

ACGME
Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in
Neurocritical Care

Proposed new requirements; posted for review and comment December 21, 2020

Contents

Introduction.....	3
Int.A. Preamble	3
Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty.....	3
Int.C. Length of Educational Program.....	4
I. Oversight	4
I.A. Sponsoring Institution.....	4
I.B. Participating Sites	5
I.C. Recruitment.....	6
I.D. Resources	6
I.E. Other Learners and Other Care Providers	8
II. Personnel.....	8
II.A. Program Director	8
II.B. Faculty.....	12
II.C. Program Coordinator	15
II.D. Other Program Personnel	16
III. Fellow Appointments	16
III.A. Eligibility Criteria	16
III.B. Number of Fellows.....	18
III.C. Fellow Transfers	18
IV. Educational Program	18
IV.A. Curriculum Components.....	19
IV.B. ACGME Competencies.....	19
IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences.....	23
IV.D. Scholarship.....	25
IV.E. Independent Practice	27
V. Evaluation.....	27
V.A. Fellow Evaluation	27
V.B. Faculty Evaluation	30
V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement	31
VI. The Learning and Working Environment.....	35
VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	36
VI.B. Professionalism	41
VI.C. Well-Being.....	43
VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation	46
VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care.....	47
VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education.....	48

48
49 The medical subspecialty of neurocritical care is devoted to the comprehensive
50 multisystem care of critically ill neurology and neurological surgery patients. Like
51 other intensivists, the neurointensivist assumes either primary or shared
52 responsibility for the care of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU), coordinating
53 both the neurological and medical management of the patient. Most uniquely,
54 neurocritical care is concerned with the interface between the central and
55 peripheral nervous systems and other organ systems in the setting of critical
56 illness.

57
58 These educational programs provide the educational, clinical, and administrative
59 resources to allow fellows to develop advanced competence in the management
60 of critically ill neurologic and neurosurgical patients.

61 62 **Int.C. Length of Educational Program**

63
64 Education must be provided in one of these formats:

65
66 Int.C.1. Neurocritical Care (NCC-1): 24 months of education in neurocritical care
67 following completion of a residency in anesthesiology, child neurology,
68 emergency medicine, general surgery, internal medicine, neurology, or a
69 fellowship in pediatric critical care. ^(Core)

70
71 Int.C.2. Neurocritical Care (NCC-2): 12 months of education in neurocritical care
72 following completion of a fellowship in anesthesiology critical care
73 medicine, internal medicine critical care medicine, pediatric critical care
74 medicine, or surgical critical care, or completion of or matriculation in a
75 neurological surgery residency. ^(Core)

76 77 **I. Oversight**

78 79 **I.A. Sponsoring Institution**

80
81 ***The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the***
82 ***ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate***
83 ***medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.***

84
85 ***When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the***
86 ***most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the***
87 ***primary clinical site.***

88
89 **Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, federally qualified health center, or an educational foundation.**

- 90 I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited
 91 Sponsoring Institution. ^{(Core)*}
 92
- 93 I.B. Participating Sites
 94
 95 *A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or*
 96 *educational assignments/rotations for fellows.*
 97
- 98 I.B.1. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must
 99 designate a primary clinical site. ^(Core)
 100
- 101 I.B.2. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the
 102 program and each participating site that governs the relationship
 103 between the program and the participating site providing a required
 104 assignment. ^(Core)
 105
- 106 I.B.2.a) The PLA must:
 107
- 108 I.B.2.a).(1) be renewed at least every 10 years; and, ^(Core)
 109
- 110 I.B.2.a).(2) be approved by the designated institutional official
 111 (DIO). ^(Core)
 112
- 113 I.B.3. The program must monitor the clinical learning and working
 114 environment at all participating sites. ^(Core)
 115
- 116 I.B.3.a) At each participating site there must be one faculty member,
 117 designated by the program director, who is accountable for
 118 fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the
 119 program director. ^(Core)
 120

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director’s Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience

- **Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment**

121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132

I.B.4. The program director must submit any additions or deletions of participating sites routinely providing an educational experience, required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or more through the ACGME’s Accreditation Data System (ADS). (Core)

I.C. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present), fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other relevant members of its academic community. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution’s mission and aims. The program’s annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program’s efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161

I.D. Resources

I.D.1. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education. (Core)

I.D.1.a) The program must have facilities and space to support the educational needs of the fellows, including meeting rooms, conference rooms, computers, office space, audiovisual support, and work and study space. (Core)

I.D.1.b) The primary clinical site must have the required facilities, including equipment for diagnostic, imaging, monitoring, and therapeutic procedures. (Core)

I.D.1.c) The Sponsoring Institution must have a neurologic/neurosurgical intensive care unit or dedicated beds in a general ICU devoted to patients with neurological and neurosurgical conditions. (Core)

I.D.1.c).(1) The ICU must have designated space for patient care conferences, nursing and support personnel, and family waiting and consultation areas. (Core)

I.D.1.d) The neurocritical care intensive care unit or the general ICU with dedicated neurocritical care beds must exist as a distinct entity, in a designated area within the institution, constructed and designed specifically for the care of critically ill patients. (Core)

- 162 I.D.2. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must
163 ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that
164 promote fellow well-being and provide for: ^(Core)
165
166 I.D.2.a) access to food while on duty; ^(Core)
167
168 I.D.2.b) safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available
169 and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe
170 patient care; ^(Core)
171

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

- 172
173 I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration
174 capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care;
175 ^(Core)
176

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

- 177
178 I.D.2.d) security and safety measures appropriate to the participating
179 site; and, ^(Core)
180
181 I.D.2.e) accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with
182 the Sponsoring Institution's policy. ^(Core)
183
184 I.D.3. Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other
185 appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This
186 must include access to electronic medical literature databases with
187 full text capabilities. ^(Core)
188
189 I.D.4. The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate
190 to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. ^(Core)
191
192 I.D.4.a) There must be an adequate number and variety of patients to
193 expose fellows to the broad spectrum of diseases that occur in
194 critically ill neurological patients. ^(Core)
195

- 196 I.D.4.b) The average daily census for each neurocritical care unit to which
 197 fellows are assigned must be a minimum of five patients per
 198 fellow. ^(Core)
 199
- 200 I.E. ***A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and
 201 other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured
 202 to optimize education for all learners present.***
 203
- 204 I.E.1. **Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core
 205 programs, if present.** ^(Core)
 206
- 207 I.E.1.a) The appointment of fellows and other specialty residents or
 208 trainees must not detract from the educational opportunities
 209 available to appointed neurocritical care fellows. ^(Core)
 210

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

- 211
- 212 **II. Personnel**
- 213
- 214 **II.A. Program Director**
- 215
- 216 **II.A.1. There must be one faculty member appointed as program director
 217 with authority and accountability for the overall program, including
 218 compliance with all applicable program requirements.** ^(Core)
 219
- 220 **II.A.1.a) The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education
 221 Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program
 222 director.** ^(Core)
 223
- 224 **II.A.1.b) Final approval of the program director resides with the
 225 Review Committee.** ^(Core)
 226

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and made responsible for the program. This individual will have dedicated time for the leadership of the fellowship, and it is this individual's responsibility to communicate with the fellows, faculty members, DIO, GMEC, and the ACGME. The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of program directors resides with the Review Committee.

- 227
- 228 **II.A.2. The program director must be provided with support adequate for
 229 administration of the program based upon its size and configuration.**
 230 ^(Core)
 231

232 II.A.2.a) At a minimum, the program director must be provided with the
 233 salary support required to devote 10 percent FTE of non-clinical
 234 time to the administration of the program. Additional support must
 235 be provided based on program size as follows: ^(Core)
 236

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum FTE
1-2	0.1
3	0.125
4	0.15
5	0.175
>5	0.2

237 **Background and Intent: Ten percent FTE is defined as one half-day per week.**

“Administrative time” is defined as non-clinical time spent meeting the responsibilities of the program director as detailed in requirements II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16).

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

238
 239 **II.A.3. Qualifications of the program director:**

240
 241 **II.A.3.a) must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications**
 242 **acceptable to the Review Committee;** ^(Core)

243
 244 **II.A.3.b) must include current certification in the subspecialty for**
 245 **which they are the program director by the American Board**
 246 **of Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine, Internal Medicine,**
 247 **Neurology, or Neurological Surgery or subspecialty**
 248 **qualifications that are acceptable to the Review Committee;**
 249 ^(Core)

250
 251 *The ACGME has received applications from the United Council for Neurologic Subspecialties*
 252 *(UCNS) and the Society of Neurological Surgery Committee Accrediting Subspecialty Training*
 253 *(CAST) requesting inclusion in the certification requirements for neurocritical care. These*
 254 *requests will be considered by the ACGME Board of Directors when it reviews the proposed*
 255 *program requirements.*

256
 257 **II.A.3.c) must include status as a clinically active faculty member, with no**
 258 **less than 25 percent of responsibilities devoted to the practice and**
 259 **administration in neurocritical care; and,** ^(Core)

260
 261 **II.A.3.d) must include a minimum of three years' experience in neurocritical**
 262 **care.** ^(Core)

263
 264 **II.A.4. Program Director Responsibilities**

265
 266 **The program director must have responsibility, authority, and**
 267 **accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and**

268 scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and
269 promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows;
270 and fellow education in the context of patient care. ^(Core)

271
272 **II.A.4.a) The program director must:**

273
274 **II.A.4.a).(1) be a role model of professionalism;** ^(Core)
275

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

276
277 **II.A.4.a).(2) design and conduct the program in a fashion**
278 **consistent with the needs of the community, the**
279 **mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the**
280 **mission(s) of the program;** ^(Core)
281

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

282
283 **II.A.4.a).(3) administer and maintain a learning environment**
284 **conducive to educating the fellows in each of the**
285 **ACGME Competency domains;** ^(Core)
286

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

287
288 **II.A.4.a).(4) develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates**
289 **prior to approval as program faculty members for**
290 **participation in the fellowship program education and**
291 **at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.;** ^(Core)
292

293 **II.A.4.a).(5) have the authority to approve program faculty**
294 **members for participation in the fellowship program**
295 **education at all sites;** ^(Core)
296

- 297 **II.A.4.a).(6)** have the authority to remove program faculty
 298 members from participation in the fellowship program
 299 education at all sites; ^(Core)
 300
- 301 **II.A.4.a).(7)** have the authority to remove fellows from supervising
 302 interactions and/or learning environments that do not
 303 meet the standards of the program; ^(Core)
 304

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

- 305
- 306 **II.A.4.a).(8)** submit accurate and complete information required
 307 and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; ^(Core)
 308
- 309 **II.A.4.a).(9)** provide applicants who are offered an interview with
 310 information related to the applicant’s eligibility for the
 311 relevant subspecialty board examination(s); ^(Core)
 312
- 313 **II.A.4.a).(10)** provide a learning and working environment in which
 314 fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and
 315 provide feedback in a confidential manner as
 316 appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation;
 317 ^(Core)
 318
- 319 **II.A.4.a).(11)** ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
 320 Institution’s policies and procedures related to
 321 grievances and due process; ^(Core)
 322
- 323 **II.A.4.a).(12)** ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
 324 Institution’s policies and procedures for due process
 325 when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to
 326 promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow;
 327 ^(Core)
 328

Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution’s policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program’s leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.

- 329
- 330 **II.A.4.a).(13)** ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
 331 Institution’s policies and procedures on employment
 332 and non-discrimination; ^(Core)
 333

- 334 **II.A.4.a).(13).(a)** Fellows must not be required to sign a non-
 335 competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.
 336 (Core)
 337
 338 **II.A.4.a).(14)** document verification of program completion for all
 339 graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)
 340
 341 **II.A.4.a).(15)** provide verification of an individual fellow’s
 342 completion upon the fellow’s request, within 30 days;
 343 and, (Core)
 344

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

- 345
 346 **II.A.4.a).(16)** obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring
 347 Institution’s DIO before submitting information or
 348 requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional
 349 Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program
 350 Director’s Guide to the Common Program
 351 Requirements. (Core)
 352

353 **II.B. Faculty**

354
 355 *Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education*
 356 *– faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members*
 357 *provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice*
 358 *ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are*
 359 *role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating*
 360 *compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care,*
 361 *professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members*
 362 *experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of*
 363 *future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to*
 364 *teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members,*
 365 *through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the*
 366 *individual and the population.*

367
 368 *Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected*
 369 *from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of*
 370 *the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide*
 371 *appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty*
 372 *members create an effective learning environment by acting in a*
 373 *professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and*
 374 *themselves.*
 375

Background and Intent: “Faculty” refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term “faculty,” including “core faculty,” does not imply or require an academic appointment or salary support.

376
377 **II.B.1.** For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of
378 faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all
379 fellows at that location. ^(Core)
380

381 II.B.1.a) There must be at least two neurocritical care faculty members,
382 including the program director at the primary site. ^(Core)
383

384 **II.B.2.** Faculty members must:

385
386 **II.B.2.a)** be role models of professionalism; ^(Core)
387

388 **II.B.2.b)** demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality,
389 cost-effective, patient-centered care; ^(Core)
390

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

391
392 **II.B.2.c)** demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; ^(Core)
393

394 **II.B.2.d)** devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill
395 their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; ^(Core)
396

397 **II.B.2.e)** administer and maintain an educational environment
398 conducive to educating fellows; ^(Core)
399

400 **II.B.2.f)** regularly participate in organized clinical discussions,
401 rounds, journal clubs, and conferences; and, ^(Core)
402

403 **II.B.2.g)** pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills
404 at least annually. ^(Core)
405

Background and Intent: Faculty development is intended to describe structured programming developed for the purpose of enhancing transference of knowledge, skill, and behavior from the educator to the learner. Faculty development may occur in a variety of configurations (lecture, workshop, etc.) using internal and/or external resources. Programming is typically needs-based (individual or group) and may be specific to the institution or the program. Faculty development programming is to be reported for the fellowship program faculty in the aggregate.

406
407 **II.B.3.** Faculty Qualifications
408

409 **II.B.3.a)** Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in
410 their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.
411 ^(Core)
412

413 **II.B.3.b)** Subspecialty physician faculty members must:
414

415 **II.B.3.b).(1)** **have current certification in the subspecialty by the**
416 **American Board of Anesthesiology, Emergency Medicine,**
417 **Internal Medicine, Neurology, or Neurological Surgery, or**
418 **possess qualifications judged acceptable to the**
419 **Review Committee;** ^(Core)
420

421 *The ACGME has received applications from the United Council for Neurologic Subspecialties*
422 *(UCNS) and the Society of Neurological Surgery Committee Accrediting Subspecialty Training*
423 *(CAST) requesting inclusion in the certification requirements for neurocritical care. These*
424 *requests will be considered by the ACGME Board of Directors when it reviews the proposed*
425 *program requirements.*
426

427 **II.B.3.b).(2)** possess the requisite subspecialty knowledge, expertise,
428 experience, and competence in neurocritical care; and,
429 ^(Core)
430

431 **II.B.3.b).(3)** possess educational and administrative abilities in their
432 field. ^(Core)
433

434 **II.B.3.c)** **Any non-physician faculty members who participate in**
435 **fellowship program education must be approved by the**
436 **program director.** ^(Core)
437

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

438
439 **II.B.3.d)** **Any other specialty physician faculty members must have**
440 **current certification in their specialty by the appropriate**
441 **American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member**
442 **board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying**
443 **board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the**
444 **Review Committee.** ^(Core)
445

446 **II.B.3.d).(1)** Faculty members in the following specialties must be
447 available to the program: anesthesiology; clinical
448 neurophysiology; emergency medicine; interventional and
449 diagnostic neuroradiology; neurology; medical or surgical
450 critical care; neurological surgery; pulmonary disease; and
451 pertinent internal medicine subspecialties. ^(Core)
452

453 **II.B.4.** **Core Faculty**
454

455 **Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education**
456 **and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of**
457 **their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and**

458 must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide
 459 formative feedback to fellows. ^(Core)
 460

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum and in assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in the subspecialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program, including completion of the annual ACGME Faculty Survey.

- 461
 462 **II.B.4.a) Core faculty members must be designated by the program**
 463 **director.** ^(Core)
 464
 465 **II.B.4.b) Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME**
 466 **Faculty Survey.** ^(Core)
 467
 468 **II.B.4.c) There must be at least one core faculty member certified in**
 469 **neurocritical care, including the program director, for every two**
 470 **approved fellow positions.** ^(Core)
 471
 472 **II.B.4.d) The core faculty must include at least one neurologist or one**
 473 **neurological surgeon with qualifications in neurocritical care.** ^(Core)
 474

475 **II.C. Program Coordinator**

- 476
 477 **II.C.1. There must be a program coordinator.** ^(Core)
 478
 479 **II.C.2. The program coordinator must be provided with support adequate**
 480 **for administration of the program based upon its size and**
 481 **configuration.** ^(Core)
 482
 483 **II.C.2.a) At a minimum, the program coordinator must be supported at 25**
 484 **percent FTE for administration of the program. Additional support**
 485 **must be provided based on program size as follows:** ^(Core)
 486

Number of Approved Fellow Positions	Minimum FTE Coordinator(s) Required
1-4	0.25 FTE
5-9	0.50 FTE
10 or more	1.0 FTE

487 **Background and Intent: Twenty-five percent FTE is defined as one and one-quarter days per week.**

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

Each program requires a lead administrative person, frequently referred to as a program coordinator, administrator, or as titled by the institution. This person will frequently manage the day-to-day operations of the program and serve as an important liaison with learners, faculty and other staff members, and the ACGME. Individuals serving in this role are recognized as program coordinators by the ACGME.

The program coordinator is a member of the leadership team and is critical to the success of the program. As such, the program coordinator must possess skills in leadership and personnel management. Program coordinators are expected to develop unique knowledge of the ACGME and Program Requirements, policies, and procedures. Program coordinators assist the program director in accreditation efforts, educational programming, and support of fellows.

Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should encourage the professional development of their program coordinators and avail them of opportunities for both professional and personal growth. Programs with fewer fellows may not require a full-time coordinator; one coordinator may support more than one program.

488
489
490
491
492
493
494

II.D. Other Program Personnel

The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective administration of the program. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510

III. Fellow Appointments

III.A. Eligibility Criteria

III.A.1. Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs

All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9).

511

- 512 **III.A.1.a)** **Fellowship programs must receive verification of each**
513 **entering fellow’s level of competence in the required field,**
514 **upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS**
515 **Milestones evaluations from the core residency program.** ^(Core)
516
- 517 **III.A.1.b)** Prerequisite Postgraduate Clinical Education:
518
- 519 **III.A.1.b).(1)** Fellows entering at the NCC-1 level must have completed
520 a residency program in anesthesiology, child neurology,
521 emergency medicine, general surgery, internal medicine,
522 neurology, or a fellowship in pediatric critical care that
523 satisfies III.A.1. ^(Core)
524
- 525 **III.A.1.b).(2)** Fellows entering at the NCC-2 level must:
526
- 527 **III.A.1.b).(2).(a)** have completed a fellowship in anesthesiology
528 critical care medicine, internal medicine critical care
529 medicine, or pediatric critical care medicine, or a
530 surgical critical care residency that satisfies III.A.1.;
531 or, ^(Core)
532
- 533 **III.A.1.b).(2).(b)** have completed or be matriculated in a neurological
534 surgery residency program that satisfies III.A.1. ^(Core)
535
- 536 **III.A.1.c)** **Fellow Eligibility Exception**
537
- 538 **The Review Committees for Anesthesiology, Emergency**
539 **Medicine, and Neurological Surgery will allow the following**
540 **exception to the fellowship eligibility requirements:**
541
- 542 **III.A.1.c).(1)** **An ACGME-accredited fellowship program may accept**
543 **an exceptionally qualified international graduate**
544 **applicant who does not satisfy the eligibility**
545 **requirements listed in III.A.1., but who does meet all of**
546 **the following additional qualifications and conditions:**
547 ^(Core)
548
- 549 **III.A.1.c).(1).(a)** **evaluation by the program director and**
550 **fellowship selection committee of the**
551 **applicant’s suitability to enter the program,**
552 **based on prior training and review of the**
553 **summative evaluations of training in the core**
554 **specialty; and,** ^(Core)
555
- 556 **III.A.1.c).(1).(b)** **review and approval of the applicant’s**
557 **exceptional qualifications by the GMEC; and,**
558 ^(Core)
559
- 560 **III.A.1.c).(1).(c)** **verification of Educational Commission for**
561 **Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG)**
562 **certification.** ^(Core)

563
564
565
566
567
568

III.A.1.c).(2)

Applicants accepted through this exception must have an evaluation of their performance by the Clinical Competency Committee within 12 weeks of matriculation. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: An exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant has (1) completed a residency program in the core specialty outside the continental United States that was not accredited by the ACGME, AOA, ACGME-I, RCPSC or CFPC, and (2) demonstrated clinical excellence, in comparison to peers, throughout training. Additional evidence of exceptional qualifications is required, which may include one of the following: (a) participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; (b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; and/or (c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency. Applicants being considered for these positions must be informed of the fact that their training may not lead to certification by ABMS member boards or AOA certifying boards.

In recognition of the diversity of medical education and training around the world, this early evaluation of clinical competence required for these applicants ensures they can provide quality and safe patient care. Any gaps in competence should be addressed as per policies for fellows already established by the program in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution.

569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597

III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the Review Committee. ^(Core)

III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review Committee. ^(Core)

III.C. Fellow Transfers

The program must obtain verification of previous educational experiences and a summative competency-based performance evaluation prior to acceptance of a transferring fellow, and Milestones evaluations upon matriculation. ^(Core)

IV. Educational Program

The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational affiliation, size, or location of the program.

The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful physicians who provide compassionate care.

In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis

598 *on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims*
599 *will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for*
600 *example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will*
601 *have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.*

602
603 **IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components:** (Core)

604
605 **IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's**
606 **mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired**
607 **distinctive capabilities of its graduates;** (Core)

608
609 **IV.A.1.a) The program's aims must be made available to program**
610 **applicants, fellows, and faculty members.** (Core)

611
612 **IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational**
613 **experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to**
614 **autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be**
615 **distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members;**
616 (Core)

617
618 **IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive**
619 **responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in**
620 **their subspecialty;** (Core)

621

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

622

623 **IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,**
624 (Core)

625

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

626

627 **IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles**
628 **foundational to medical professionalism.** (Core)

629

630 **IV.B. ACGME Competencies**

631

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the

Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642

IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: ^(Core)

IV.B.1.a) Professionalism

Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. ^(Core)

IV.B.1.b) Patient Care and Procedural Skills

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. *The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs*. 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667

IV.B.1.b).(1) Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the treatment of health problems and the promotion of health. ^(Core)

IV.B.1.b).(1).(a) Fellows must demonstrate competence in the use of advanced technology and instrumentation to monitor the physiologic status of adults. ^(Core)

IV.B.1.b).(1).(b) Fellows must demonstrate competence in the following neurocritical care skills: ^(Core)

IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(i) Respiratory: airway management and mechanical ventilation (invasive and non-invasive) and bronchoscopy, including bronchoalveolar lavage; ^(Core)

IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ii) Cardiac/Circulatory: invasive and non-invasive techniques, including cardiac telemetry, interpretation of echocardiography, cardiac output monitoring, and arterial line waveform interpretation; ^(Core)

668	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iii)	Neurological: neurological examination, interpretation of intracranial pressure monitoring (intraparenchymal and intraventricular monitors), application of electroencephalography and sensory evoked potentials; interpretation of neuroimaging; and cerebrospinal fluid analysis; ^(Core)
669		
670		
671		
672		
673		
674		
675		
676		
677	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(iv)	Renal: the evaluation of renal function based on blood and urinary and imaging studies; ^(Core)
678		
679		
680		
681	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(v)	Gastrointestinal: nasogastric tube placement (pre- and post-pyloric); use of enteral feedings; and management principles of percutaneous enteral devices; ^(Core)
682		
683		
684		
685		
686		
687	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vi)	Hematologic: evaluation of coagulation status; correction of intrinsic and extrinsic coagulopathies; evaluation and management of hypercoagulable conditions; and use of transfusion products; ^(Core)
688		
689		
690		
691		
692		
693	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(vii)	Infectious Disease: classification of infections and application of isolation techniques, pharmacokinetics, drug interactions, and management of antibiotic therapy; ^(Core)
694		
695		
696		
697		
698		
699	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(viii)	Nutritional: application of parenteral and enteral nutrition; and monitoring and assessing metabolism and nutrition; and, ^(Core)
700		
701		
702		
703		
704	IV.B.1.b).(1).(b).(ix)	Miscellaneous: use of special beds for specific injuries; and traction and fixation devices. ^(Core)
705		
706		
707		
708	IV.B.1.b).(2)	Fellows must be able to perform all medical, diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered essential for the area of practice. ^(Core)
709		
710		
711		
712	IV.B.1.c)	Medical Knowledge
713		
714		Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care. ^(Core)
715		
716		
717		
718		

- 719 IV.B.1.c).(1) Fellows must demonstrate advanced knowledge of the
 720 following aspects of neurocritical care: ^(Core)
 721
 722 IV.B.1.c).(1).(a) cardiorespiratory resuscitation; ^(Core)
 723
 724 IV.B.1.c).(1).(b) coagulation and hematologic and coagulation
 725 disorders; ^(Core)
 726
 727 IV.B.1.c).(1).(c) endocrine, metabolic, and nutritional, effects of
 728 critical illness; ^(Core)
 729
 730 IV.B.1.c).(1).(d) ethical and legal aspects of neurosurgical critical
 731 care; ^(Core)
 732
 733 IV.B.1.c).(1).(e) monitoring and medical instrumentation; ^(Core)
 734
 735 IV.B.1.c).(1).(f) pharmacokinetics and dynamics of drug
 736 metabolism and excretion in critical illness; ^(Core)
 737
 738 IV.B.1.c).(1).(g) physiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and
 739 therapy of disorder of the cardiovascular,
 740 gastrointestinal, neurological, endocrine,
 741 musculoskeletal and respiratory systems, as well
 742 as of infectious diseases; and, ^(Core)
 743
 744 IV.B.1.c).(1).(h) trauma as it relates to neurological disease. ^(Core)

745
 746 **IV.B.1.d)**

Practice-based Learning and Improvement

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning.

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.

- 753
 754 **IV.B.1.e)**

Interpersonal and Communication Skills

Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication skills that result in the effective exchange of information and collaboration with patients, their families, and health professionals. ^(Core)

- 760
 761 **IV.B.1.f)**

Systems-based Practice

762
763 **Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and**
764 **responsiveness to the larger context and system of health**
765 **care, including the social determinants of health, as well as**
766 **the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide**
767 **optimal health care.** ^(Core)
768

769 **IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences**

770
771 **IV.C.1. The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational**
772 **experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory**
773 **continuity.** ^(Core)
774

775 IV.C.1.a) Assignment of rotations must be structured to minimize the
776 frequency of rotational transitions and rotations must be of
777 sufficient length to provide a quality educational experience,
778 defined by continuity of patient care, ongoing supervision,
779 longitudinal relationships with faculty members, and high-quality
780 assessment and feedback. ^(Core)
781

782 IV.C.1.b) Clinical experiences must be structured to facilitate learning in a
783 manner that allows the fellows to function as part of an effective
784 health care team that works together longitudinally with shared
785 goals of patient safety and quality improvement. ^(Core)
786

787 **IV.C.1. The program must provide instruction and experience in pain**
788 **management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition**
789 **of the signs of addiction.** ^(Core)
790

791 IV.C.3. Fellow education must include weekly participation in didactic activities,
792 including:

793
794 IV.C.3.a) seminars and conferences in critical care, neurological surgery,
795 neuroradiology, and neurology; ^(Core)
796

797 IV.C.3.b) regularly scheduled research conferences or seminars; and, ^(Core)
798

799 IV.C.3.c) periodic seminars, journal clubs, and lectures in basic science,
800 didactic courses, and meetings of local and national scholarly
801 societies relevant to neurocritical care. ^(Core)
802

803 IV.C.4. The curriculum for fellows entering at the NCC-1 level must include: ^(Core)
804

805 IV.C.4.a) at least 12 months of direct critical care experience with eight
806 months or more dedicated to caring primarily for critically ill
807 neurological and neurosurgical patients; and, ^(Core)
808

809 IV.C.4.a).(1) Other months of critical care experience must be
810 scheduled in general medical or surgical ICUs or in other
811 (i.e., non-neurocritical care) specialized ICUs. ^(Core)
812

813	IV.C.4.a).(2)	Non-ICU months can be used for elective rotations,
814		including neurocritical consultations in other ICUs, or
815		research. ^(Core)
816		
817	IV.C.5.	The curriculum for fellows entering at the NCC-2 level must include:
818		
819	IV.C.5.a)	for fellows who completed residency education in or are
820		matriculated in a neurological surgery residency program: ^(Core)
821		
822	IV.C.5.a).(1)	at least eight months of critical care experience that
823		primarily focuses on neurological and neurosurgical
824		patients; and, ^(Core)
825		
826	IV.C.5.a).(1).(a)	This experience must occur in the PGY-4 year or
827		above. ^(Core)
828		
829	IV.C.5.a).(1).(b)	This experience must include fellow participation in
830		a team that has primary responsibility for patient
831		management in the ICU. ^(Core)
832		
833	IV.C.5.a).(2)	a maximum of four months of rotations in non-critical care
834		medicine, such as cardiology, clinical neurophysiology,
835		infectious disease, pulmonary medicine, or research. ^(Core)
836		
837	IV.C.5.b)	for fellows who have completed a fellowship program in
838		anesthesiology critical care, internal medicine critical care,
839		pediatric critical care, or surgical critical care:
840		
841	IV.C.5.b).(1)	at least eight months of critical care experience that
842		primarily focuses on neurological and neurosurgical
843		patients; ^(Core)
844		
845	IV.C.5.b).(2)	participation in a team that has primary responsibilities for
846		patient management in the neuroscience ICU; and, ^(Core)
847		
848	IV.C.5.b).(3)	a maximum of four months of rotations focusing on non-
849		critical neuroscience, such as clinical neurophysiology,
850		diagnostic or interventional radiology, inpatient or
851		outpatient stroke services, neuroanesthesia, and research.
852		^(Core)
853		
854	IV.C.6.	Fellows must have direct involvement in the management of a broad
855		spectrum of critically ill neurologic/neurosurgical patients. ^(Core)
856		
857	IV.C.7.	ICU rotations must be structured to ensure that:
858		
859	IV.C.7.a)	fellows function as part of a team of critical care physicians who
860		provide comprehensive and around-the-clock coverage to a
861		specified population of critically ill neurological patients; and, ^(Core)
862		

863 IV.C.7.b) fellows are solely dedicated to their ICU responsibilities and are
864 not be expected to cover other services or fulfill other roles during
865 their ICU experiences. ^(Core)
866

867 IV.C.8. Fellows must have experience teaching residents and/or medical
868 students in the subspecialty of neurocritical care. ^(Core)
869

870 IV.C.9. Fellows must participate in investigations into the various areas of
871 neurocritical care, such as new instrumentation, identification of important
872 physiologic parameters, evaluation of pharmacological agents in critically
873 ill patients, health outcomes, and/or health policy issues related to
874 neurocritical care. ^(Core)
875

876 IV.D. Scholarship

877
878 ***Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic***
879 ***scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically,***
880 ***evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and***
881 ***practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an***
882 ***environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow***
883 ***participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific***
884 ***Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery,***
885 ***integration, application, and teaching.***
886

887 ***The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that***
888 ***programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians,***
889 ***scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will***
890 ***reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves.***
891 ***For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on***
892 ***quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other***
893 ***programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical***
894 ***research as the focus for scholarship.***
895

896 IV.D.1. Program Responsibilities

897
898 IV.D.1.a) The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly
899 activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. ^(Core)
900

901 IV.D.1.b) The program in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
902 must allocate adequate resources to facilitate fellow and
903 faculty involvement in scholarly activities. ^(Core)
904

905 IV.D.1.b).(1) This must include the laboratory space, equipment, and
906 computer resources needed to support scholarly activities.
907 ^(Core)
908

909 IV.D.1.b).(2) Resources must include clinical and laboratory research
910 support services, data analysis, and statistical consultation.
911 ^(Core)
912

913 IV.D.2. Faculty Scholarly Activity

914
 915 **IV.D.2.a)** **Among their scholarly activity, programs must demonstrate**
 916 **accomplishments in at least three of the following domains:**
 917 **(Core)**
 918
 919

- **Research in basic science, education, translational**
 920 **science, patient care, or population health**
 921 - **Peer-reviewed grants**
 922 - **Quality improvement and/or patient safety initiatives**
 923 - **Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, review articles,**
 924 **chapters in medical textbooks, or case reports**
 925 - **Creation of curricula, evaluation tools, didactic**
 926 **educational activities, or electronic educational**
 927 **materials**
 928 - **Contribution to professional committees, educational**
 929 **organizations, or editorial boards**
 930 - **Innovations in education**

931
 932 **IV.D.2.b)** **The program must demonstrate dissemination of scholarly**
 933 **activity within and external to the program by the following**
 934 **methods:**
 935

Background and Intent: For the purposes of education, metrics of scholarly activity represent one of the surrogates for the program’s effectiveness in the creation of an environment of inquiry that advances the fellows’ scholarly approach to patient care. The Review Committee will evaluate the dissemination of scholarship for the program as a whole, not for individual faculty members, for a five-year interval, for both core and non-core faculty members, with the goal of assessing the effectiveness of the creation of such an environment. The ACGME recognizes that there may be differences in scholarship requirements between different specialties and between residencies and fellowships in the same specialty.

936
 937 **IV.D.2.b).(1)** **faculty participation in grand rounds, posters,**
 938 **workshops, quality improvement presentations,**
 939 **podium presentations, grant leadership, non-peer-**
 940 **reviewed print/electronic resources, articles or**
 941 **publications, book chapters, textbooks, webinars,**
 942 **service on professional committees, or serving as a**
 943 **journal reviewer, journal editorial board member, or**
 944 **editor; and, (Outcome)‡**

945
 946 **IV.D.2.b).(2)** **peer-reviewed publication. (Outcome)**

947
 948 **IV.D.3. Fellow Scholarly Activity**

949
 950 **IV.D.3.a)** **Fellows must participate in scholarly activity. (Core)**

951
 952 **IV.D.3.b)** **Fellows must participate in at least one clinical or other research**
 953 **project related to neurocritical care. (Core)**
 954

955 IV.E. *Fellowship programs may assign fellows to engage in the independent*
956 *practice of their core specialty during their fellowship program.*

957
958 IV.E.1. If programs permit their fellows to utilize the independent practice
959 option, it must not exceed 20 percent of their time per week or 10
960 weeks of an academic year. ^(Core)
961

Background and Intent: Fellows who have previously completed residency programs have demonstrated sufficient competence to enter autonomous practice within their core specialty. This option is designed to enhance fellows' maturation and competence in their core specialty. This enables fellows to occupy a dual role in the health system: as learners in their subspecialty, and as credentialed practitioners in their core specialty. Hours worked in independent practice during fellowship still fall under the clinical and educational work hour limits. See Program Director Guide for more details.

962
963 V. Evaluation

964
965 V.A. Fellow Evaluation

966
967 V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation
968

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work
- program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately

Summative evaluation is *evaluating a fellow's learning* by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

969

970 V.A.1.a) Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and
971 frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during
972 each rotation or similar educational assignment. ^(Core)
973

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

974
975 V.A.1.b) Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the
976 assignment. ^(Core)
977

978 V.A.1.b).(1) For block rotations of greater than three months in
979 duration, evaluation must be documented at least
980 every three months. ^(Core)
981

982 V.A.1.b).(2) Longitudinal experiences such as continuity clinic in
983 the context of other clinical responsibilities must be
984 evaluated at least every three months and at
985 completion. ^(Core)
986

987 V.A.1.c) The program must provide an objective performance
988 evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-
989 specific Milestones, and must: ^(Core)
990

991 V.A.1.c).(1) use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers,
992 patients, self, and other professional staff members);
993 and, ^(Core)
994

995 V.A.1.c).(2) provide that information to the Clinical Competency
996 Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow
997 performance and improvement toward unsupervised
998 practice. ^(Core)
999

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

1000
1001 V.A.1.d) The program director or their designee, with input from the
1002 Clinical Competency Committee, must:
1003

- 1004 V.A.1.d).(1) meet with and review with each fellow their
 1005 documented semi-annual evaluation of performance,
 1006 including progress along the subspecialty-specific
 1007 Milestones. ^(Core)
 1008
 1009 V.A.1.d).(2) assist fellows in developing individualized learning
 1010 plans to capitalize on their strengths and identify areas
 1011 for growth; and, ^(Core)
 1012
 1013 V.A.1.d).(3) develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following
 1014 institutional policies and procedures. ^(Core)
 1015

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

- 1016
 1017 V.A.1.e) At least annually, there must be a summative evaluation of
 1018 each fellow that includes their readiness to progress to the
 1019 next year of the program, if applicable. ^(Core)
 1020
 1021 V.A.1.f) The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible
 1022 for review by the fellow. ^(Core)
 1023
 1024 V.A.2. Final Evaluation
 1025
 1026 V.A.2.a) The program director must provide a final evaluation for each
 1027 fellow upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
 1028
 1029 V.A.2.a).(1) The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when
 1030 applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must
 1031 be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage
 1032 in autonomous practice upon completion of the
 1033 program. ^(Core)
 1034
 1035 V.A.2.a).(2) The final evaluation must:
 1036

- 1037 V.A.2.a).(2).(a) become part of the fellow’s permanent record
 1038 maintained by the institution, and must be
 1039 accessible for review by the fellow in
 1040 accordance with institutional policy; ^(Core)
 1041
 1042 V.A.2.a).(2).(b) verify that the fellow has demonstrated the
 1043 knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to
 1044 enter autonomous practice; ^(Core)
 1045
 1046 V.A.2.a).(2).(c) consider recommendations from the Clinical
 1047 Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
 1048
 1049 V.A.2.a).(2).(d) be shared with the fellow upon completion of
 1050 the program. ^(Core)
 1051
 1052 V.A.3. A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the
 1053 program director. ^(Core)
 1054
 1055 V.A.3.a) At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must
 1056 include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty
 1057 member. Members must be faculty members from the same
 1058 program or other programs, or other health professionals
 1059 who have extensive contact and experience with the
 1060 program’s fellows. ^(Core)
 1061
 1062 V.A.3.b) The Clinical Competency Committee must:
 1063
 1064 V.A.3.b).(1) review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually;
 1065 ^(Core)
 1066
 1067 V.A.3.b).(2) determine each fellow’s progress on achievement of
 1068 the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and, ^(Core)
 1069
 1070 V.A.3.b).(3) meet prior to the fellows’ semi-annual evaluations and
 1071 advise the program director regarding each fellow’s
 1072 progress. ^(Core)
 1073
 1074 V.B. Faculty Evaluation
 1075
 1076 V.B.1. The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty
 1077 member’s performance as it relates to the educational program at
 1078 least annually. ^(Core)
 1079

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback

on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

- 1080
1081 **V.B.1.a)** This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's
1082 clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational
1083 program, participation in faculty development related to their
1084 skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism,
1085 and scholarly activities. *(Core)*
1086
1087 **V.B.1.b)** This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations
1088 by the fellows. *(Core)*
1089
1090 **V.B.2.** Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least
1091 annually. *(Core)*
1092
1093 **V.B.3.** Results of the faculty educational evaluations should be
1094 incorporated into program-wide faculty development plans. *(Core)*
1095

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

- 1096
1097 **V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement**
1098
1099 **V.C.1.** The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
1100 Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
1101 Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
1102 process. *(Core)*
1103
1104 **V.C.1.a)** The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at
1105 least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a
1106 core faculty member, and at least one fellow. *(Core)*
1107
1108 **V.C.1.b)** Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:
1109
1110 **V.C.1.b).(1)** acting as an advisor to the program director, through
1111 program oversight; *(Core)*
1112

- 1113 V.C.1.b).(2) review of the program’s self-determined goals and
 1114 progress toward meeting them; ^(Core)
 1115
 1116 V.C.1.b).(3) guiding ongoing program improvement, including
 1117 development of new goals, based upon outcomes;
 1118 and, ^(Core)
 1119
 1120 V.C.1.b).(4) review of the current operating environment to identify
 1121 strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as
 1122 related to the program’s mission and aims. ^(Core)
 1123

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program’s progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

- 1124
 1125 V.C.1.c) The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the
 1126 following elements in its assessment of the program:
 1127
 1128 V.C.1.c).(1) curriculum; ^(Core)
 1129
 1130 V.C.1.c).(2) outcomes from prior Annual Program Evaluation(s);
 1131 ^(Core)
 1132
 1133 V.C.1.c).(3) ACGME letters of notification, including citations,
 1134 Areas for Improvement, and comments; ^(Core)
 1135
 1136 V.C.1.c).(4) quality and safety of patient care; ^(Core)
 1137
 1138 V.C.1.c).(5) aggregate fellow and faculty:
 1139
 1140 V.C.1.c).(5).(a) well-being; ^(Core)
 1141
 1142 V.C.1.c).(5).(b) recruitment and retention; ^(Core)
 1143
 1144 V.C.1.c).(5).(c) workforce diversity; ^(Core)
 1145
 1146 V.C.1.c).(5).(d) engagement in quality improvement and patient
 1147 safety; ^(Core)
 1148
 1149 V.C.1.c).(5).(e) scholarly activity; ^(Core)
 1150
 1151 V.C.1.c).(5).(f) ACGME Resident/Fellow and Faculty Surveys
 1152 (where applicable); and, ^(Core)
 1153
 1154 V.C.1.c).(5).(g) written evaluations of the program. ^(Core)
 1155
 1156 V.C.1.c).(6) aggregate fellow:
 1157

- 1158 V.C.1.c).(6).(a) achievement of the Milestones; ^(Core)
 1159
 1160 V.C.1.c).(6).(b) in-training examinations (where applicable);
 1161 ^(Core)
 1162
 1163 V.C.1.c).(6).(c) board pass and certification rates; and, ^(Core)
 1164
 1165 V.C.1.c).(6).(d) graduate performance. ^(Core)
 1166
 1167 V.C.1.c).(7) aggregate faculty:
 1168
 1169 V.C.1.c).(7).(a) evaluation; and, ^(Core)
 1170
 1171 V.C.1.c).(7).(b) professional development ^(Core)
 1172
 1173 V.C.1.d) The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the
 1174 program's mission and aims, strengths, areas for
 1175 improvement, and threats. ^(Core)
 1176
 1177 V.C.1.e) The annual review, including the action plan, must:
 1178
 1179 V.C.1.e).(1) be distributed to and discussed with the members of
 1180 the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, ^(Core)
 1181
 1182 V.C.1.e).(2) be submitted to the DIO. ^(Core)
 1183
 1184 V.C.2. The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year
 1185 Accreditation Site Visit. ^(Core)
 1186
 1187 V.C.2.a) A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO.
 1188 ^(Core)
 1189

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the *ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures*. Additionally, a description of the [Self-Study process](#), as well as information on how to prepare for the [10-Year Accreditation Site Visit](#), is available on the ACGME website.

- 1190
 1191 V.C.3. *One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians*
 1192 *who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the*
 1193 *effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.*
 1194
 1195 *The program director should encourage all eligible program*
 1196 *graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the*

- 1197 *applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member*
 1198 *board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.*
 1199
- 1200 **V.C.3.a)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or
 1201 AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the
 1202 preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of
 1203 those taking the examination for the first time must be higher
 1204 than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that
 1205 subspecialty. (Outcome)
 1206
- 1207 **V.C.3.b)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or
 1208 AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the
 1209 preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of
 1210 those taking the examination for the first time must be higher
 1211 than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that
 1212 subspecialty. (Outcome)
 1213
- 1214 **V.C.3.c)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or
 1215 AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the
 1216 preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of
 1217 those taking the examination for the first time must be higher
 1218 than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that
 1219 subspecialty. (Outcome)
 1220
- 1221 **V.C.3.d)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or
 1222 AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the
 1223 preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of
 1224 those taking the examination for the first time must be higher
 1225 than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that
 1226 subspecialty. (Outcome)
 1227
- 1228 **V.C.3.e)** For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program
 1229 whose graduates over the time period specified in the
 1230 requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have
 1231 met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the
 1232 program for pass rate in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
 1233

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

- 1234
 1235 **V.C.3.f)** Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status
 1236 annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that
 1237 graduated seven years earlier. (Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

1239

1240

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

1241

1242

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

1243

1244

1245

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today*

1246

1247

1248

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice*

1249

1250

1251

- *Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:*

1252

1253

- *the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians*

1254

1255

1256

- *the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery*

1257

1258

- *Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team*

1259

1260

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1261		
1262	VI.A.	Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability
1263		
1264	VI.A.1.	Patient Safety and Quality Improvement
1265		
1266		<i>All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.</i>
1267		
1268		
1269		
1270		
1271		
1272		
1273		
1274		
1275		
1276		<i>Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.</i>
1277		
1278		
1279		
1280		
1281		
1282		<i>It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.</i>
1283		
1284		
1285		
1286	VI.A.1.a)	Patient Safety
1287		
1288	VI.A.1.a).(1)	Culture of Safety
1289		
1290		<i>A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas for improvement.</i>
1291		
1292		
1293		
1294		
1295		
1296		
1297	VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)	The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety systems and contribute to a culture of safety.
1298		
1299		
1300		(Core)
1301		

1302 VI.A.1.a).(1).(b) The program must have a structure that
1303 promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based
1304 care. ^(Core)
1305

1306 VI.A.1.a).(2) Education on Patient Safety
1307
1308 Programs must provide formal educational activities
1309 that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and
1310 techniques. ^(Core)
1311

Background and Intent: Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated interprofessional learning and working environment.

1312
1313 VI.A.1.a).(3) Patient Safety Events
1314
1315 *Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse*
1316 *events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal*
1317 *mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are*
1318 *essential for the success of any patient safety*
1319 *program. Feedback and experiential learning are*
1320 *essential to developing true competence in the ability*
1321 *to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-*
1322 *based changes to ameliorate patient safety*
1323 *vulnerabilities.*
1324

1325 VI.A.1.a).(3).(a) Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other
1326 clinical staff members must:

1327
1328 VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i) know their responsibilities in reporting
1329 patient safety events at the clinical site;
1330 ^(Core)
1331

1332 VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii) know how to report patient safety
1333 events, including near misses, at the
1334 clinical site; and, ^(Core)
1335

1336 VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii) be provided with summary information
1337 of their institution's patient safety
1338 reports. ^(Core)
1339

1340 VI.A.1.a).(3).(b) Fellows must participate as team members in
1341 real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical
1342 patient safety activities, such as root cause
1343 analyses or other activities that include
1344 analysis, as well as formulation and
1345 implementation of actions. ^(Core)
1346

1347 VI.A.1.a).(4) Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of
1348 Adverse Events
1349

1350		<i>Patient-centered care requires patients, and when appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical situations that affect them, including adverse events. This is an important skill for faculty physicians to model, and for fellows to develop and apply.</i>
1351		
1352		
1353		
1354		
1355		
1356	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to disclose adverse events to patients and families. ^(Core)
1357		
1358		
1359		
1360	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to participate in the disclosure of patient safety events, real or simulated. ^{(Detail)†}
1361		
1362		
1363		
1364	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1365		
1366	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1367		
1368		<i>A cohesive model of health care includes quality-related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary in order for health care professionals to achieve quality improvement goals.</i>
1369		
1370		
1371		
1372		
1373	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in quality improvement processes, including an understanding of health care disparities. ^(Core)
1374		
1375		
1376		
1377	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1378		
1379		<i>Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for care improvement and evaluating success of improvement efforts.</i>
1380		
1381		
1382		
1383	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data on quality metrics and benchmarks related to their patient populations. ^(Core)
1384		
1385		
1386		
1387	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1388		
1389		<i>Experiential learning is essential to developing the ability to identify and institute sustainable systems-based changes to improve patient care.</i>
1390		
1391		
1392		
1393	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to participate in interprofessional quality improvement activities. ^(Core)
1394		
1395		
1396		
1397	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at reducing health care disparities. ^(Detail)
1398		
1399		
1400	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability

- 1401
1402 **VI.A.2.a)** *Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for*
1403 *the care of the patient, every physician shares in the*
1404 *responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the*
1405 *provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with*
1406 *their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate,*
1407 *and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and*
1408 *accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient*
1409 *care.*
- 1410
1411 *Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education*
1412 *provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each*
1413 *fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes*
1414 *required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and*
1415 *establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.*
1416
- 1417 **VI.A.2.a).(1)** Each patient must have an identifiable and
1418 appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending
1419 physician (or licensed independent practitioner as
1420 specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is
1421 responsible and accountable for the patient's care.
1422 (Core)
- 1423
- 1424 **VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)** This information must be available to fellows,
1425 faculty members, other members of the health
1426 care team, and patients. (Core)
- 1427
- 1428 **VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)** Fellows and faculty members must inform each
1429 patient of their respective roles in that patient's
1430 care when providing direct patient care. (Core)
- 1431
- 1432 **VI.A.2.b)** *Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods.*
1433 *For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician*
1434 *may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care*
1435 *provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the*
1436 *appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or*
1437 *fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication*
1438 *technology. Some activities require the physical presence of*
1439 *the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances,*
1440 *supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered*
1441 *care with feedback.*
1442

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

1443		
1444	VI.A.2.b).(1)	The program must demonstrate that the appropriate level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on each fellow’s level of training and ability, as well as patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate to the situation. ^(Core)
1445		
1446		
1447		
1448		
1449		
1450		
1451	VI.A.2.b).(2)	The program must define when physical presence of a supervising physician is required. ^(Core)
1452		
1453		
1454	VI.A.2.c)	Levels of Supervision
1455		
1456		To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use the following classification of supervision: ^(Core)
1457		
1458		
1459		
1460	VI.A.2.c).(1)	Direct Supervision:
1461		
1462	VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)	the supervising physician is physically present with the fellow during the key portions of the patient interaction; or, ^(Core)
1463		
1464		
1465		
1466	VI.A.2.c).(1).(b)	the supervising physician and/or patient is not physically present with the fellow and the supervising physician is concurrently monitoring the patient care through appropriate telecommunication technology. ^(Core)
1467		
1468		
1469		
1470		
1471		
1472	VI.A.2.c).(1).(b).(i)	When fellows are supervised directly through telecommunication technology, the supervising physician and the resident should interact with each other, and with the patient, to solicit the key elements related to the encounter, and agree upon a management plan. ^(Detail)
1473		
1474		
1475		
1476		
1477		
1478		
1479		
1480	VI.A.2.c).(2)	Indirect Supervision: the supervising physician is not providing physical or concurrent visual or audio supervision but is immediately available to the fellow for guidance and is available to provide appropriate direct supervision. ^(Core)
1481		
1482		
1483		
1484		
1485		
1486	VI.A.2.c).(3)	Oversight – the supervising physician is available to provide review of procedures/encounters with feedback provided after care is delivered. ^(Core)
1487		
1488		
1489		
1490	VI.A.2.d)	The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility, conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the program director and faculty members. ^(Core)
1491		
1492		
1493		

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1542
1543
1544

VI.B.2.c) ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. (Core)

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define “manageable patient care responsibilities” as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558

VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient safety and personal responsibility. (Core)

VI.B.4. Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding of their personal role in the:

VI.B.4.a) provision of patient- and family-centered care; (Outcome)

VI.B.4.b) safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care, including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse events; (Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

1559
1560
1561

VI.B.4.c) assurance of their fitness for work, including: (Outcome)

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

1562
1563
1564
1565

VI.B.4.c).(1) management of their time before, during, and after clinical assignments; and, (Outcome)

- 1566 VI.B.4.c).(2) recognition of impairment, including from illness,
 1567 fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers,
 1568 and other members of the health care team. (Outcome)
 1569
- 1570 VI.B.4.d) commitment to lifelong learning; (Outcome)
 1571
- 1572 VI.B.4.e) monitoring of their patient care performance improvement
 1573 indicators; and, (Outcome)
 1574
- 1575 VI.B.4.f) accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours,
 1576 patient outcomes, and clinical experience data. (Outcome)
 1577
- 1578 VI.B.5. All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness
 1579 to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the
 1580 recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of
 1581 the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to
 1582 another qualified and rested provider. (Outcome)
 1583
- 1584 VI.B.6. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must
 1585 provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment
 1586 that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of
 1587 harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows,
 1588 faculty, and staff. (Core)
 1589
- 1590 VI.B.7. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should
 1591 have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding
 1592 unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting,
 1593 investigating, and addressing such concerns. (Core)
 1594
- 1595 VI.C. Well-Being
 1596
- 1597 *Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the*
 1598 *development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require*
 1599 *proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being*
 1600 *requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their*
 1601 *own real-life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other*
 1602 *members of the health care team are important components of*
 1603 *professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and*
 1604 *nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.*
 1605
- 1606 *Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression.*
 1607 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same*
 1608 *responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident*
 1609 *competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share*
 1610 *responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which*
 1611 *encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation*
 1612 *of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a*
 1613 *clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares*
 1614 *fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their*
 1615 *careers.*
 1616

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website.

As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. In addition, there are many activities that programs can utilize now to assess and support physician well-being. These include culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633

- VI.C.1. The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:**
- VI.C.1.a) efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the experience of being a physician, including protecting time with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations, providing administrative support, promoting progressive autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional relationships; (Core)**
 - VI.C.1.b) attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work compression that impacts fellow well-being; (Core)**
 - VI.C.1.c) evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of fellows and faculty members; (Core)**

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

1634
1635
1636
1637

- VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and faculty member well-being; and, (Core)**

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643

- VI.C.1.d).(1) Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend medical, mental health, and dental care appointments, including those scheduled during their working hours. (Core)**

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655

VI.C.1.e) attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression, and substance abuse. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout, depression, and substance abuse, including means to assist those who experience these conditions. Fellows and faculty members must also be educated to recognize those symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must: ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance abuse. Materials and more information are available on the Physician Well-being section of the ACGME website (<http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being>).

1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663

VI.C.1.e).(1) encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the program director or other designated personnel or programs when they are concerned that another fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying signs of burnout, depression, substance abuse, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence; ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, substance abuse, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669

VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening; and, ^(Core)

VI.C.1.e).(3) provide access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment,

1670
1671
1672

including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686

VI.C.2. There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their patient care responsibilities. ^(Core)

VI.C.2.a) The program must have policies and procedures in place to ensure coverage of patient care. ^(Core)

VI.C.2.b) These policies must be implemented without fear of negative consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide the clinical work. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701

VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation

VI.D.1. Programs must:

VI.D.1.a) educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation; ^(Core)

VI.D.1.b) educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness management and fatigue mitigation processes; and, ^(Core)

VI.D.1.c) encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient care and learning. ^(Detail)

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation

processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719

VI.D.2. Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent with the program’s policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2–VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue. ^(Core)

VI.D.3. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home. ^(Core)

VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care

VI.E.1. Clinical Responsibilities

The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient illness/condition, and available support services. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732

VI.E.2. Teamwork

Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system. ^(Core)

VI.E.2.a) Fellows must collaborate with other faculty members and residents both inside and outside of the subspecialty, to best formulate treatment plans for an increasingly diverse patient population. Effective practices entail the involvement of members

1733 with a mix of complementary skills and attributes (physicians,
1734 nurses, and other staff). ^(Core)

1735
1736 **VI.E.3. Transitions of Care**

1737
1738 **VI.E.3.a) Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize**
1739 **transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency,**
1740 **and structure.** ^(Core)

1741
1742 **VI.E.3.b) Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions,**
1743 **must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over**
1744 **processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient**
1745 **safety.** ^(Core)

1746
1747 **VI.E.3.c) Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in**
1748 **communicating with team members in the hand-over process.**
1749 ^(Outcome)

1750
1751 **VI.E.3.d) Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate**
1752 **schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently**
1753 **responsible for care.** ^(Core)

1754
1755 **VI.E.3.e) Each program must ensure continuity of patient care,**
1756 **consistent with the program’s policies and procedures**
1757 **referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may**
1758 **be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to**
1759 **excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency.** ^(Core)

1760
1761 **VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education**

1762
1763 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design*
1764 *an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with*
1765 *educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable*
1766 *opportunities for rest and personal activities.*

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms “clinical experience and education,” “clinical and educational work,” and “clinical and educational work hours” replace the terms “duty hours,” “duty periods,” and “duty.” These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term “duty” in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows’ duty to “clock out” on time superseded their duty to their patients.

1768
1769 **VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week**

1770
1771 **Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than**
1772 **80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all**
1773 **in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from**
1774 **home, and all moonlighting.** ^(Core)

1775

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the

accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793

VI.F.2. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education

VI.F.2.a) The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. ^(Core)

VI.F.2.b) Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. ^(Detail)

VI.F.2.b).(1) There may be circumstances when fellows choose to stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience and education. This must occur within the context of the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven requirements. ^(Detail)

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1794
1795
1796
1797

VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and education after 24 hours of in-house call. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

1798
1799
1800
1801
1802

VI.F.2.d) Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in seven free of clinical work and required education (when averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned on these free days. ^(Core)

1803

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows’ preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a “golden weekend,” meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as “one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities.”

1804

VI.F.3. Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length

1805

1806

1807

VI.F.3.a) Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical assignments. (Core)

1808

1809

1810

1811

VI.F.3.a).(1) Up to four hours of additional time may be used for activities related to patient safety, such as providing effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education. (Core)

1812

1813

1814

1815

1816

VI.F.3.a).(1).(a) Additional patient care responsibilities must not be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)

1817

1818

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

1819

VI.F.4. Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions

1820

1821

1822

VI.F.4.a) In rare circumstances, after handing off all other responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to remain or return to the clinical site in the following circumstances:

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

VI.F.4.a).(1) to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or unstable patient; (Detail)

1828

1829

1830

VI.F.4.a).(2) humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or family; or, (Detail)

1831

1832

1833 VI.F.4.a).(3) to attend unique educational events. (Detail)

1834
1835 VI.F.4.b) These additional hours of care or education will be counted
1836 toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)
1837

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1838
1839 VI.F.4.c) A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions
1840 for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and
1841 educational work hours to individual programs based on a
1842 sound educational rationale.

1843
1844 VI.F.4.c).(1) In preparing a request for an exception, the program
1845 director must follow the clinical and educational work
1846 hour exception policy from the *ACGME Manual of*
1847 *Policies and Procedures.* (Core)

1848
1849 VI.F.4.c).(2) Prior to submitting the request to the Review
1850 Committee, the program director must obtain approval
1851 from the Sponsoring Institution's GMEC and DIO. (Core)
1852

Background and Intent: The provision for exceptions for up to 88 hours per week has been modified to specify that exceptions may be granted for specific rotations if the program can justify the increase based on criteria specified by the Review Committee. As in the past, Review Committees may opt not to permit exceptions. The underlying philosophy for this requirement is that while it is expected that all fellows should be able to train within an 80-hour work week, it is recognized that some programs may include rotations with alternate structures based on the nature of the specialty. DIO/GMEC approval is required before the request will be considered by the Review Committee.

1853
1854 VI.F.5. Moonlighting

1855
1856 VI.F.5.a) Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow
1857 to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational
1858 program, and must not interfere with the fellow's fitness for
1859 work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)

1860
1861 VI.F.5.b) Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting
1862 (as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be
1863 counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)
1864

Background and Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to moonlighting, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at <http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements>).

1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870

VI.F.6. In-House Night Float

Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-day-off-in-seven requirements. *(Core)*

Background and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of night float was removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.

1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876

VI.F.7. Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency

Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). *(Core)*

1877
1878

VI.F.8. At-Home Call

1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885

VI.F.8.a) Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one day in seven free of clinical work and education, when averaged over four weeks. *(Core)*

1886
1887
1888
1889

VI.F.8.a).(1) At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each fellow. *(Core)*

1890
1891
1892
1893
1894

VI.F.8.b) Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-home call to provide direct care for new or established patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. *(Detail)*

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

1895
1896

1897 ***Core Requirements:** Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements
1898 essential to every graduate medical educational program.
1899
1900 **†Detail Requirements:** Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for
1901 achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in
1902 substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative
1903 approaches to meet Core Requirements.
1904
1905 **‡Outcome Requirements:** Statements that specify expected measurable or observable
1906 attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their
1907 graduate medical education.
1908
1909 **Osteopathic Recognition**
1910 For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition
1911 Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).