

ACGME
Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in
Neurocritical Care

ACGME-approved: September 26, 2021; effective September 26, 2021

Contents

Introduction	3
Int.A. Preamble	3
Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty	3
Int.C. Length of Educational Program	4
I. Oversight	4
I.A. Sponsoring Institution	4
I.B. Participating Sites	5
I.C. Recruitment	6
I.D. Resources	6
I.E. Other Learners and Other Care Providers	8
II. Personnel	8
II.A. Program Director	8
II.B. Faculty	12
II.C. Program Coordinator	15
II.D. Other Program Personnel	16
III. Fellow Appointments	16
III.A. Eligibility Criteria	16
III.B. Number of Fellows	18
III.C. Fellow Transfers	18
IV. Educational Program	18
IV.A. Curriculum Components	19
IV.B. ACGME Competencies	20
IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences	23
IV.D. Scholarship	25
IV.E. Independent Practice	27
V. Evaluation	27
V.A. Fellow Evaluation	27
V.B. Faculty Evaluation	30
V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement	31
VI. The Learning and Working Environment	35
VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	36
VI.B. Professionalism	41
VI.C. Well-Being	43
VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation	46
VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care	47
VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education	48

1 **ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in**
2 **Neurocritical Care**

3
4 **Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are in BOLD**

5
6 Where applicable, text in italics describes the underlying philosophy of the requirements in that
7 section. These philosophic statements are not program requirements and are therefore not
8 citable.
9

Background and Intent: These fellowship requirements reflect the fact that these learners have already completed the first phase of graduate medical education. Thus, the Common Program Requirements (Fellowship) are intended to explain the differences.

10
11 **Introduction**

12
13 **Int.A.** *Fellowship is advanced graduate medical education beyond a core*
14 *residency program for physicians who desire to enter more specialized*
15 *practice. Fellowship-trained physicians serve the public by providing*
16 *subspecialty care, which may also include core medical care, acting as a*
17 *community resource for expertise in their field, creating and integrating*
18 *new knowledge into practice, and educating future generations of*
19 *physicians. Graduate medical education values the strength that a diverse*
20 *group of physicians brings to medical care.*

21
22 *Fellows who have completed residency are able to practice independently*
23 *in their core specialty. The prior medical experience and expertise of*
24 *fellows distinguish them from physicians entering into residency training.*
25 *The fellow's care of patients within the subspecialty is undertaken with*
26 *appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence. Faculty*
27 *members serve as role models of excellence, compassion,*
28 *professionalism, and scholarship. The fellow develops deep medical*
29 *knowledge, patient care skills, and expertise applicable to their focused*
30 *area of practice. Fellowship is an intensive program of subspecialty clinical*
31 *and didactic education that focuses on the multidisciplinary care of*
32 *patients. Fellowship education is often physically, emotionally, and*
33 *intellectually demanding, and occurs in a variety of clinical learning*
34 *environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being*
35 *of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members*
36 *of the health care team.*

37
38 *In addition to clinical education, many fellowship programs advance*
39 *fellows' skills as physician-scientists. While the ability to create new*
40 *knowledge within medicine is not exclusive to fellowship-educated*
41 *physicians, the fellowship experience expands a physician's abilities to*
42 *pursue hypothesis-driven scientific inquiry that results in contributions to*
43 *the medical literature and patient care. Beyond the clinical subspecialty*
44 *expertise achieved, fellows develop mentored relationships built on an*
45 *infrastructure that promotes collaborative research.*

46
47 **Int.B.** **Definition of Subspecialty**

48
49 The medical subspecialty of neurocritical care is devoted to the comprehensive
50 multisystem care of critically ill neurology and neurological surgery patients. Like
51 other intensivists, the neurointensivist assumes either primary or shared
52 responsibility for the care of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU), coordinating
53 the neurological, surgical, and medical management of the patient. Most
54 uniquely, neurocritical care is concerned with the interface between the central
55 and peripheral nervous systems and other organ systems in the setting of critical
56 illness.

57
58 These educational programs provide the educational, clinical, and administrative
59 resources to allow fellows to develop advanced competence in the evaluation
60 and management of critically ill neurologic and neurosurgical patients, as well as
61 the neurological manifestations and complications of critical illnesses and multi-
62 trauma patients.

64 **Int.C. Length of Educational Program**

65
66 The educational program must be provided in one of these formats:

67
68 Int.C.1. Neurocritical Care 1 (NCC-1): 24 months of education in neurocritical
69 care following completion of a residency in anesthesiology, child
70 neurology, emergency medicine, general surgery, internal medicine, or
71 neurology. ^(Core)

72
73 Int.C.2. Neurocritical Care 2 (NCC-2): 12 months of education in neurocritical
74 care following completion of a fellowship in anesthesiology critical care
75 medicine, internal medicine critical care medicine, pediatric critical care
76 medicine, or surgical critical care, or completion of or matriculation in a
77 neurological surgery residency. ^(Core)

79 **I. Oversight**

81 **I.A. Sponsoring Institution**

82
83 ***The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the***
84 ***ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate***
85 ***medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.***

86
87 ***When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the***
88 ***most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the***
89 ***primary clinical site.***

90

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a

teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.

- 91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
- I.A.1.** The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution. ^{(Core)*}
 - I.B.** **Participating Sites**
A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or educational assignments/rotations for fellows.
 - I.B.1.** The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must designate a primary clinical site. ^(Core)
 - I.B.1.a)** The Sponsoring Institution should also sponsor ACGME-accredited residency programs in neurological surgery and neurology. ^(Core)
 - I.B.2.** There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the program and each participating site that governs the relationship between the program and the participating site providing a required assignment. ^(Core)
 - I.B.2.a)** The PLA must:
 - I.B.2.a).(1)** be renewed at least every 10 years; and, ^(Core)
 - I.B.2.a).(2)** be approved by the designated institutional official (DIO). ^(Core)
 - I.B.3.** The program must monitor the clinical learning and working environment at all participating sites. ^(Core)
 - I.B.3.a)** At each participating site there must be one faculty member, designated by the program director, who is accountable for fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the program director. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director's Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138

I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME’s Accreditation Data System (ADS). ^(Core)

I.C. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other relevant members of its academic community. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution’s mission and aims. The program’s annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program’s efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163

I.D. Resources

I.D.1. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education. ^(Core)

I.D.1.a) The program must have facilities and space to support the educational needs of the fellows, including meeting rooms, conference rooms, computers, office space, audiovisual support, and work and study space. ^(Core)

I.D.1.b) The primary clinical site must have the required facilities, including equipment for diagnostic, imaging, monitoring, and therapeutic procedures. ^(Core)

I.D.1.c) The Sponsoring Institution must have a neurologic/neurosurgical intensive care unit or dedicated beds in a general intensive care unit (ICU) devoted to patients with neurological and neurosurgical conditions. ^(Core)

I.D.1.c).(1) The ICU must have designated space for patient care conferences, nursing and support personnel, and family waiting and consultation areas. ^(Core)

164 I.D.1.d) The neurocritical care intensive care unit or the general ICU with
165 dedicated neurocritical care beds must exist as a distinct entity, in
166 a designated area within the institution, constructed and designed
167 specifically for the care of critically ill patients. (Core)
168

169 **I.D.2. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must**
170 **ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that**
171 **promote fellow well-being and provide for:** (Core)
172

173 **I.D.2.a) access to food while on duty;** (Core)
174

175 **I.D.2.b) safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available**
176 **and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe**
177 **patient care;** (Core)
178

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

179
180 **I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration**
181 **capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care;**
182 (Core)
183

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

184
185 **I.D.2.d) security and safety measures appropriate to the participating**
186 **site; and,** (Core)
187

188 **I.D.2.e) accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with**
189 **the Sponsoring Institution's policy.** (Core)
190

191 **I.D.3. Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other**
192 **appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This**
193 **must include access to electronic medical literature databases with**
194 **full text capabilities.** (Core)
195

196 **I.D.4. The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate**
197 **to support the number of fellows appointed to the program.** (Core)
198

- 199 I.D.4.a) There must be an adequate number of adult surgical and non-
 200 surgical patients to expose fellows to the broad spectrum of
 201 diseases that occur in critically ill neurological patients. ^(Core)
 202
- 203 I.D.4.b) The average daily census for each neurocritical care unit to which
 204 fellows are assigned must be a minimum of five patients per
 205 fellow. ^(Core)
 206
- 207 **I.E. *A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and***
 208 ***other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured***
 209 ***to optimize education for all learners present.***
 210
- 211 **I.E.1. Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core**
 212 **programs, if present. ^(Core)**
 213
- 214 I.E.1.a) The appointment of fellows and other specialty residents or
 215 trainees must not detract from the educational opportunities
 216 available to appointed neurocritical care fellows. ^(Core)
 217

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

- 218
- 219 **II. Personnel**
- 220
- 221 **II.A. Program Director**
- 222
- 223 **II.A.1. There must be one faculty member appointed as program director**
 224 **with authority and accountability for the overall program, including**
 225 **compliance with all applicable program requirements. ^(Core)**
 226
- 227 **II.A.1.a) The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education**
 228 **Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program**
 229 **director. ^(Core)**
 230
- 231 **II.A.1.b) Final approval of the program director resides with the**
 232 **Review Committee. ^(Core)**
 233

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and made responsible for the program. This individual will have dedicated time for the leadership of the fellowship, and it is this individual's responsibility to communicate with the fellows, faculty members, DIO, GMEC, and the ACGME. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of program directors resides with the Review Committee.

234

235 **II.A.2. The program director must be provided with support adequate for**
 236 **administration of the program based upon its size and configuration.**
 237 **(Core)**

238
 239 **II.A.2.a)** At a minimum, the program director must be provided with
 240 dedicated time and support, as specified below, for administration
 241 of the program: **(Core)**
 242

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum Support Required (FTE)
1-2	0.1
3	0.125
4	0.15
5	0.175
6 or more	0.2

243

Background and Intent: Ten percent FTE is defined as one half-day per week.

“Administrative time” is defined as non-clinical time spent meeting the responsibilities of the program director as detailed in requirements II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16).

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

244
 245 **II.A.3. Qualifications of the program director:**

246
 247 **II.A.3.a) must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications**
 248 **acceptable to the Review Committee; (Core)**
 249

250 **II.A.3.b) must include current certification in the subspecialty for**
 251 **which they are the program director by the American Board**
 252 **of Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine, Internal Medicine,**
 253 **Neurology-or subspecialty qualifications that are acceptable to**
 254 **the Review Committee; (Core)**
 255

256 **II.A.3.b).(1)** Other qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee
 257 include American Board of Neurological Surgery
 258 certification in neurological surgery and Recognized
 259 Focused Practice in neurocritical care by the American
 260 Board of Neurological Surgery. **(Core)**
 261

262 [Note that while the Common Program Requirements deem
 263 certification by a certifying board of the American Osteopathic
 264 Association (AOA) acceptable, there is no AOA board that offers
 265 certification in this subspecialty.]
 266

267 **II.A.3.c)** must include status as a clinically active faculty member, with no
 268 less than 25 percent of responsibilities devoted to the practice and
 269 administration in neurocritical care; and, **(Core)**
 270

271 II.A.3.d) must include a minimum of three years' experience as an
272 attending physician in neurocritical care. ^(Core)

273
274 **II.A.4. Program Director Responsibilities**

275
276 **The program director must have responsibility, authority, and**
277 **accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and**
278 **scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and**
279 **promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows;**
280 **and fellow education in the context of patient care. ^(Core)**

281
282 **II.A.4.a) The program director must:**

283
284 **II.A.4.a).(1) be a role model of professionalism; ^(Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

286
287 **II.A.4.a).(2) design and conduct the program in a fashion**
288 **consistent with the needs of the community, the**
289 **mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the**
290 **mission(s) of the program; ^(Core)**

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

292
293 **II.A.4.a).(3) administer and maintain a learning environment**
294 **conducive to educating the fellows in each of the**
295 **ACGME Competency domains; ^(Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

297
298 **II.A.4.a).(4) develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates**
299 **prior to approval as program faculty members for**
300 **participation in the fellowship program education and**
301 **at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.; ^(Core)**

- 302
303 **II.A.4.a).(5)** have the authority to approve program faculty
304 members for participation in the fellowship program
305 education at all sites; ^(Core)
306
307 **II.A.4.a).(6)** have the authority to remove program faculty
308 members from participation in the fellowship program
309 education at all sites; ^(Core)
310
311 **II.A.4.a).(7)** have the authority to remove fellows from supervising
312 interactions and/or learning environments that do not
313 meet the standards of the program; ^(Core)
314

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

- 315
316 **II.A.4.a).(8)** submit accurate and complete information required
317 and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; ^(Core)
318
319 **II.A.4.a).(9)** provide applicants who are offered an interview with
320 information related to the applicant's eligibility for the
321 relevant subspecialty board examination(s); ^(Core)
322
323 **II.A.4.a).(10)** provide a learning and working environment in which
324 fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and
325 provide feedback in a confidential manner as
326 appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation;
327 ^(Core)
328
329 **II.A.4.a).(11)** ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring
330 Institution's policies and procedures related to
331 grievances and due process; ^(Core)
332
333 **II.A.4.a).(12)** ensure the program's compliance with the Sponsoring
334 Institution's policies and procedures for due process
335 when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to
336 promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow;
337 ^(Core)
338

Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution's policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program's leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.

339

- 340 II.A.4.a).(13) ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
341 Institution’s policies and procedures on employment
342 and non-discrimination; (Core)
343
344 II.A.4.a).(13).(a) Fellows must not be required to sign a non-
345 competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.
346 (Core)
347
348 II.A.4.a).(14) document verification of program completion for all
349 graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)
350
351 II.A.4.a).(15) provide verification of an individual fellow’s
352 completion upon the fellow’s request, within 30 days;
353 and, (Core)
354

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

- 355
356 II.A.4.a).(16) obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring
357 Institution’s DIO before submitting information or
358 requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional
359 Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program
360 Director’s Guide to the Common Program
361 Requirements. (Core)
362

363 **II.B. Faculty**

364
365 *Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education*
366 *– faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members*
367 *provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice*
368 *ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are*
369 *role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating*
370 *compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care,*
371 *professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members*
372 *experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of*
373 *future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to*
374 *teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members,*
375 *through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the*
376 *individual and the population.*

377
378 *Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected*
379 *from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of*
380 *the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide*
381 *appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty*
382 *members create an effective learning environment by acting in a*
383 *professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and*
384 *themselves.*

385

Background and Intent: “Faculty” refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term “faculty,” including “core faculty,” does not imply or require an academic appointment or salary support.

386

II.B.1. For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all fellows at that location. (Core)

388

389

390

391

II.B.1.a) There must be at least two neurocritical care faculty members, including the program director, at the primary clinical site. (Core)

392

393

394

II.B.2. Faculty members must:

395

396

II.B.2.a) be role models of professionalism; (Core)

397

398

II.B.2.b) demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality, cost-effective, patient-centered care; (Core)

399

400

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

401

II.B.2.c) demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; (Core)

402

403

II.B.2.d) devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; (Core)

404

405

406

II.B.2.e) administer and maintain an educational environment conducive to educating fellows; (Core)

407

408

409

II.B.2.f) regularly participate in organized clinical discussions, rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, (Core)

410

411

412

II.B.2.g) pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills at least annually. (Core)

413

414

415

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

416

II.B.3. Faculty Qualifications

417

418

419 **II.B.3.a) Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in**
420 **their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.**
421 **(Core)**

422
423 **II.B.3.b) Subspecialty physician faculty members must:**

424
425 **II.B.3.b).(1) have current certification in the subspecialty by the**
426 **American Board of Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine,**
427 **Internal Medicine, Neurology, or possess qualifications**
428 **judged acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)**

429
430 **II.B.3.b).(2) Other qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee**
431 **include American Board of Neurological Surgery**
432 **certification in neurological surgery and Recognized**
433 **Focused Practice in neurocritical care by the American**
434 **Board of Neurological Surgery. (Core)**

435
436 [Note that while the Common Program Requirements
437 deem certification by a certifying board of the American
438 Osteopathic Association (AOA) acceptable, there is no
439 AOA board that offers certification in this subspecialty.]

440
441 **II.B.3.c) Any non-physician faculty members who participate in**
442 **fellowship program education must be approved by the**
443 **program director. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

445
446 **II.B.3.d) Any other specialty physician faculty members must have**
447 **current certification in their specialty by the appropriate**
448 **American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member**
449 **board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying**
450 **board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the**
451 **Review Committee. (Core)**

452
453 **II.B.3.d).(1) Faculty members in the following specialties must be**
454 **available to the program: anesthesiology; clinical**
455 **neurophysiology; emergency medicine; interventional and**
456 **diagnostic neuroradiology; medical or surgical critical care;**
457 **neurology; neurological surgery; pertinent internal**
458 **medicine subspecialties; and pulmonary disease. (Core)**

459
460 **II.B.4. Core Faculty**

461
 462 **Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education**
 463 **and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of**
 464 **their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and**
 465 **must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and**
 466 **provide formative feedback to fellows.** ^(Core)
 467

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum and in assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in the subspecialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program, including completion of the annual ACGME Faculty Survey.

468
 469 **II.B.4.a) Core faculty members must be designated by the program**
 470 **director.** ^(Core)
 471

472 **II.B.4.b) Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME**
 473 **Faculty Survey.** ^(Core)
 474

475 **II.B.4.c) There must be at least one core faculty member, including the**
 476 **program director, for every two approved fellow positions.** ^(Core)
 477

478 **II.B.4.c).(1) These core faculty members must be certified in**
 479 **neurocritical care by the American Board of**
 480 **Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine, Internal Medicine,**
 481 **or Neurology, or have American Board of Neurological**
 482 **Surgery certification in neurological surgery and**
 483 **Recognized Focused Practice in neurocritical care from the**
 484 **American Board of Neurological Surgery.** ^(Core)
 485

486 **II.B.4.d) The core faculty must include at least one ABMS board-certified**
 487 **neurologist and one ABMS board-certified neurological surgeon**
 488 **with qualifications in neurocritical care.** ^(Core)
 489

490 **II.C. Program Coordinator**

491
 492 **II.C.1. There must be a program coordinator.** ^(Core)
 493

494 **II.C.2. The program coordinator must be provided with support adequate**
 495 **for administration of the program based upon its size and**
 496 **configuration.** ^(Core)
 497

498 **II.C.2.a) At a minimum, the program coordinator must be provided with**
 499 **dedicated time and support as specified below for administration**
 500 **of the program:** ^(Core)
 501

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum Support Required (FTE)
--	-----------------------------------

1-4	0.25 FTE
5 or more	0.50 FTE

502

Background and Intent: Twenty-five percent FTE is defined as one and one-quarter days per week.

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison with learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management. Program coordinators are expected to develop unique knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, policies, and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in accreditation efforts, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

503

504

II.D. Other Program Personnel

505

506

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. ^(Core)

507

508

509

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

510

511

III. Fellow Appointments

512

513

III.A. Eligibility Criteria

514

515

III.A.1. Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs

516

517

All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty

518

519

520

521
522
523
524
525

Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada.
(Core)

Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9).

526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568

III.A.1.a) Fellowship programs must receive verification of each entering fellow’s level of competence in the required field, upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. (Core)

III.A.1.b) Prerequisite Postgraduate Clinical Education:

III.A.1.b).(1) Fellows entering at the NCC-1 level must have completed a residency program in anesthesiology, child neurology, emergency medicine, general surgery, internal medicine, or neurology that satisfies III.A.1. (Core)

III.A.1.b).(2) Fellows entering at the NCC-2 level must:

III.A.1.b).(2).(a) have completed a fellowship in anesthesiology critical care medicine, internal medicine critical care medicine, or pediatric critical care medicine, or a surgical critical care residency that satisfies III.A.1.; or, (Core)

III.A.1.b).(2).(b) have completed or be matriculated in a neurological surgery residency program that satisfies III.A.1. (Core)

III.A.1.c) Fellow Eligibility Exception

The Review Committees for Neurological Surgery will allow the following exception to the fellowship eligibility requirements:

III.A.1.c).(1) An ACGME-accredited fellowship program may accept an exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant who does not satisfy the eligibility requirements listed in III.A.1., but who does meet all of the following additional qualifications and conditions: (Core)

III.A.1.c).(1).(a) evaluation by the program director and fellowship selection committee of the applicant’s suitability to enter the program, based on prior training and review of the summative evaluations of training in the core specialty; and, (Core)

- 569 III.A.1.c).(1).(b) review and approval of the applicant's
570 exceptional qualifications by the GMEC; and,
571 (Core)
572
573 III.A.1.c).(1).(c) verification of Educational Commission for
574 Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG)
575 certification. (Core)
576
577 III.A.1.c).(2) Applicants accepted through this exception must have
578 an evaluation of their performance by the Clinical
579 Competency Committee within 12 weeks of
580 matriculation. (Core)
581

Background and Intent: An exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant has (1) completed a residency program in the core specialty outside the continental United States that was not accredited by the ACGME, AOA, ACGME-I, RCPSC or CFPC, and (2) demonstrated clinical excellence, in comparison to peers, throughout training. Additional evidence of exceptional qualifications is required, which may include one of the following: (a) participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; (b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; and/or (c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency. Applicants being considered for these positions must be informed of the fact that their training may not lead to certification by ABMS member boards or AOA certifying boards.

In recognition of the diversity of medical education and training around the world, this early evaluation of clinical competence required for these applicants ensures they can provide quality and safe patient care. Any gaps in competence should be addressed as per policies for fellows already established by the program in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution.

- 582
583 III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the
584 Review Committee. (Core)
585
586 III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review
587 Committee. (Core)
588
589 III.C. Fellow Transfers
590
591 The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences
592 and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to
593 acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon
594 matriculation. (Core)
595
596 IV. Educational Program
597
598 *The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and*
599 *innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational*
600 *affiliation, size, or location of the program.*
601
602 *The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful*
603 *physicians who provide compassionate care.*

604
605 *In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent*
606 *with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community*
607 *it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of*
608 *physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial*
609 *compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it*
610 *is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis*
611 *on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims*
612 *will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for*
613 *example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will*
614 *have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.*

615
616 **IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components:** (Core)

617
618 **IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution’s**
619 **mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired**
620 **distinctive capabilities of its graduates;** (Core)

621
622 **IV.A.1.a) The program’s aims must be made available to program**
623 **applicants, fellows, and faculty members.** (Core)

624
625 **IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational**
626 **experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to**
627 **autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be**
628 **distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members;**
629 (Core)

630
631 **IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive**
632 **responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in**
633 **their subspecialty;** (Core)

634
Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

635
636 **IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,**
637 (Core)

638
Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

639
640 **IV.A.5. advancement of fellows’ knowledge of ethical principles**
641 **foundational to medical professionalism.** (Core)

642

643 IV.B. ACGME Competencies
644

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

645
646 IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies
647 into the curriculum: ^(Core)

648
649 IV.B.1.a) Professionalism

650
651 Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism
652 and an adherence to ethical principles. ^(Core)

653
654 IV.B.1.b) Patient Care and Procedural Skills
655

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. *The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs*. 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

656
657 IV.B.1.b).(1) Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is
658 compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the
659 treatment of health problems and the promotion of
660 health. ^(Core)

661
662 IV.B.1.b).(1).(a) Fellows must demonstrate competence in the use
663 of advanced technology and instrumentation to
664 monitor the physiologic status of adults. ^(Core)

665
666 IV.B.1.b).(1).(b) Fellows must demonstrate competence in the
667 following neurocritical care skills: ^(Core)

668
669 IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(i) Respiratory: airway management and
670 mechanical ventilation (invasive and non-
671 invasive) and bronchoscopy, including
672 bronchoalveolar lavage; ^(Core)

673
674 IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ii) Cardiac/Circulatory: invasive and non-
675 invasive techniques, including cardiac

676		telemetry, interpretation of
677		echocardiography, cardiac output
678		monitoring, and arterial line waveform
679		interpretation; ^(Core)
680		
681	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iii)	Neurological: neurological examination,
682		interpretation of intracranial pressure
683		monitoring (intraparenchymal and
684		intraventricular monitors), application of
685		electroencephalography and sensory
686		evoked potentials; interpretation of
687		neuroimaging; and cerebrospinal fluid
688		analysis; ^(Core)
689		
690	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iv)	Renal: the evaluation of renal function
691		based on blood, urinary, and imaging
692		studies; ^(Core)
693		
694	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(v)	Gastrointestinal: nasogastric tube
695		placement (pre- and post-pyloric); use of
696		enteral feedings; and management
697		principles of percutaneous enteral devices;
698		^(Core)
699		
700	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vi)	Hematologic: evaluation of coagulation
701		status; correction of intrinsic and extrinsic
702		coagulopathies; evaluation and
703		management of hypercoagulable conditions;
704		and use of transfusion products; ^(Core)
705		
706	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vii)	Infectious Disease: classification of
707		infections and application of isolation
708		techniques, pharmacokinetics, drug
709		interactions, and management of antibiotic
710		therapy; ^(Core)
711		
712	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(viii)	Nutritional: application of parenteral and
713		enteral nutrition; and monitoring and
714		assessing metabolism and nutrition; and,
715		^(Core)
716		
717	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ix)	use of special beds for specific injuries; and
718		traction and fixation devices. ^(Core)
719		
720	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical,
721		diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered
722		essential for the area of practice. ^(Core)
723		
724	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
725		

726 **Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and**
727 **evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-**
728 **behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this**
729 **knowledge to patient care.** ^(Core)
730

731 IV.B.1.c).(1) Fellows must demonstrate advanced knowledge of the
732 following aspects of neurocritical care: ^(Core)
733

734 IV.B.1.c).(1).(a) cardiorespiratory resuscitation; ^(Core)
735

736 IV.B.1.c).(1).(b) coagulation and hematologic and coagulation
737 disorders; ^(Core)
738

739 IV.B.1.c).(1).(c) endocrine, metabolic, and nutritional, effects of
740 critical illness; ^(Core)
741

742 IV.B.1.c).(1).(d) ethical and legal aspects of neurosurgical critical
743 care; ^(Core)
744

745 IV.B.1.c).(1).(e) monitoring and medical instrumentation; ^(Core)
746

747 IV.B.1.c).(1).(f) pharmacokinetics and dynamics of drug
748 metabolism and excretion in critical illness; ^(Core)
749

750 IV.B.1.c).(1).(g) physiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and
751 therapy of disorder of the cardiovascular,
752 gastrointestinal, neurological, endocrine,
753 musculoskeletal and respiratory systems, as well
754 as of infectious diseases; and, ^(Core)
755

756 IV.B.1.c).(1).(h) trauma as it relates to neurological disease. ^(Core)
757

758 **IV.B.1.d) Practice-based Learning and Improvement**
759

760 **Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and**
761 **evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate**
762 **scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care**
763 **based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.** ^(Core)
764

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

765
766 **IV.B.1.e) Interpersonal and Communication Skills**
767

768 **Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication**
769 **skills that result in the effective exchange of information and**
770 **collaboration with patients, their families, and health**
771 **professionals. (Core)**

772
773 **IV.B.1.f) Systems-based Practice**

774
775 **Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and**
776 **responsiveness to the larger context and system of health**
777 **care, including the social determinants of health, as well as**
778 **the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide**
779 **optimal health care. (Core)**

780
781 **IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences**

782
783 **IV.C.1. The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational**
784 **experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory**
785 **continuity. (Core)**

786
787 **IV.C.1.a)** Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the
788 frequency of rotational transitions and rotations must be of
789 sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience,
790 defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision,
791 longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and high-quality
792 assessment and feedback. (Core)

793
794 **IV.C.1.b)** Clinical experiences must be structured to facilitate learning in a
795 manner that allows the fellows to function as part of an effective
796 health care team that works together longitudinally with shared
797 goals of patient safety and quality improvement. (Core)

798
799 **IV.C.2. The program must provide instruction and experience in pain**
800 **management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition**
801 **of the signs of addiction. (Core)**

802
803 **IV.C.3.** Fellow education must include weekly participation in didactic activities,
804 including:

805
806 **IV.C.3.a)** seminars and conferences in critical care, neurological surgery,
807 neuroradiology, and neurology; (Core)

808
809 **IV.C.3.b)** regularly scheduled research conferences or seminars; and, (Core)

810
811 **IV.C.3.c)** periodic seminars, journal clubs, and lectures in basic science,
812 didactic courses, and meetings of local and national scholarly
813 societies relevant to neurocritical care. (Core)

814
815 **IV.C.4.** The curriculum for fellows entering at the NCC-1 level must include: (Core)

816

817	IV.C.4.a)	at least 12 months of direct critical care experience with eight
818		months or more dedicated to caring primarily for critically ill
819		neurological and neurosurgical patients. ^(Core)
820		
821	IV.C.4.a).(1)	Other months of critical care experience must be
822		scheduled in general medical or surgical ICUs or in other
823		(non-neurocritical care) specialized ICUs. ^(Core)
824		
825	IV.C.4.a).(2)	Non-ICU months can be used for elective rotations,
826		including neurocritical consultations in other ICUs, or
827		research. ^(Core)
828		
829	IV.C.5.	The curriculum for fellows entering at the NCC-2 level must include:
830		
831	IV.C.5.a)	for fellows who completed residency education or are matriculated
832		in a neurological surgery residency program: ^(Core)
833		
834	IV.C.5.a).(1)	at least eight months of critical care experience that
835		primarily focuses on neurological and neurosurgical
836		patients; and, ^(Core)
837		
838	IV.C.5.a).(1).(a)	This experience must occur in the PGY-4 or later.
839		^(Core)
840		
841	IV.C.5.a).(1).(b)	This experience must include fellow participation in
842		a team with primary responsibility for patient
843		management in the ICU. ^(Core)
844		
845	IV.C.5.a).(2)	a maximum of four months of rotations in non-critical care
846		medicine, such as cardiology, clinical neurophysiology,
847		infectious disease, pulmonary medicine, or research. ^(Core)
848		
849	IV.C.5.b)	for fellows who have completed a fellowship program in
850		anesthesiology critical care, internal medicine critical care,
851		pediatric critical care, or surgical critical care:
852		
853	IV.C.5.b).(1)	at least eight months of critical care experience that
854		primarily focuses on neurological and neurosurgical
855		patients; ^(Core)
856		
857	IV.C.5.b).(2)	participation in a team with primary responsibilities for
858		patient management in the neuroscience ICU; and, ^(Core)
859		
860	IV.C.5.b).(3)	a maximum of four months of rotations focusing on non-
861		critical neurosciences, such as clinical neurophysiology,
862		diagnostic or interventional radiology, inpatient or
863		outpatient stroke services, neuroanesthesia, or research.
864		^(Core)
865		
866	IV.C.6.	Fellows must have direct involvement in the management of a broad
867		spectrum of critically ill neurologic/neurosurgical patients. ^(Core)

- 868
869 IV.C.7. ICU rotations must be structured to ensure that:
870
871 IV.C.7.a) fellows function as part of a team of critical care physicians who
872 provide comprehensive and around-the-clock coverage to a
873 specified population of critically ill neurological patients; and, ^(Core)
874
875 IV.C.7.b) fellows are solely dedicated to their ICU responsibilities and are
876 not expected to cover other services or fulfill other roles during
877 their ICU experiences. ^(Core)
878
879 IV.C.8. Fellows must have experience teaching residents and/or medical
880 students in the subspecialty of neurocritical care. ^(Core)
881
882 IV.C.9. Fellows must participate in investigations into the various areas of
883 neurocritical care, such as new instrumentation, identification of important
884 physiologic parameters, evaluation of pharmacological agents in critically
885 ill patients, health outcomes, and/or health policy issues related to
886 neurocritical care. ^(Detail)
887

888 IV.D. Scholarship

889
890 ***Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic***
891 ***scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically,***
892 ***evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and***
893 ***practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an***
894 ***environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow***
895 ***participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific***
896 ***Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery,***
897 ***integration, application, and teaching.***
898

899 ***The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that***
900 ***programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians,***
901 ***scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will***
902 ***reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves.***
903 ***For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on***
904 ***quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other***
905 ***programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical***
906 ***research as the focus for scholarship.***
907

908 IV.D.1. Program Responsibilities

- 909
910 IV.D.1.a) The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly
911 activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. ^(Core)
912
913 IV.D.1.b) The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
914 must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and
915 faculty involvement in scholarly activities. ^(Core)
916

917 IV.D.1.b).(1) This must include the laboratory space, equipment, and
918 computer resources needed to support scholarly activities.
919 (Core)

920
921 IV.D.1.b).(2) Resources must include clinical and laboratory research
922 support services, data analysis, and statistical consultation.
923 (Detail)

924
925 **IV.D.2. Faculty Scholarly Activity**

926
927 **IV.D.2.a) Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate**
928 **accomplishments in at least three of the following domains:**
929 (Core)

- 930
931
- 932 • Research in basic science, education, translational
 - 933 science, patient care, or population health
 - 934 • Peer-reviewed grants
 - 935 • Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives
 - 936 • Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles,
 - 937 chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports
 - 938 • Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic
 - 939 educational activities, or electronic educational
 - 940 materials
 - 941 • Contribution to professional committees, educational
 - 942 organizations, or editorial boards
 - 943 • Innovations in education

944 **IV.D.2.b) The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly**
945 **activity within and external to the program by the following**
946 **methods:**

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program’s effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows’ scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

948
949 **IV.D.2.b).(1) faculty participation in grand rounds, posters,**
950 **workshops, quality improvement presentations,**
951 **podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-**
952 **reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or**
953 **publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars,**
954 **service on professional committees, or serving as a**
955 **journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or**
956 **editor; and, (Outcome)‡**
957

958 IV.D.2.b).(2) peer-reviewed publication. (Outcome)

959

960 IV.D.3. Fellow Scholarly Activity

961

962 IV.D.3.a) Fellows must participate in scholarly activity. (Core)

963

964 IV.D.3.b) Fellows must participate in at least one clinical or other research
965 project related to neurocritical care. (Core)

966

967 IV.E. *Fellowship programs may assign fellows to engage in the independent
968 practice of their core specialty during their fellowship program.*

969

970 IV.E.1. If programs permit their fellows to utilize the independent practice
971 option, it must not exceed 20 percent of their time per week or 10
972 weeks of an academic year. (Core)

973

Background and Intent: Fellows who have previously completed residency programs have demonstrated sufficient competence to enter autonomous practice within their core specialty. This option is designed to enhance fellows' maturation and competence in their core specialty. This enables fellows to occupy a dual role in the health system: as learners in their subspecialty, and as credentialed practitioners in their core specialty. Hours worked in independent practice during fellowship still fall under the clinical and educational work hour limits. See Program Director Guide for more details.

974

975 V. Evaluation

976

977 V.A. Fellow Evaluation

978

979 V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation

980

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is *evaluating a fellow's learning* by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

981
982
983
984
985

V.A.1.a) Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during each rotation or similar educational assignment. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998

V.A.1.b) Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the assignment. ^(Core)

V.A.1.b).(1) For block rotations of greater than three months in duration, evaluation must be documented at least every three months. ^(Core)

V.A.1.b).(2) Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in the context of other clinical responsibilities must be evaluated at least every three months and at completion. ^(Core)

999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011

V.A.1.c) The program must provide an objective performance evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-specific Milestones, and must: ^(Core)

V.A.1.c).(1) use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers, patients, self, and other professional staff members); and, ^(Core)

V.A.1.c).(2) provide that information to the Clinical Competency Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow performance and improvement toward unsupervised practice. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be

ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

- 1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
- V.A.1.d)** The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must:
- V.A.1.d).(1)** meet with and review with each fellow their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance, including progress along the subspecialty-specific Milestones. ^(Core)
- V.A.1.d).(2)** assist fellows in developing individualized learning plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas for growth; and, ^(Core)
- V.A.1.d).(3)** develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following institutional policies and procedures. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

- 1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
- V.A.1.e)** At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the next year of the program, if applicable. ^(Core)
- V.A.1.f)** The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible for review by the fellow. ^(Core)
- V.A.2.** Final Evaluation
- V.A.2.a)** The program director must provide a final evaluation for each fellow upon completion of the program. ^(Core)

1041 **V.A.2.a).(1)** The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when
1042 applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must
1043 be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage
1044 in autonomous practice upon completion of the
1045 program. ^(Core)
1046

1047 **V.A.2.a).(2)** The final evaluation must:
1048

1049 **V.A.2.a).(2).(a)** become part of the fellow’s permanent record
1050 maintained by the institution, and must be
1051 accessible for review by the fellow in
1052 accordance with institutional policy; ^(Core)
1053

1054 **V.A.2.a).(2).(b)** verify that the fellow has demonstrated the
1055 knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to
1056 enter autonomous practice; ^(Core)
1057

1058 **V.A.2.a).(2).(c)** consider recommendations from the Clinical
1059 Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
1060

1061 **V.A.2.a).(2).(d)** be shared with the fellow upon completion of
1062 the program. ^(Core)
1063

1064 **V.A.3.** A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the
1065 program director. ^(Core)
1066

1067 **V.A.3.a)** At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must
1068 include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty
1069 member. Members must be faculty members from the same
1070 program or other programs, or other health professionals
1071 who have extensive contact and experience with the
1072 program’s fellows. ^(Core)
1073

1074 **V.A.3.b)** The Clinical Competency Committee must:
1075

1076 **V.A.3.b).(1)** review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually;
1077 ^(Core)
1078

1079 **V.A.3.b).(2)** determine each fellow’s progress on achievement of
1080 the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, ^(Core)
1081

1082 **V.A.3.b).(3)** meet prior to the fellows’ semi-annual evaluations and
1083 advise the program director regarding each fellow’s
1084 progress. ^(Core)
1085

1086 **V.B.** Faculty Evaluation
1087

1088 **V.B.1.** The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty
1089 member’s performance as it relates to the educational program at
1090 least annually. ^(Core)
1091

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

- 1092
1093 **V.B.1.a)** This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's
1094 clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational
1095 program, participation in faculty development related to their
1096 skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism,
1097 and scholarly activities. (Core)
1098
1099 **V.B.1.b)** This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations
1100 by the fellows. (Core)
1101
1102 **V.B.2.** Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least
1103 annually. (Core)
1104
1105 **V.B.3.** Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be
1106 incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. (Core)
1107

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

- 1108
1109 **V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement**
1110
1111 **V.C.1.** The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
1112 Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
1113 Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
1114 process. (Core)
1115

- 1116 **V.C.1.a)** **The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at**
 1117 **least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a**
 1118 **core faculty member, and at least one fellow.** ^(Core)
 1119
 1120 **V.C.1.b)** **Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:**
 1121
 1122 **V.C.1.b).(1)** **acting as an advisor to the program director, through**
 1123 **program oversight;** ^(Core)
 1124
 1125 **V.C.1.b).(2)** **review of the program’s self-determined goals and**
 1126 **progress toward meeting them;** ^(Core)
 1127
 1128 **V.C.1.b).(3)** **guiding ongoing program improvement, including**
 1129 **development of new goals, based upon outcomes;**
 1130 **and,** ^(Core)
 1131
 1132 **V.C.1.b).(4)** **review of the current operating environment to identify**
 1133 **strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as**
 1134 **related to the program’s mission and aims.** ^(Core)
 1135

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program’s progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

- 1136
 1137 **V.C.1.c)** **The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the**
 1138 **following elements in its assessment of the program:**
 1139
 1140 **V.C.1.c).(1)** **curriculum;** ^(Core)
 1141
 1142 **V.C.1.c).(2)** **outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);**
 1143 **(Core)**
 1144
 1145 **V.C.1.c).(3)** **ACGME letters of notification, including citations,**
 1146 **Areas for Improvement, and comments;** ^(Core)
 1147
 1148 **V.C.1.c).(4)** **quality and safety of patient care;** ^(Core)
 1149
 1150 **V.C.1.c).(5)** **aggregate fellow and faculty:**
 1151
 1152 **V.C.1.c).(5).(a)** **well-being;** ^(Core)
 1153
 1154 **V.C.1.c).(5).(b)** **recruitment and retention;** ^(Core)
 1155
 1156 **V.C.1.c).(5).(c)** **workforce diversity;** ^(Core)
 1157
 1158 **V.C.1.c).(5).(d)** **engagement in quality improvement and patient**
 1159 **safety;** ^(Core)
 1160

1161	V.C.1.c).(5).(e)	scholarly activity; ^(Core)
1162		
1163	V.C.1.c).(5).(f)	ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys
1164		(where applicable); and, ^(Core)
1165		
1166	V.C.1.c).(5).(g)	written evaluations of the program. ^(Core)
1167		
1168	V.C.1.c).(6)	aggregate fellow:
1169		
1170	V.C.1.c).(6).(a)	achievement of the Milestones; ^(Core)
1171		
1172	V.C.1.c).(6).(b)	in-training examinations (where applicable);
1173		^(Core)
1174		
1175	V.C.1.c).(6).(c)	board pass and certification rates; and, ^(Core)
1176		
1177	V.C.1.c).(6).(d)	graduate performance. ^(Core)
1178		
1179	V.C.1.c).(7)	aggregate faculty:
1180		
1181	V.C.1.c).(7).(a)	evaluation; and, ^(Core)
1182		
1183	V.C.1.c).(7).(b)	professional development ^(Core)
1184		
1185	V.C.1.d)	The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the
1186		program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for
1187		improvement, and threats. ^(Core)
1188		
1189	V.C.1.e)	The annual review, including the action plan, must:
1190		
1191	V.C.1.e).(1)	be distributed to and discussed with the members of
1192		the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, ^(Core)
1193		
1194	V.C.1.e).(2)	be submitted to the DIO. ^(Core)
1195		
1196	V.C.2.	The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year
1197		Accreditation Site Visit. ^(Core)
1198		
1199	V.C.2.a)	A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO.
1200		^(Core)
1201		

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the *ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures*. Additionally, a description of the [Self-Study process](#), as

well as information on how to prepare for the [10-Year Accreditation Site Visit](#), is available on the ACGME website.

1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245

- V.C.3.** *One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.*
- The program director should encourage all eligible program graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.*
- V.C.3.a)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.b)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.c)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.d)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*
- V.C.3.e)** For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. *(Outcome)*

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

1246
1247
1248
1249
1250

V.C.3.f) Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today*
- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice*
- *Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:*
 - *the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians*
 - *the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery*
- *Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team*

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the

responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304

VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability

VI.A.1. Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.

It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.

VI.A.1.a) Patient Safety

VI.A.1.a).(1) Culture of Safety

A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal

mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas for improvement.

1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323

VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)

The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety systems and contribute to a culture of safety.
(Core)

VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)

The program must have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. (Core)

VI.A.1.a).(2)

Education on Patient Safety

Programs must provide formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)

Background and Intent: Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated interprofessional learning and working environment.

1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353

VI.A.1.a).(3)

Patient Safety Events

Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)

Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must:

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)

know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events at the clinical site;
(Core)

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)

know how to report patient safety events, including near misses, at the clinical site; and, (Core)

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)

be provided with summary information of their institution's patient safety reports. (Core)

VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)

Fellows must participate as team members in real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical

1354 patient safety activities, such as root cause
1355 analyses or other activities that include
1356 analysis, as well as formulation and
1357 implementation of actions. ^(Core)
1358

1359 **VI.A.1.a).(4)** Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of
1360 Adverse Events

1361
1362 *Patient-centered care requires patients, and when*
1363 *appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical*
1364 *situations that affect them, including adverse events.*
1365 *This is an important skill for faculty physicians to*
1366 *model, and for fellows to develop and apply.*
1367

1368 **VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)** All fellows must receive training in how to
1369 disclose adverse events to patients and
1370 families. ^(Core)
1371

1372 **VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)** Fellows should have the opportunity to
1373 participate in the disclosure of patient safety
1374 events, real or simulated. ^{(Detail)†}
1375

1376 **VI.A.1.b)** Quality Improvement

1377
1378 **VI.A.1.b).(1)** Education in Quality Improvement

1379
1380 *A cohesive model of health care includes quality-*
1381 *related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary*
1382 *in order for health care professionals to achieve*
1383 *quality improvement goals.*
1384

1385 **VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)** Fellows must receive training and experience in
1386 quality improvement processes, including an
1387 understanding of health care disparities. ^(Core)
1388

1389 **VI.A.1.b).(2)** Quality Metrics

1390
1391 *Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for*
1392 *care improvement and evaluating success of*
1393 *improvement efforts.*
1394

1395 **VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)** Fellows and faculty members must receive data
1396 on quality metrics and benchmarks related to
1397 their patient populations. ^(Core)
1398

1399 **VI.A.1.b).(3)** Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities

1400
1401 *Experiential learning is essential to developing the*
1402 *ability to identify and institute sustainable systems-*
1403 *based changes to improve patient care.*
1404

1405	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. ^(Core)
1406		
1407		
1408		
1409	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. ^(Detail)
1410		
1411		
1412	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability
1413		
1414	VI.A.2.a)	<i>Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for the care of the patient, every physician shares in the responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate, and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient care.</i>
1415		
1416		
1417		
1418		
1419		
1420		
1421		
1422		
1423		<i>Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.</i>
1424		
1425		
1426		
1427		
1428		
1429	VI.A.2.a).(1)	Each patient must have an identifiable and appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending physician (or licensed independent practitioner as specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is responsible and accountable for the patient's care. ^(Core)
1430		
1431		
1432		
1433		
1434		
1435		
1436	VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)	This information must be available to fellows, faculty members, other members of the health care team, and patients. ^(Core)
1437		
1438		
1439		
1440	VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)	Fellows and faculty members must inform each patient of their respective roles in that patient's care when providing direct patient care. ^(Core)
1441		
1442		
1443		
1444	VI.A.2.b)	<i>Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods. For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication technology. Some activities require the physical presence of the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances, supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered care with feedback.</i>
1445		
1446		
1447		
1448		
1449		
1450		
1451		
1452		
1453		
1454		

- 1497
1498 **VI.A.2.c).(3)** **Oversight – the supervising physician is available to**
1499 **provide review of procedures/encounters with**
1500 **feedback provided after care is delivered. (Core)**
1501
- 1502 **VI.A.2.d)** **The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility,**
1503 **conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient**
1504 **care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the**
1505 **program director and faculty members. (Core)**
1506
- 1507 **VI.A.2.d).(1)** **The program director must evaluate each fellow’s**
1508 **abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the**
1509 **Milestones. (Core)**
1510
- 1511 **VI.A.2.d).(2)** **Faculty members functioning as supervising**
1512 **physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows**
1513 **based on the needs of the patient and the skills of**
1514 **each fellow. (Core)**
1515
- 1516 **VI.A.2.d).(3)** **Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior**
1517 **fellows and residents in recognition of their progress**
1518 **toward independence, based on the needs of each**
1519 **patient and the skills of the individual resident or**
1520 **fellow. (Detail)**
1521
- 1522 **VI.A.2.e)** **Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events**
1523 **in which fellows must communicate with the supervising**
1524 **faculty member(s). (Core)**
1525
- 1526 **VI.A.2.e).(1)** **Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of**
1527 **authority, and the circumstances under which the**
1528 **fellow is permitted to act with conditional**
1529 **independence. (Outcome)**
1530

Background and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional independence as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined oversight.

- 1531
1532 **VI.A.2.f)** **Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient**
1533 **duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow**
1534 **and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient**
1535 **care authority and responsibility. (Core)**
1536
- 1537 **VI.B. Professionalism**
- 1538
1539 **VI.B.1.** **Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must**
1540 **educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional**
1541 **responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be**
1542 **appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their**
1543 **patients. (Core)**
1544

- 1545 **VI.B.2. The learning objectives of the program must:**
 1546
 1547 **VI.B.2.a) be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised**
 1548 **patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic**
 1549 **educational events;** ^(Core)
 1550
 1551 **VI.B.2.b) be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to**
 1552 **fulfill non-physician obligations; and,** ^(Core)
 1553

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

- 1554
 1555 **VI.B.2.c) ensure manageable patient care responsibilities.** ^(Core)
 1556

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define “manageable patient care responsibilities” as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

- 1557
 1558 **VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution,**
 1559 **must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient**
 1560 **safety and personal responsibility.** ^(Core)
 1561
 1562 **VI.B.4. Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding**
 1563 **of their personal role in the:**
 1564
 1565 **VI.B.4.a) provision of patient- and family-centered care;** ^(Outcome)
 1566
 1567 **VI.B.4.b) safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care,**
 1568 **including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse**
 1569 **events;** ^(Outcome)
 1570

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

- 1571
 1572 **VI.B.4.c) assurance of their fitness for work, including:** ^(Outcome)
 1573

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

- 1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
- VI.B.4.c).(1) management of their time before, during, and after clinical assignments; and, (Outcome)
 - VI.B.4.c).(2) recognition of impairment, including from illness, fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers, and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)
 - VI.B.4.d) commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
 - VI.B.4.e) monitoring of their patient care performance improvement indicators; and, (Outcome)
 - VI.B.4.f) accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours, patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
 - VI.B.5. All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of the patient may be served by transitioning that patient’s care to another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome)
 - VI.B.6. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows, faculty, and staff. (Core)
 - VI.B.7. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting, investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)
 - VI.C. Well-Being

Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their own real-life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other members of the health care team are important components of professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.

1618 *Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression.*
1619 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same*
1620 *responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident*
1621 *competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share*
1622 *responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which*
1623 *encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation*
1624 *of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a*
1625 *clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares*
1626 *fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their*
1627 *careers.*
1628

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website.

As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. In addition, there are many activities that programs can utilize now to assess and support physician well-being. These include culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

- 1629
1630 **VI.C.1.** The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the
1631 Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:
1632
1633 **VI.C.1.a)** efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the
1634 experience of being a physician, including protecting time
1635 with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations,
1636 providing administrative support, promoting progressive
1637 autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional
1638 relationships; ^(Core)
1639
1640 **VI.C.1.b)** attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work
1641 compression that impacts fellow well-being; ^(Core)
1642
1643 **VI.C.1.c)** evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of
1644 fellows and faculty members; ^(Core)
1645

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

1646

1647 VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and
1648 faculty member well-being; and, ^(Core)
1649

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

1650 VI.C.1.d).(1) Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend
1651 medical, mental health, and dental care appointments,
1652 including those scheduled during their working hours.
1653 ^(Core)
1654
1655

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1656 VI.C.1.e) attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression,
1657 and substance abuse. The program, in partnership with its
1658 Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and
1659 fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout,
1660 depression, and substance abuse, including means to assist
1661 those who experience these conditions. Fellows and faculty
1662 members must also be educated to recognize those
1663 symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care.
1664 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
1665 must: ^(Core)
1666
1667

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance abuse. Materials and more information are available on the Physician Well-being section of the ACGME website (<http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being>).

1668 VI.C.1.e).(1) encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the
1669 program director or other designated personnel or
1670 programs when they are concerned that another
1671 fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying
1672 signs of burnout, depression, substance abuse,
1673 suicidal ideation, or potential for violence; ^(Core)
1674
1675

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance abuse, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate

access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

- 1676
1677 VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening;
1678 and, ^(Core)
1679
1680 VI.C.1.e).(3) provide access to confidential, affordable mental
1681 health assessment, counseling, and treatment,
1682 including access to urgent and emergent care 24
1683 hours a day, seven days a week. ^(Core)
1684

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

- 1685
1686 VI.C.2. There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend
1687 work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family
1688 emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an
1689 appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their
1690 patient care responsibilities. ^(Core)
1691
1692 VI.C.2.a) The program must have policies and procedures in place to
1693 ensure coverage of patient care. ^(Core)
1694
1695 VI.C.2.b) These policies must be implemented without fear of negative
1696 consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide
1697 the clinical work. ^(Core)
1698

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

- 1699
1700 VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation
1701
1702 VI.D.1. Programs must:
1703
1704 VI.D.1.a) educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the
1705 signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; ^(Core)
1706

- 1707 VI.D.1.b) educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness
 1708 management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, ^(Core)
 1709
 1710 VI.D.1.c) encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to
 1711 manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient
 1712 care and learning. ^(Detail)
 1713

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

- 1714
 1715 VI.D.2. Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent
 1716 with the program’s policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2–
 1717 VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their
 1718 patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue. ^(Core)
 1719
 1720 VI.D.3. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
 1721 ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for
 1722 fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. ^(Core)
 1723
 1724 VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care
 1725
 1726 VI.E.1. Clinical Responsibilities
 1727
 1728 The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY
 1729 level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient
 1730 illness/condition, and available support services. ^(Core)
 1731

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

- 1732
 1733 VI.E.2. Teamwork

1734		
1735		
1736		Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system.
1737		
1738		
1739		(Core)
1740		
1741	VI.E.2.a)	Fellows must collaborate with other faculty members and residents both inside and outside of the subspecialty, to best formulate treatment plans for an increasingly diverse patient population. Effective practices entail the involvement of members with a mix of complementary skills and attributes (physicians, nurses, and other staff members). (Core)
1742		
1743		
1744		
1745		
1746		
1747		
1748	VI.E.3.	Transitions of Care
1749		
1750	VI.E.3.a)	Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency, and structure. (Core)
1751		
1752		
1753		
1754	VI.E.3.b)	Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient safety. (Core)
1755		
1756		
1757		
1758		
1759	VI.E.3.c)	Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in communicating with team members in the hand-over process.
1760		(Outcome)
1761		
1762		
1763	VI.E.3.d)	Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently responsible for care. (Core)
1764		
1765		
1766		
1767	VI.E.3.e)	Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program’s policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. (Core)
1768		
1769		
1770		
1771		
1772		
1773	VI.F.	Clinical Experience and Education
1774		
1775		<i>Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal activities.</i>
1776		
1777		
1778		
1779		

<p>Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms “clinical experience and education,” “clinical and educational work,” and “clinical and educational work hours” replace the terms “duty hours,” “duty periods,” and “duty.” These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term “duty” in reference to</p>

number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows' duty to "clock out" on time superseded their duty to their patients.

1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787

VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week

Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from home, and all moonlighting. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the

80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805

VI.F.2. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education

VI.F.2.a) The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. ^(Core)

VI.F.2.b) Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. ^(Detail)

VI.F.2.b).(1) There may be circumstances when fellows choose to stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience and education. This must occur within the context of the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. ^(Detail)

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1806

1807 VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and
1808 education after 24 hours of in-house call. (Core)
1809

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

1810
1811 VI.F.2.d) Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in
1812 seven free of clinical work and required education (when
1813 averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned
1814 on these free days. (Core)
1815

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

1816
1817 VI.F.3. Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length
1818

1819 VI.F.3.a) Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not
1820 exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical
1821 assignments. (Core)
1822

1823 VI.F.3.a).(1) Up to four hours of additional time may be used for
1824 activities related to patient safety, such as providing
1825 effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.
1826 (Core)
1827

1828 VI.F.3.a).(1).(a) Additional patient care responsibilities must not
1829 be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)
1830

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

1831
1832 VI.F.4. Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions
1833

- 1834 VI.F.4.a) In rare circumstances, after handing off all other
 1835 responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to
 1836 remain or return to the clinical site in the following
 1837 circumstances:
 1838
- 1839 VI.F.4.a).(1) to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or
 1840 unstable patient; ^(Detail)
 1841
- 1842 VI.F.4.a).(2) humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or
 1843 family; or, ^(Detail)
 1844
- 1845 VI.F.4.a).(3) to attend unique educational events. ^(Detail)
 1846
- 1847 VI.F.4.b) These additional hours of care or education will be counted
 1848 toward the 80-hour weekly limit. ^(Detail)
 1849

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

- 1850
- 1851 VI.F.4.c) A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions
 1852 for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and
 1853 educational work hours to individual programs based on a
 1854 sound educational rationale.
 1855
- 1856 VI.F.4.c).(1) In preparing a request for an exception, the program
 1857 director must follow the clinical and educational work
 1858 hour exception policy from the *ACGME Manual of*
 1859 *Policies and Procedures.* ^(Core)
 1860
- 1861 VI.F.4.c).(2) Prior to submitting the request to the Review
 1862 Committee, the program director must obtain approval
 1863 from the Sponsoring Institution's GMEC and DIO. ^(Core)
 1864

Background and Intent: The provision for exceptions for up to 88 hours per week has been modified to specify that exceptions may be granted for specific rotations if the program can justify the increase based on criteria specified by the Review Committee. As in the past, Review Committees may opt not to permit exceptions. The underlying philosophy for this requirement is that while it is expected that all fellows should be able to train within an 80-hour work week, it is recognized that some programs may include rotations with alternate structures based on the nature of the specialty. DIO/GMEC approval is required before the request will be considered by the Review Committee.

1865

- 1866 **VI.F.5. Moonlighting**
 1867
 1868 **VI.F.5.a) Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow**
 1869 **to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational**
 1870 **program, and must not interfere with the fellow’s fitness for**
 1871 **work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)**
 1872
 1873 **VI.F.5.b) Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting**
 1874 **(as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be**
 1875 **counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)**
 1876

Background and Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to moonlighting, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at <http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements>).

- 1877
 1878 **VI.F.6. In-House Night Float**
 1879
 1880 **Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-**
 1881 **day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)**
 1882

Background and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of night float was removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.

- 1883
 1884 **VI.F.7. Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency**
 1885
 1886 **Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than**
 1887 **every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)**
 1888
 1889 **VI.F.8. At-Home Call**
 1890
 1891 **VI.F.8.a) Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home**
 1892 **call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.**
 1893 **The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-**
 1894 **third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one**
 1895 **day in seven free of clinical work and education, when**
 1896 **averaged over four weeks. (Core)**
 1897
 1898 **VI.F.8.a).(1) At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to**
 1899 **preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each**
 1900 **fellow. (Core)**
 1901
 1902 **VI.F.8.b) Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-**
 1903 **home call to provide direct care for new or established**
 1904 **patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be**
 1905 **included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Detail)**
 1906

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-

home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923

***Core Requirements:** Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements essential to every graduate medical educational program.

†Detail Requirements: Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative approaches to meet Core Requirements.

‡Outcome Requirements: Statements that specify expected measurable or observable attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their graduate medical education.

Osteopathic Recognition

For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).