ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Clinical Neurophysiology

ACGME-approved Focused Revision: February 7, 2022; effective July 1, 2022

Contents

Int	roducti	on	3
	Int.A.	Preamble	3
	Int.B.	Definition of Subspecialty	3
	Int.C.	Length of Educational Program	4
I.		ght	
	I.A.	Sponsoring Institution	4
	I.B.	Participating Sites	4
	I.C.	Recruitment	5
	I.D.	Resources	6
	I.E.	Other Learners and Other Care Providers	7
II.	Persor	nnel	7
	II.A.	Program Director	7
	II.B.	Faculty	11
	II.C.	Program Coordinator	14
	II.D.	Other Program Personnel	15
III.	Fellow	Appointments	15
	III.A.	Eligibility Criteria	16
	III.B.	Number of Fellows	16
	III.C.	Fellow Transfers	16
IV.	Educa	tional Program	16
	IV.A.	Curriculum Components	17
	IV.B.	ACGME Competencies	17
	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences	20
	IV.D.	Scholarship	20
٧.	Evalua	tion	23
	V.A.	Fellow Evaluation	23
	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation	26
	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement	27
VI.	The Le	earning and Working Environment	
	VI.A.	Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	32
	VI.B.	Professionalism	
	VI.C.	Well-Being	39
	VI.D.	Fatigue Mitigation	42
	VI.E.	Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care	43
	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education	44

ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Clinical Neurophysiology

Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are in BOLD

Where applicable, text in italics describes the underlying philosophy of the requirements in that section. These philosophic statements are not program requirements and are therefore not citable.

Background and Intent: These fellowship requirements reflect the fact that these learners have already completed the first phase of graduate medical education. Thus, the Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are intended to explain the differences.

Introduction

Int.A.

Fellowship is advanced graduate medical education beyond a core residency program for physicians who desire to enter more specialized practice. Fellowship-trained physicians serve the public by providing subspecialty care, which may also include core medical care, acting as a community resource for expertise in their field, creating and integrating new knowledge into practice, and educating future generations of physicians. Graduate medical education values the strength that a diverse group of physicians brings to medical care.

Fellows who have completed residency are able to practice independently in their core specialty. The prior medical experience and expertise of fellows distinguish them from physicians entering into residency training. The fellow's care of patients within the subspecialty is undertaken with appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence. Faculty members serve as role models of excellence, compassion, professionalism, and scholarship. The fellow develops deep medical knowledge, patient care skills, and expertise applicable to their focused area of practice. Fellowship is an intensive program of subspecialty clinical and didactic education that focuses on the multidisciplinary care of patients. Fellowship education is often physically, emotionally, and intellectually demanding, and occurs in a variety of clinical learning environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members of the health care team.

In addition to clinical education, many fellowship programs advance fellows' skills as physician-scientists. While the ability to create new knowledge within medicine is not exclusive to fellowship-educated physicians, the fellowship experience expands a physician's abilities to pursue hypothesis-driven scientific inquiry that results in contributions to the medical literature and patient care. Beyond the clinical subspecialty expertise achieved, fellows develop mentored relationships built on an infrastructure that promotes collaborative research.

Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty

Clinical neurophysiology is an area of medicine in which selected neurological disorders involving electrophysiology of the central, peripheral, and autonomic nervous systems are assessed and monitored.

Int.C. Length of Educational Program

The educational program in clinical neurophysiology must be 12 months in length. (Core)*

I. Oversight

I.A. Sponsoring Institution

The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.

When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the primary clinical site.

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. (Core)

I.B. Participating Sites

A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for fellows.

I.B.1.

I.B.2.

The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. (Core)

I.B.1.a) Relation to Core Program

 The Sponsoring Institution must also sponsor an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)-accredited residency program in child neurology or neurology. (Core)

There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship

89 90		between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. (Core)
91		
92	I.B.2.a)	The PLA must:
93		
94	I.B.2.a).(1)	be renewed at least every 10 years; and, (Core)
95		
96	I.B.2.a).(2)	be approved by the designated institutional official
97		(DIO). (Core)
98		
99	I.B.3.	The program must monitor the clinical learning and working
100		environment at all participating sites. (Core)
101		
102	I.B.3.a)	At each participating site there must be one faculty member,
103		designated by the program director, who is accountable for
104		fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the
105		program director. ^(Core)
106		

between the program and the participating site providing a required

00

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

107 108 I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of 109 participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, 110 required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME's Accreditation Data System (ADS). (Core) 111 112 I.C. 113 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment 114 and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), 115 fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other 116 relevant members of its academic community. (Core) 117 118

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution's mission and aims. The program's annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program's efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

	4.0	
119		
120	I.D.	Resources
121		
122	I.D.1.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
123		ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education.
124		(Core)
125		
126	I.D.1.a)	There must be space and equipment for the educational program,
127		including meeting rooms, classrooms with audiovisual and other
128		educational aids, office space for staff members and fellows, and
129		diagnostic, therapeutic, and research facilities. (Core)
130		
131	I.D.2.	The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
132		ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that
133		promote fellow well-being and provide for: (Core)
134		
135	I.D.2.a)	access to food while on duty; (Core)
136		
137	I.D.2.b)	safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available
138		and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe
139		patient care; ^(Core)
140		

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care; (Core)

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

146

141 142

143

147 148	I.D.2.d)	security and safety measures appropriate to the participating site; and, ^(Core)
149	I D 0 -)	
150 151	I.D.2.e)	accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's policy. (Core)
152		the oponsoring institution's policy.
153	I.D.3.	Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other
154		appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This
155		must include access to electronic medical literature databases with
156 157		full text capabilities. ^(Core)
158	I.D.4.	The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate
159		to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. (Core)
160		
161	I.D.4.a)	The number of patients must be adequate to provide an
162		educational program with diversity related to age, gender, acute or
163 164		chronic neurological problems, and inpatient and outpatient population. (Core)
165		population. V
166	I.E.	A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and
167		other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured
168		to optimize education for all learners present.
169	154	Follows should contribute to the advection of residents in some
170 171	I.E.1.	Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core programs, if present. (Core)
172		programs, ii present.

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

II. Personnel

173 174

175		
176	II.A.	Program Director
177		
178	II.A.1.	There must be one faculty member appointed as program director
179		with authority and accountability for the overall program, including
180		compliance with all applicable program requirements. (Core)
181		
182	II.A.1.a)	The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education
183	•	Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program
184		director. (Core)
185		
186	II.A.1.b)	Final approval of the program director resides with the
187	,	Review Committee. (Core)
188		

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and have overall responsibility for the program. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of the program director resides with the applicable ACGME Review Committee.

II.A.2. The program director and, as applicable, the program's leadership team, must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. (Core)

II.A.2.a)

<u>Program leadership, in aggregate, must be provided with support equal to a dedicated minimum time specified below for administration of the program. This may be time spent by the</u>

 administration of the program. This may be time spent by the program director only or divided between the program director and one or more associate (or assistant) program directors. (Core)

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum FTE
<u>1-3</u>	<u>0.1</u>
<u>4-6</u>	<u>0.15</u>
<u>7-9</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>10-12</u>	<u>0.25</u>
<u>13-15</u>	0.3

At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the salary support required to devote 10 percent FTE of non-clinical time to the administration of the program. Additional support must be provided based on program size as follows: (Gore)

Number of approved fellow positions	Minimum FTE
1-3	0.1
4 or more	0.15

Background and Intent: To achieve successful graduate medical education, individuals serving as education and administrative leaders of fellowship programs, as well as those significantly engaged in the education, supervision, evaluation, and mentoring of fellows, must have sufficient dedicated professional time to perform the vital activities required to sustain an accredited program.

The ultimate outcome of graduate medical education is excellence in fellow education and patient care.

The program director and, as applicable, the program leadership team, devote a portion of their professional effort to the oversight and management of the fellowship program, as defined in II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16). Both provision of support for the time required for the leadership effort and flexibility regarding how this support is provided are important. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, may provide support for this time in a variety of ways. Examples of support may include, but are not limited to, salary support, supplemental compensation, educational value units, or relief of time from other professional duties.

Program directors and, as applicable, members of the program leadership team, who are new to the role may need to devote additional time to program oversight and management initially as they learn and become proficient in administering the program. It is suggested that during this initial period the support described above be increased as needed.

207 208 II.A.3. Qualifications of the program director: 209 210 II.A.3.a) must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee: and. (Core) 211 212 213 must include current certification in the subspecialty for II.A.3.b) 214 which they are the program director by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology (ABPN) or by the American 215 216 Osteopathic Board of Neurology and Psychiatry (AOBNP), or 217 subspecialty qualifications that are acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core) 218 219 220 II.A.3.b).(1) The Review Committee only accepts current ABPN or AOBNP certification in clinical neurophysiology. (Core) 221 222 223 II.A.4. **Program Director Responsibilities** 224 225 The program director must have responsibility, authority, and 226 accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and 227 scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows; 228 and fellow education in the context of patient care. (Core) 229 230 231 II.A.4.a) The program director must: 232 233 II.A.4.a).(1) be a role model of professionalism; (Core) 234

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

II.A.4.a).(2)

design and conduct the program in a fashion consistent with the needs of the community, the mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the mission(s) of the program; (Core)

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that

235236

237

238

vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

241 242



244 245

> Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and nonphysician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

246

247 248 249 250 251	II.A.4.a).(4)	develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates prior to approval as program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education and at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.; (Core)
252 253 254 255	II.A.4.a).(5)	have the authority to approve program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)
256 257 258 259	II.A.4.a).(6)	have the authority to remove program faculty members from participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)
260 261	II.A.4.a).(7)	have the authority to remove fellows from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not

262 263

meet the standards of the program; (Core) Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all

who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards

of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

265 266 267	II.A.4.a).(8)	submit accurate and complete information required and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; (Core)
268 269 270	II.A.4.a).(9)	provide applicants who are offered an interview with information related to the applicant's eligibility for the relevant subspecialty board examination(s); (Core)
271 272 273	II.A.4.a).(10)	provide a learning and working environment in which fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and

274 275 276 277		provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation; (Core)	
278 279 280 281	II.A.4.a).(11)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures related to grievances and due process; (Core)	
282 283 284 285 286 287	II.A.4.a).(12)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures for due process when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow; (Core)	
	Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program's leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.		
288 289 290 291 292	II.A.4.a).(13)	ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures on employment and non-discrimination; (Core)	
292 293 294 295 296	II.A.4.a).(13).(a)	Fellows must not be required to sign a non-competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.	
297 298 299	II.A.4.a).(14)	document verification of program completion for all graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)	
300 301 302 303	II.A.4.a).(15)	provide verification of an individual fellow's completion upon the fellow's request, within 30 days; and, (Core)	
	Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.		
304 305 306 307 308 309 310	II.A.4.a).(16)	obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring Institution's DIO before submitting information or requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. (Core)	

Faculty

II.B.

311

312

Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education – faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care, professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members, through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the individual and the population.

Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty members create an effective learning environment by acting in a professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and themselves.

Background and Intent: "Faculty" refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term "faculty," including "core faculty," does not imply or require an academic appointment.

II.B.1. For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all fellows at that location. (Core)

Faculty members or consultants with special expertise in all the disciplines related to neurology, including behavioral neurology, child neurology, clinical neurophysiology, epilepsy, headache, movement disorders, neurocritical care, neurogenetics, neuroimaging, neurology of aging, neuromuscular medicine, neuro-oncology, neuro-ophthalmology, neuropathology, pain management, psychiatry, sleep disorders, and vascular neurology, should be available to the fellows. (Detail)

- II.B.2. Faculty members must:
- II.B.2.a) be role models of professionalism; (Core)
- II.B.2.b) demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality, cost-effective, patient-centered care; (Core)

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

 II.B.1.a)

357	II.B.2.c)	demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; (Core)
358		
359	II.B.2.d)	devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill
360	•	their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; (Core)
361		
362	II.B.2.e)	administer and maintain an educational environment
363	- /	conducive to educating fellows; (Core)
364		3
365	II.B.2.f)	regularly participate in organized clinical discussions,
366	,	rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, (Core)
367		
368	II.B.2.g)	pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills
369		at least annually. (Core)
370		at loadt ailliadily!
010		

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

372	II.B.3.	Faculty Qualifications
373		
374	II.B.3.a)	Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in
375		their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.
376		(Core)
377		
378	II.B.3.b)	Subspecialty physician faculty members must:
379	•	
380	II.B.3.b).(1)	have current certification in the subspecialty by the
381		American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology or the
382		American Osteopathic Board of Neurology and
383		Psychiatry, or possess qualifications judged acceptable
384		to the Review Committee. (Core)
385		
386	II.B.3.c)	Any non-physician faculty members who participate in
387		fellowship program education must be approved by the
388		program director. (Core)
389		

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

II.B.3.d) Any other specialty physician faculty members must have current certification in their specialty by the appropriate

371

390 391

American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member
board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying
board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the
Review Committee. (Core)

II.B.4. Core Faculty

400

401

402

403

404

405

106

Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide formative feedback to fellows. (Core)

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum, mentoring fellows, and assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in and the independent practice of the specialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program. Core faculty members may also be selected for their specific expertise and unique contribution to the program. Core faculty members are engaged in a broad range of activities, which may vary across programs and specialties. Core faculty members provide clinical teaching and supervision of fellows, and also participate in non-clinical activities related to fellow education and program administration. Examples of these non-clinical activities include, but are not limited to, interviewing and selecting fellow applicants, providing didactic instruction, mentoring fellows, simulation exercises, completing the annual ACGME Faculty Survey, and participating on the program's Clinical Competency Committee, Program Evaluation Committee, and other GME committees.

400		
407 408	II.B.4.a)	Core faculty members must be designated by the program director. (Core)
409		
410 411	II.B.4.b)	Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME Faculty Survey. (Core)
		racuity Survey.
412		
413	II.B.4.c)	The program must have at least two core faculty members,
414		including the program director, who have completed education in
415		and are board certified by the ABPN or the AOBNP in clinical
416		neurophysiology. ^(Core)
417		
418	II.B.4.d)	A core faculty-to-fellow ratio of at least 1:1 must be maintained in
419		programs with two or more fellows. (Core)
420		
421	II.C.	Program Coordinator
422		
423	II.C.1.	There must be a program coordinator. (Core)
424		
425	II.C.2.	The program coordinator must be provided with dedicated time and
426		support adequate for administration of the program based upon its
427		size and configuration. (Core)
428		3126 and Connigulation.
420		

429 <u>II.C.2.a)</u> 430

At a minimum, the program coordinator must be provided with the dedicated time and support specified below for administration of the program:(Core)

432

431

Number of Approved	Minimum FTE
Fellow Positions	IVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
<u>1-3</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>4-6</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>7-9</u>	<u>0.2</u>
<u>10-12</u>	<u>0.25</u>
<u>13-15</u>	0.3

Background and Intent: The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as otherwise titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison and facilitator between the learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a key member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management appropriate to the complexity of the program. Program coordinators are expected to develop in-depth knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, including policies and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in meeting accreditation requirements, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

433 434

II.D. Other Program Personnel

435 436 437

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. (Core)

438 439

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

440 441

III. Fellow Appointments

443 III.A. **Eligibility Criteria** 444 445 III.A.1. **Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs** 446 447 All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited 448 fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited 449 residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a 450 program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty 451 Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of 452 Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of 453 Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada. (Core) 454 455 Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9). 456 457 Fellowship programs must receive verification of each III.A.1.a) 458 entering fellow's level of competence in the required field, 459 upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. (Core) 460 461 462 III.A.1.b) Prior to appointment in the program, fellows must have 463 successfully completed a program in neurology, child neurology, neurodevelopment disabilities, or psychiatry that satisfies the 464 465 requirements in III.A.1. (Core) 466 467 III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the Review Committee. (Core) 468 469 470 III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review Committee. (Core) 471 472 473 III.C. **Fellow Transfers** 474 475 The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences 476 and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to 477 acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon matriculation. (Core) 478 479 480 IV. **Educational Program** 481 482 The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and 483 innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational 484 affiliation, size, or location of the program. 485 486 The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful 487 physicians who provide compassionate care. 488 489 In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent

with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community

491 it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial 492 493 compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it 494 is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis 495 on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims 496 will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for 497 example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will 498 have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health. 499 500 IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components: (Core) 501 502 IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's 503 mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired distinctive capabilities of its graduates: (Core) 504 505 506 The program's aims must be made available to program IV.A.1.a) applicants, fellows, and faculty members. (Core) 507 508 509 IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational 510 experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be 511 distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members; 512 513 514 515 IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive 516 responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in their subspecialty; (Core) 517

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles foundational to medical professionalism. (Core)

527 IV.B. ACGME Competencies

518

519 520

521 522

523524

525 526

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

529 530 IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: (Core) 531 532 533 **Professionalism** IV.B.1.a) 534 535 Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core) 536 537 538 IV.B.1.b) **Patient Care and Procedural Skills** 539

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs. 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

540		
541 542	IV.B.1.b).(1)	Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the
543		treatment of health problems and the promotion of
544		health. (Core)
545		
546	IV.B.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in
547	, , , , ,	developing and executing an appropriate plan for
548		electrodiagnosis. (Core)
549		•
550	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical,
551		diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered
552		essential for the area of practice. (Core)
553		
554	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in at least
555		two of the following:
556		•
557	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(i)	interpretation and reporting of
558		electroencephalography (EEG) and video
559		EEG; (Detail)
560		

561 562 563 564	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(ii)	performance, interpretation, and reporting of electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies; (Detail)
565 566 567	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(iii)	interpretation of intra-operative monitoring studies; and, (Detail)
568 569 570	IV.B.1.b).(2).(a).(iv)	interpretation and reporting of sleep studies.
571 572 573 574 575 576	IV.B.1.b).(2).(b)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in the application of electrical, magnetic, and mechanical methods to evaluate a wide range of diseases salient to a fellow's two or more areas of clinical neurophysiology experience. (Core)
577 578	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
576 579 580 581 582 583		Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care. (Core)
584 585 586	IV.B.1.c).(1)	Fellows must demonstrate competence in their knowledge of:
587 588 589	IV.B.1.c).(1).(a)	the normal electrophysiology of the nervous system; and, $^{(\text{Core})}$
590 591 592	IV.B.1.c).(1).(b)	disease states involving abnormal electrophysiology of the nervous system. (Core)
593 594	IV.B.1.d)	Practice-based Learning and Improvement
595 596 597 598 599		Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. (Core)
วษษ	Bookground and Intent	Practice based learning and improvement is one of the

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

IV.B.1.e) Interpersonal and Communication Skills

600 601

603 604 605 606 607		Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication skills that result in the effective exchange of information and collaboration with patients, their families, and health professionals. (Core)
608 609	IV.B.1.f)	Systems-based Practice
610 611 612 613 614 615		Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and responsiveness to the larger context and system of health care, including the social determinants of health, as well as the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide optimal health care. (Core)
616 617	IV.C.	Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences
618 619 620 621	IV.C.1.	The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory continuity. (Core)
622 623 624 625 626 627 628	IV.C.1.a)	Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the frequency of rotational transitions and rotations must be of sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience, defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision, longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and high-quality assessment and feedback. (Core)
629 630 631 632 633	IV.C.1.b)	Clinical experiences must be structured to facilitate learning in a manner that allows the fellows to function as part of an effective health care team that works together longitudinally with shared goals of patient safety and quality improvement. (Core)
634 635 636 637	IV.C.2.	The program must provide instruction and experience in pain management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition of the signs of addiction. (Core)
638 639 640 641	IV.C.3.	The program director must, with assistance from the members of the faculty, develop and implement the academic and clinical education program by:
642 643 644 645 646	IV.C.3.a)	preparing and implementing a comprehensive, well-organized, and effective curriculum, both academic and clinical, which includes the presentation of core subspecialty knowledge supplemented by the addition of current information; and, (Core)
647 648 649	IV.C.3.b)	providing fellows with direct experience in progressive responsibility for patient management. (Core)
650 651 652	IV.C.4.	The program must provide a broad education in clinical neurophysiology, including: (Core)
653	IV.C.4.a)	EEG, to include video and intracranial monitoring; (Core)

654 655 656	IV.C.4.b)	electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies; (Core)
657 658	IV.C.4.c)	evoked potential studies; (Core)
659 660	IV.C.4.d)	intra-operative monitoring and analysis; (Core)
661 662	IV.C.4.e)	movement disorder assessment, to include dystonia; (Core)
663	IV.C.4.f)	polysomnography and assessment of disorders of sleep; (Core)
664 665	IV.C.4.g)	single fiber EMG; (Core)
666 667	IV.C.4.h)	testing of autonomic function; and, (Core)
668 669	IV.C.4.i)	video EEG monitoring. (Core)
670 671 672 673	IV.C.5.	The program must include clinical experience in at least two of the following: (Core)
674 675	IV.C.5.a)	EEG; (Detail)
676 677	IV.C.5.b)	EMG and nerve conduction studies; (Detail)
678 679	IV.C.5.c)	intra-operative monitoring; or, (Detail)
680 681	IV.C.5.d)	polysomnography and assessment of disorders of sleep. (Detail)
682 683	IV.C.6.	If clinical education emphasizes one experience, the duration of the second experience must be at least two months. (Core)
684 685	IV.D.	Scholarship
686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695		Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically, evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery, integration, application, and teaching.
696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704		The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians, scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves. For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical research as the focus for scholarship.

705 706	IV.D.1.	Program Responsibilities
707 708 709	IV.D.1.a)	The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. (Core)
710 711 712 713	IV.D.1.b)	The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and faculty involvement in scholarly activities. (Core)
714 715	IV.D.2.	Faculty Scholarly Activity
716 717 718	IV.D.2.a)	Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate accomplishments in at least three of the following domains: (Core)
719 720 721 722		 Research in basic science, education, translational science, patient care, or population health Peer-reviewed grants
723 724 725		 Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles, chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports
726 727 728		 Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic educational activities, or electronic educational materials
729 730 731 732		 Contribution to professional committees, educational organizations, or editorial boards Innovations in education
733 734 735	IV.D.2.b)	The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly activity within and external to the program by the following methods:

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program's effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows' scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

737

744

745

736

738 **IV.D.2.b).(1)**739
740
741
742
743

faculty participation in grand rounds, posters, workshops, quality improvement presentations, podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars, service on professional committees, or serving as a journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or editor; (Outcome)‡

746		
747	IV.D.2.b).(2)	peer-reviewed publication. (Outcome)
748		
749 750	IV.D.3.	Fellow Scholarly Activity
751 752 753 754 755	IV.D.3.a)	The curriculum must advance fellows' knowledge of the basic principles of evidence-based medicine and research, including how research is conducted, evaluated, explained to patients, and applied to patient care. (Core)
756 757 758	IV.D.3.b)	Fellows must participate in scholarly activity under the mentorship of program faculty members. (Core)
759 760 761 762	IV.D.3.c)	The sponsoring institution and program must allocate adequate educational resources to facilitate fellow involvement in scholarly activities. (Core)
763 764 765	IV.D.3.d)	Fellows should receive support to attend one regional, national, or international professional conference during the program. (Detail)

V. Evaluation

766

767 768

769 770

771

V.A. Fellow Evaluation

V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is evaluating a fellow's learning by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

772 773

774

775 776 V.A.1.a)

Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during each rotation or similar educational assignment. (Core)

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

completion. (Core)

777 778

V.A.1.b)

Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the assignment. (Core)

V.A.1.b).(1)

For block rotations of greater than three months in duration, evaluation must be documented at least every three months. (Core)

783 784

786

787

785 **V.A.1.b).(2)**

Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in the context of other clinical responsibilities must be evaluated at least every three months and at

788 789 790

V.A.1.c)

The program must provide an objective performance evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-specific Milestones, and must: (Core)

792 793 794

791

V.A.1.c).(1)

V.A.1.c).(2)

use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers, patients, self, and other professional staff members); and, $^{(Core)}$

800

801 802

795

provide that information to the Clinical Competency Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow performance and improvement toward unsupervised practice. (Core)

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

803		
804	V.A.1.d)	The program director or their designee, with input from the
805		Clinical Competency Committee, must:
806		
807	V.A.1.d).(1)	meet with and review with each fellow their
808		documented semi-annual evaluation of performance,
809		including progress along the subspecialty-specific
810		Milestones. (Core)
811		
812	V.A.1.d).(2)	assist fellows in developing individualized learning
813		plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas
814		for growth; and, ^(Core)
815		
816	V.A.1.d).(3)	develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following
817	,	institutional policies and procedures. (Core)
818		·

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

		moreo una procedument.
819		
820	V.A.1.e)	At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of
821		each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the
822		next year of the program, if applicable. (Core)
823		
824	V.A.1.f)	The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible
825		for review by the fellow. (Core)
826		
827	V.A.2.	Final Evaluation
828		
829	V.A.2.a)	The program director must provide a final evaluation for each
830		fellow upon completion of the program. (Core)
831		
832	V.A.2.a).(1)	The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when
833		applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must
834		be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage
835		in autonomous practice upon completion of the
836		program. ^(Core)

837 838	V.A.2.a).(2)	The final evaluation must:
839 840 841 842 843 844	V.A.2.a).(2).(a	become part of the fellow's permanent record maintained by the institution, and must be accessible for review by the fellow in accordance with institutional policy; (Core)
845 846 847 848	V.A.2.a).(2).(b	verify that the fellow has demonstrated the knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to enter autonomous practice; (Core)
849 850 851	V.A.2.a).(2).(0	consider recommendations from the Clinical Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
852 853 854	V.A.2.a).(2).(d	be shared with the fellow upon completion of the program. (Core)
855 856 857	V.A.3.	A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the program director. (Core)
858 859 860 861 862 863 864	V.A.3.a)	At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty member. Members must be faculty members from the same program or other programs, or other health professionals who have extensive contact and experience with the program's fellows. (Core)
865 866	V.A.3.b)	The Clinical Competency Committee must:
867 868 869	V.A.3.b).(1)	review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually; (Core)
870 871 872	V.A.3.b).(2)	determine each fellow's progress on achievement of the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, (Core)
873 874 875 876	V.A.3.b).(3)	meet prior to the fellows' semi-annual evaluations and advise the program director regarding each fellow's progress. (Core)
877 878	V.B.	Faculty Evaluation
879 880 881 882	V.B.1.	The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty member's performance as it relates to the educational program at least annually. (Core)
	Dookaround	and Intent: The program director is recognitible for the education program

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a

strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

884 885 886 887 888 889	V.B.1.a)	This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational program, participation in faculty development related to their skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism, and scholarly activities. (Core)
890 891 892	V.B.1.b)	This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations by the fellows. (Core)
893 894 895	V.B.2.	Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least annually. ^(Core)
896 897 898	V.B.3.	Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)

883

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

899		
900	V.C.	Program Evaluation and Improvement
901		
902	V.C.1.	The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
903		Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
904		Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
905		process. (Core)
906		
907	V.C.1.a)	The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at
908	-	least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a
909		core faculty member, and at least one fellow. (Core)
910		•
911	V.C.1.b)	Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:
912	•	•

913 914	V.C.1.b).(1)	acting as an advisor to the program director, through program oversight; (Core)
915		
916	V.C.1.b).(2)	review of the program's self-determined goals and
917		progress toward meeting them; (Core)
918		
919	V.C.1.b).(3)	guiding ongoing program improvement, including
920	, , ,	development of new goals, based upon outcomes;
921		and, ^(Core)
922		·
923	V.C.1.b).(4)	review of the current operating environment to identify
924	, , ,	strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as
925		related to the program's mission and aims. (Core)
926		

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

	to assess the program's progress toward achievement of its goals and annis.		
927			
928	V.C.1.c)	The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the	
929		following elements in its assessment of the program:	
930			
931	V.C.1.c).(1)	curriculum; ^(Core)	
932			
933	V.C.1.c).(2)	outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);	
934		(Core)	
935			
936	V.C.1.c).(3)	ACGME letters of notification, including citations,	
937		Areas for Improvement, and comments; (Core)	
938			
939	V.C.1.c).(4)	quality and safety of patient care; (Core)	
940	, , ,		
941	V.C.1.c).(5)	aggregate fellow and faculty:	
942	, , ,		
943	V.C.1.c).(5).(a)	well-being; (Core)	
944	, , , , ,		
945	V.C.1.c).(5).(b)	recruitment and retention; (Core)	
946	, , , , ,		
947	V.C.1.c).(5).(c)	workforce diversity; (Core)	
948	, , , , ,	•	
949	V.C.1.c).(5).(d)	engagement in quality improvement and patient	
950	, , , , ,	safety; (Core)	
951		•	
952	V.C.1.c).(5).(e)	scholarly activity; (Core)	
953	-, (-, (-,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
954	V.C.1.c).(5).(f)	ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys	
955	-, (-, (,	(where applicable); and, (Core)	
956		, , , ,	
957	V.C.1.c).(5).(g)	written evaluations of the program. (Core)	
	-/ (-/ (3/		

958 959 960	V.C.1.c).(6)	aggregate fellow:
961 962	V.C.1.c).(6).(a)	achievement of the Milestones; (Core)
963 964	V.C.1.c).(6).(b)	in-training examinations (where applicable); (Core)
965 966 967	V.C.1.c).(6).(c)	board pass and certification rates; and, (Core)
968 969	V.C.1.c).(6).(d)	graduate performance. (Core)
970 971	V.C.1.c).(7)	aggregate faculty:
972 973	V.C.1.c).(7).(a)	evaluation; and, (Core)
974 975	V.C.1.c).(7).(b)	professional development (Core)
976 977 978 979	V.C.1.d)	The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for improvement, and threats. (Core)
980 981	V.C.1.e)	The annual review, including the action plan, must:
982 983 984	V.C.1.e).(1)	be distributed to and discussed with the members of the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, (Core)
985 986	V.C.1.e).(2)	be submitted to the DIO. (Core)
987 988 989	V.C.2.	The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit. (Core)
990 991	V.C.2.a)	A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO. (Core)

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures. Additionally, a description of the Self-Study process, as well as information on how to prepare for the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit, is available on the ACGME website.

V.C.3. One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.

992

993 994

995

998 999 1000 1001 1002		The program director should encourage all eligible program graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.
1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009	V.C.3.a)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016	V.C.3.b)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023	V.C.3.c)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030	V.C.3.d)	For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program's aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036	V.C.3.e)	For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. (Outcome)

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

1038 **V.C.3.f)**

Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. (Core)

1039 1040 1041

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

1042 1043

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

1044 1045 1046

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

1047 1048

 Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today

1049 1050 1051

• Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice

1052 1053 1054

• Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:

 the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians

1062

1063

o the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery

• Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In

addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability

 VI A 1

Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.

It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.

VI.A.1.a) Patient Safety

VI.A.1.a).(1) Culture of Safety

A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas for improvement.

The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety

VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)

1102 1103 1104		systems and contribute to a culture of safety.
1104 1105 1106 1107 1108	VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)	The program must have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. (Core)
1109 1110	VI.A.1.a).(2)	Education on Patient Safety
1111 1112 1113 1114		Programs must provide formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)
	Background and Intent: Optima interprofessional learning and	al patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated working environment.
1115 1116 1117	VI.A.1.a).(3)	Patient Safety Events
1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127		Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.
127 128 129 130	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)	Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must:
131 132 133 134	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)	know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events at the clinical site;
135 136 137 138	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)	know how to report patient safety events, including near misses, at the clinical site; and, (Core)
139 140 141	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)	be provided with summary information of their institution's patient safety reports. (Core)
142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149	VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)	Fellows must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical patient safety activities, such as root cause analyses or other activities that include analysis, as well as formulation and implementation of actions. (Core)

1150 1151 1152	VI.A.1.a).(4)	Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of Adverse Events
1153		Patient-centered care requires patients, and when
1154		appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical
1155		situations that affect them, including adverse events.
1156		This is an important skill for faculty physicians to
1157		model, and for fellows to develop and apply.
1158		
1159	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to
1160		disclose adverse events to patients and
1161		families. ^(Core)
1162	MI A 4 -> (4) (I-)	Fallows alreaded house the consent with the
1163	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to
1164 1165		participate in the disclosure of patient safety events, real or simulated. (Detail)†
1166		events, real of simulated.
1167	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1168	VII.A. 1.10)	equality improvement
1169	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1170		
1171		A cohesive model of health care includes quality-
1172		related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary
1173		in order for health care professionals to achieve
1174		quality improvement goals.
1175		
1176	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in
1177		quality improvement processes, including an
1178		understanding of health care disparities. (Core)
1179	V/I A 4 b) (2)	Ovelity Metrice
1180 1181	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1182		Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for
1183		care improvement and evaluating success of
1184		improvement efforts.
1185		improvoment on orter
1186	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data
1187	· / (/ (· /	on quality metrics and benchmarks related to
1188		their patient populations. (Core)
1189		• • •
1190	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1191		
1192		Experiential learning is essential to developing the
1193		ability to identify and institute sustainable systems-
1194		based changes to improve patient care.
1195	VI A 1 b) (2) (c)	Follows must have the apparturate to
1196 1197	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to
1197		participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. (Core)
1190		improvement activities.
1133		

1200 1201	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. (Detail)
1202 1203	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability
1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213	VI.A.2.a)	Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for the care of the patient, every physician shares in the responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate, and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient care.
1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219		Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.
1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226	VI.A.2.a).(1)	Each patient must have an identifiable and appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending physician (or licensed independent practitioner as specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is responsible and accountable for the patient's care.
1227 1228 1229 1230	VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)	This information must be available to fellows, faculty members, other members of the health care team, and patients. (Core)
1231 1232 1233 1234	VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)	Fellows and faculty members must inform each patient of their respective roles in that patient's care when providing direct patient care. (Core)
1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245	VI.A.2.b)	Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods. For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication technology. Some activities require the physical presence of the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances, supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered care with feedback.

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the

same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

	· · ·
VI.A.2.b).(1)	The program must demonstrate that the appropriate level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on each fellow's level of training and ability, as well as patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate
VI.A.2.b).(2)	to the situation. (Core) The program must define when physical presence of a supervising physician is required. (Core)
VI.A.2.c)	Levels of Supervision
	To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use the following classification of supervision: (Core)
VI.A.2.c).(1)	Direct Supervision:
VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)	the supervising physician is physically present with the fellow during the key portions of the patient interaction; or, (Core)
VI.A.2.c).(1).(b)	the supervising physician and/or patient is not physically present with the fellow and the supervising physician is concurrently monitoring the patient care through appropriate telecommunication technology. (Core)
VI.A.2.c).(2)	Indirect Supervision: the supervising physician is not providing physical or concurrent visual or audio supervision but is immediately available to the fellow for guidance and is available to provide appropriate direct supervision. (Core)
VI.A.2.c).(3)	Oversight – the supervising physician is available to provide review of procedures/encounters with feedback provided after care is delivered. (Core)
VI.A.2.d)	The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility, conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the program director and faculty members. (Core)
VI.A.2.d).(1)	The program director must evaluate each fellow's abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the Milestones. (Core)

VI.A.2.d).(2)	Faculty members functioning as supervising physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows
	based on the needs of the patient and the skills of each fellow. (Core)
VI.A.2.d).(3)	Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior fellows and residents in recognition of their progress
	toward independence, based on the needs of each patient and the skills of the individual resident or fellow. (Detail)
VI.A.2.e)	Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events
VI.A.2.6)	in which fellows must communicate with the supervising faculty member(s). (Core)
\/I A 2 a\ /4\	Each follow must know the limite of their scene of
VI.A.2.e).(1)	Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of authority, and the circumstances under which the
	fellow is permitted to act with conditional
	independence. (Outcome)
oversight.	ice as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined
VI.A.2.f)	Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient care authority and responsibility. (Core)
VI.B.	Professionalism
VI.B.1.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their patients. (Core)
VI.B.2.	The learning objectives of the program must:
VI.B.2.a)	
VI.D.Z.ai	be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervise
VI.D.Z.a)	patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic
VI.D.Z.aj	
VI.B.2.a)	patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic educational events; (Core) be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to
·	educational events; (Core)

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are

performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1337 1338

VI.B.2.c) ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. (Core)

1339

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define "manageable patient care responsibilities" as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

1340 1341

VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)

1343 1344 1345

1342

VI.B.4. Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the:

1346 1347 1348

VI.B.4.a) provision of patient- and family-centered care; (Outcome)

1349 1350

VI.B.4.b) safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse events: (Outcome)

1351 1352 1353

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

1354

VI.B.4.c) assurance of their fitness for work, including: (Outcome)

1355 1356

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

1357

1358 VI.B.4.c).(1) management of their time before, during, and after clinical assignments; and, (Outcome)
1360
1361 VI.B.4.c).(2) recognition of impairment, including from illness, fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers, and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)

1364		
1365	VI.B.4.d)	commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
1366	,	g,
1367	VI.B.4.e)	monitoring of their patient care performance improvement
1368	,	indicators; and, (Outcome)
1369		,
1370	VI.B.4.f)	accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours,
1371	,	patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
1372		
1373	VI.B.5.	All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness
1374		to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the
1375		recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of
1376		the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to
1377		another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome)
1378		·
1379	VI.B.6.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must
1380		provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment
1381		that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of
1382		harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows,
1383		faculty, and staff. (Core)
1384		
1385	VI.B.7.	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should
1386		have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding
1387		unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting,
1388		investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)
1389		
1390	VI.C.	Well-Being
1391		
1392		Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the
1393		development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require
1394		proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being
1395		requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their
1396		own real life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other
1397		members of the health care team are important components of
1398		professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and
1399		nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.
1400		Follows and faculty members are at rick for however and degrees:
1401		Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression.
1402 1403		Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same
1403		responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share
1404		responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which
1405		encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation
1406		of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a
1407		clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares
1409		fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their
1410		careers.
1710		careers.

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for

1411

physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website.

As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. In addition, there are many activities that programs can utilize now to assess and support physician well-being. These include culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

1412		
1413	VI.C.1.	The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the
1414		Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:
1415		
1416	VI.C.1.a)	efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the
1417		experience of being a physician, including protecting time
1418		with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations,
1419		providing administrative support, promoting progressive
1420		autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional
1421		relationships; (Core)
1422		
1423	VI.C.1.b)	attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work
1424		compression that impacts fellow well-being; (Core)
1425		
1426	VI.C.1.c)	evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of
1427	-	fellows and faculty members; (Core)
1428		

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

VI.C.1.d).(1)

Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours.

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with

1429 1430

1431 1432

1433 1434

1435 1436

1437 1438

time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1439 1440

VI.C.1.e)

VI.C.1.e).(1)

1441 1442 1443

1444 1445 1446

1447 1448 1449

1450

and substance use disorder. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder, including means to assist those who experience these conditions. Fellows and faculty members must also be educated to recognize those symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must: (Core)

attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression,

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder. Materials and more information are available on the Physician Well-being section of the ACGME website (http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being).

1451

1452 1453

1458 1459

encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the program director or other designated personnel or programs when they are concerned that another fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying signs of burnout, depression, a substance use

disorder, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence;

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance use disorder, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

1460

1461

1462 1463 1464

1465 1466 1467 VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening; and. (Core)

VI.C.1.e).(3)

provide access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment, including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. (Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

1469 1470 VI.C.2. There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend 1471 work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family 1472 emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an 1473 appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their patient care responsibilities. (Core) 1474 1475 1476 VI.C.2.a) The program must have policies and procedures in place to ensure coverage of patient care. (Core) 1477 1478 1479 VI.C.2.b) These policies must be implemented without fear of negative consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide 1480 1481 the clinical work. (Core) 1482

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

1483 1484 VI.D. **Fatigue Mitigation** 1485 1486 VI.D.1. **Programs must:** 1487 1488 VI.D.1.a) educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; (Core) 1489 1490 1491 educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness VI.D.1.b) 1492 management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, (Core) 1493 1494 VI.D.1.c) encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to 1495 manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient 1496 care and learning. (Detail) 1497

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

1498 1499 VI.D.2. Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program's policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2-1500 1501 VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatique. (Core) 1502 1503 1504 VI.D.3. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must 1505 ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. (Core) 1506 1507 1508 VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care 1509 1510 VI.E.1. **Clinical Responsibilities** 1511 1512 The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY 1513 level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient illness/condition, and available support services. (Core) 1514 1515

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

1516 1517 VI.E.2. Teamwork 1518 1519 Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes 1520 communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a 1521 member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to 1522 the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system. 1523 1524 1525 VI.E.3. **Transitions of Care** 1526 1527 VI.E.3.a) Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize 1528 transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency, and structure. (Core) 1529 1530

1531 1532 1533 1534 1535	VI.E.3.b)	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient safety. (Core)
1536	VI.E.3.c)	Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in
1537	,	communicating with team members in the hand-over process.
1538		(Outcome)
1539		
1540	VI.E.3.d)	Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate
1541		schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently
1542		responsible for care. ^(Core)
1543		
1544	VI.E.3.e)	Each program must ensure continuity of patient care,
1545		consistent with the program's policies and procedures
1546		referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may
1547		be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to
1548		excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. (Core)
1549	\/I -	Clinical Evacuiones and Education
1550	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education
1551		

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal activities.

in months and big suith the in Consequent or Institutions

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms "clinical experience and education," "clinical and educational work," and "clinical and educational work hours" replace the terms "duty hours," "duty periods," and "duty." These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term "duty" in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows' duty to "clock out" on time superseded their duty to their patients.

VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week

Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from home, and all moonlighting. (Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

...

4504

1552 1553

1554

1555 1556

1557 1558

1559 1560

1561

1562

1563 1564

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-

week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversiaht

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules

are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

VI.F.2.	Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education
	•
VI.F.2.a)	The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational
	opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest
	and personal well-being. (Core)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
VI.F.2.b)	Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled
- ,	clinical work and education periods. (Detail)
VI.F.2.b).(1)	There may be circumstances when fellows choose to
	stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital
	with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience
	and education. This must occur within the context of
	the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven
	requirements. (Detail)
	104an onionio

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1583 1584

1585 1586 VI.F.2.c)

VI.F.2.d)

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)

1587 1588

Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. (Core)

Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two

consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

VI.F.3.	Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length
VI.F.3.a)	Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not
	exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical
	assignments. ^(Core)
VI.F.3.a).(1)	Up to four hours of additional time may be used for
	activities related to patient safety, such as providing
	effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.
	(Core)
VI.F.3.a).(1).(a)	Additional patient care responsibilities must not
, , , , ,	be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

1609	VI.F.4.	Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions
1610		
1611	VI.F.4.a)	In rare circumstances, after handing off all other
1612	,	responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to
1613		remain or return to the clinical site in the following
1614		circumstances:
1615		
	\/ E 4 a\ /4\	to continue to provide core to a cinale coverely ill or
1616	VI.F.4.a).(1)	to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or
1617		unstable patient; (Detail)
1618		
1619	VI.F.4.a).(2)	humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or
1620		family; or, (Detail)
1621		• ,
1622	VI.F.4.a).(3)	to attend unique educational events. (Detail)
1623	• •	
	\/ E 4 b\	These additional hours of save or advection will be counted
1624	VI.F.4.b)	These additional hours of care or education will be counted
1625		toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)
1626		

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in

1608

the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

VI.F.4.c)	A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exception for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and educational work hours to individual programs based on sound educational rationale.
	The Review Committee for Neurology will not consider requestor for exceptions to the 80-hour limit to the fellows' work week.
VI.F.5.	Moonlighting
VI.F.5.a)	Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational program, and must not interfere with the fellow's fitness to work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)
VI.F.5.b)	Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting (as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)
Packground	
moonlighting	
moonlighting	, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at
moonlighting http://www.ac	I, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at came.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements). In-House Night Float
moonlighting <a a="" href="http://www.ac VI.F.6. Background</td><td>In-House Night Float Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core) and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of</td></tr><tr><td>moonlighting <a href=" http:="" www.ac<=""> VI.F.6. Background	In-House Night Float Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one
moonlighting http://www.ad VI.F.6. Background a night float wa	In-House Night Float Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core) and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of its removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling
moonlighting http://www.ad VI.F.6. Background a night float wa	In-House Night Float Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core) and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of its removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently

1667		
1668	VI.F.8.a).(1)	At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to
1669		preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each
1670		fellow. (Core)
1671		
1672	VI.F.8.b)	Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-
1673	•	home call to provide direct care for new or established
1674		patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be
1675		included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Detail)
1676		·

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

*Core Requirements: Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

 [†]**Detail Requirements:** Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

[‡]Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

Osteopathic Recognition

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).