, including protecting time
1471		with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations,
1472		providing administrative support, promoting progressive
1473		autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional
1474		relationships; (Core)
1475		
1476	VI.C.1.b)	attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work
1477	•	compression that impacts fellow well-being; (Core)
1478		
1479	VI.C.1.c)	evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of
1480	•	fellows and faculty members; (Core)
1481		•

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

VI.C.1.d).(1)

Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours.

(Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with

1482 1483

1484 1485

1486 1487

1488

time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1492 1493

1494 1495 1496

1501 1502

1503

1504 1505

1510 1511 1512 VI.C.1.e)

VI.C.1.e).(1)

attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression, and substance use disorder. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder, including means to assist those who experience these conditions. Fellows and faculty members must also be educated to recognize those symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must: (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder. Materials and more information are available in Learn at ACGME (https://dl.acgme.org/pages/well-being-tools-resources).

> encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the program director or other designated personnel or programs when they are concerned that another fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying signs of burnout, depression, a substance use

disorder, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence;

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance use disorder, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

1513

1514

VI.C.1.e).(2)

VI.C.1.e).(3)

1515 1516

1517 1518 1519

1520 1521

provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening; and. (Core)

provide access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment, including access to urgent and emergent care 24

hours a day, seven days a week. (Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

1022		
1523	VI.C.2.	There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend
1524		work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family
1525		emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an
1526		appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their
1527		patient care responsibilities. (Core)
1528		·
1529	VI.C.2.a)	The program must have policies and procedures in place to
1530	•	ensure coverage of patient care. (Core)
1531		•
1532	VI.C.2.b)	These policies must be implemented without fear of negative
1533	,	consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide
1534		the clinical work. <sup>(Core)</sup>
1535		

1522

1536

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

1537	VI.D.	Fatigue Mitigation
1538		
1539	VI.D.1.	Programs must:
1540		
1541	VI.D.1.a)	educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the
1542	·	signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; (Core)
1543		
1544	VI.D.1.b)	educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness
1545		management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, (Core)
1546		
1547	VI.D.1.c)	encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to
1548		manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient
1549		care and learning. (Detail)
1550		

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

1551 1552 VI.D.2. Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program's policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2-1553 1554 VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatique. (Core) 1555 1556 1557 VI.D.3. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must 1558 ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. (Core) 1559 1560 1561 VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care 1562 1563 VI.E.1. Clinical Responsibilities 1564 1565 The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient 1566 illness/condition, and available support services. (Core) 1567 1568

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

1569		
1570	VI.E.2.	Teamwork
1571		
1572		Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes
1573		communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a
1574		member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to
1575		the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system.
1576		(Core)
1577		
1578	VI.E.3.	Transitions of Care
1579	-	
1580	VI.E.3.a)	Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize
1581		transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency,
1582		and structure. (Core)
1583		

1584 1585 1586 1587 1588	VI.E.3.b)	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient safety. (Core)
1589 1590 1591 1592	VI.E.3.c)	Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in communicating with team members in the hand-over process.
1593 1594 1595 1596	VI.E.3.d)	Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently responsible for care. (Core)
1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602	VI.E.3.e)	Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program's policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. (Core)
1603	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal activities.

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms "clinical experience and education," "clinical and educational work," and "clinical and educational work hours" replace the terms "duty hours," "duty periods," and "duty." These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term "duty" in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows' duty to "clock out" on time superseded their duty to their patients.

VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week

> Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from home, and all moonlighting. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

## Scheduling

1604 1605

1606

1607

1608 1609

1610 1611

1612 1613

1614

1615

1616 1617

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a fourweek period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

## Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

## Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules

are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education
The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. (Core)
Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. (Detail)
There may be circumstances when fellows choose to stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience and education. This must occur within the context of the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Detail)

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1636
1637 VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)

1639

1640 1641

1642

1643 1644

1645

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this

goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

VI.F.2.d)

Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in

seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. (Core)

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two

consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

1646		
1647	VI.F.3.	Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length
1648		
1649	VI.F.3.a)	Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not
1650	·	exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical
1651		assignments. (Core)
1652		•
1653	VI.F.3.a).(1)	Up to four hours of additional time may be used for
1654	, , ,	activities related to patient safety, such as providing
1655		effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.
1656		(Core)
1657		
1658	VI.F.3.a).(1).(a)	Additional patient care responsibilities must not
1659	, , , , ,	be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)
1660		· ·

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

1001		
1662	VI.F.4.	Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions
1663		
1664	VI.F.4.a)	In rare circumstances, after handing off all other
1665		responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to
1666		remain or return to the clinical site in the following
1667		circumstances:
1668		
1669	VI.F.4.a).(1)	to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or
1670		unstable patient; (Detail)
1671		
1672	VI.F.4.a).(2)	humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or
1673		family; or, <sup>(Detail)</sup>
1674		
1675	VI.F.4.a).(3)	to attend unique educational events. (Detail)
1676		
1677	VI.F.4.b)	These additional hours of care or education will be counted
1678		toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)
1679		

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in

the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

/I.F.4.c)	A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions
	for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and
	educational work hours to individual programs based on a
	sound educational rationale.
	The Review Committee for Neurology will not consider requests
	for exceptions to the 80-hour limit to the fellows' work week.
∕I.F.5.	Moonlighting
/I.F.5.a)	Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational
	program, and must not interfere with the fellow's fitness for work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)
/I.F.5.b)	Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting
	(as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be
	counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)
moonlighting http://www.ac	and Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at gme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements).
moonlighting	, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at
moonlighting http://www.ac	please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at gme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements).
moonlighting <a href="http://www.ac">http://www.ac</a> VI.F.6.  Background a	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of
moonlighting <a href="http://www.ac">http://www.ac</a> VI.F.6.  Background a	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)
moonlighting <a href="http://www.ac">http://www.ac</a> VI.F.6.  Background a	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency
moonlighting <a href="http://www.ac http://www.ac http://w&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa  /I.F.7.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;moonlighting &lt;a href=" http:="" td="" w<="" www.ac=""><td>In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home</td></a>	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa  /I.F.7.	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa  /I.F.7.	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa  /I.F.7.	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of s removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the everythird-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one
moonlighting http://www.ac  /I.F.6.  Background a night float wa  /I.F.7.	In-House Night Float  Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)  Ind Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.  Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency  Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)  At-Home Call  Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-

1720		
1721	VI.F.8.a).(1)	At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to
1722		preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each
1723		fellow. (Core)
1724		
1725	VI.F.8.b)	Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-
1726	•	home call to provide direct care for new or established
1727		patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be
1728		included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Detail)
1729		·

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

1731

17321733

1730

\*Core Requirements: Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

1734 1735 1736

1737

1738

†Detail Requirements: Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

1739 1740 1741

<sup>‡</sup>Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

1743 1744 1745

1742

## **Osteopathic Recognition**

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (<a href="https://www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition">www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition</a>).